1938 Supplement

To

Mason's Minnesota Statutes 1927

(1927 to 1938)

(Superseding Mason's 1931, 1934, and 1936 Supplements)

Containing the text of the acts of the 1929, 1931, 1933, 1935, and 1937 General Sessions, and the 1933-34, 1935-36, 1936, and 1937 Special Sessions of the Legislature, both new and amendatory, and notes showing repeals, together with annotations from the various courts. state and federal, and the opinions of the Attorney General, construing the constitution, statutes, charters and court rules of Minnesota together with digest of all common law decisions.



Edited by

WILLIAM H. MASON, Editor-in-Chief W. H. MASON, JR. R. O. MASON J. S. O'BRIEN H. STANLEY HANSON R. O. MASON, JR.

> MASON PUBLISHING CO. SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA 1938

CHAPTER 88

Actions against Boats and Vessels

9774. For what liable.

Defendant having executed a charter party in which it purported to contract as principal, is liable for breach of the contract, whether in fact contracting as principal or as agent for an undisclosed principal. 171M507, 214 NW510.

Evidence held to sustain finding that contract was breached by the failure of the vessel to report for load-ing within the time required by the contract; also that the delay was caused by the voluntary act of the own-er; also that plaintiff had not waived its claim for damages. 171M507, 214NW510.

CHAPTER 89

Assignments for Benefit of Creditors

9782. Requisites.

1. Nature of proceeding.

Transfer of property by managing officer or bank to certain directors to secure payment of his debts to the bank, held a mortgage and not an assignment for benefit of creditors, though it rendered him insolvent. 172M149, 214NW787.

3. To what applicable.

Not applicable to state banks in liquidation. 181M1, 231NW407.

11. Releases. An assignment in favor of only those creditors who will file releases is void. Kobler v. H., 189M213, 248NW 698. See Dun. Dig. 614.

9789. Proof of claims-Order of payment.

Money received by bankrupt representing proceeds of hunting and fishing license fees, held preferred claim in favor of the state in bankruptcy proceeding. 47F(2d) 1073. See Dun. Dig. 612(93).

Subd. 1. State is a preferred creditor entitled to all assets if not sufficient to pay claim in full. Op. Atty. Gen., Aug. 1, 1933.

CHAPTER 90

Insolvency

The persons and property of farmers are excluded from the operation of the state insolvency law so long as the national act is in force. Adrian State Bk. of Adrian v. K., 182M57, 233NW588. See Dun. Dig. 4542(96).

COMMON LAW

DECISIONS RELATING TO BANKRUPTCY IN GENERAL

1. In general. Construction of bankruptcy act by United States Su-preme Court prevails over any contrary interpretation by state courts. Landy v. M., 193M252, 258NW573. See Dun. Dig. 738.

State courts. Landy v. M., Isomess, zootword, Ecology Dig. 738. Lien of a judgment procured less than four months preceding filing of petition in bankruptcy is annulled thereby, even as to homestead set aside as exempt. Id. See Dun. Dig. 741. Mortgagors' bankruptcy did not suspend court's order extending time for redemption from mortgage sale, order having fixed terms and conditions, compliance with which was wholly lacking. Butts v. T., 194M243, 260NW 308. See Dun. Dig. 740. A trustee in bankruptcy, who brings suit in state court alleging conversion of property of bankrupt estate by reason of an invalid foreclosure of chattel mortgage, is bound by measure of damages in state jurisdiction and is entitled to recover only difference between value of property and amount of lien, and where property converted was worth less than amounts of chattel mort-gage liens, judgments were rightly entered for de-fendants. Ingalis v. E., 194M332, 260NW302. See Dun. Dig. 746.

International Structure
International Structure<

Discharge in bankruptcy discharges personal liability of debtor on note secured by real estate mortgage, duly scheduled by him as liability. Fiman v. H., 185M582, 242NW292. See Dun. Dig. 749. Bankrupt did not lose or waive his right to have deficiency judgment vacated, and foreclosure judgment set aside so far as it imposed personal liability upon him, by failing to apply to court to have foreclosure judgment reopened so as to set up his discharge as bar. Fiman v. H., 185M582, 242NW292. See Dun. Dig. 5121. Judgment in foreclosure of mortgage is discharged as to any personal liability of mortgagor by his subsequent discharge in bankruptcy. Fiman v. H., 185M582, 242NW 292. Where, without fraud. a bankrupt feiled to schedule

292.
Where, without fraud, a bankrupt failed to schedule as an asset an interest in real estate and he is discharged without property being disposed of by trustee, title which latter took by operation of law under bankruptcy act reverts to owner subject to a reopening of bankruptcy proceeding. Stipe v. J., 192M504, 257NW99. See Dun. Dig. 751.
A discharge in bankruptcy does not discharge an as-signed claim for alimony. Cederberg v. G., 193M252, 258 NW574. See Dun. Dig. 749.
Lien of judgment upon real estate is not affected by discharge in bankruptcy. although judgment debtor is relieved of personal liability. Rusch v. L., 194M469, 261 NW186. See Dun. Dig. 749(17).
Liens.

relieved of personal liability. Rusch v. L., 194M469, 261 NW186. See Dun. Dig. 749(17). 3. Liens. Claim of county for taxes against mortgaged prop-erty of debtor petitioning for reorganization under Bank-ruptcy Act, which had been in prior equity receivership, held allowable as to taxes accruing during equity re-ceivership, and allowable as to those accruing during trusteeship under Bankruptcy Act in so far as they were valid liens upon the real estate. Hennepin County v. M., (USCCA8), 83F(2d)453, 31AmB(NS)89. Cert. den., 299US555, 57SCR16.

CHAPTER 91

Contempts

9792. Direct contempts defined.

9792. Direct contempts defined.
Power of court to purge of contempt. 172M102, 214
NW776.
A judgment debtor is not guilty of contempt for failing to convey to receiver pending appeal from order appointing him, but he is guilty for failing to convey after affirmance. 172M102, 214NW776.
In presecution of agent of owner of building for concealing plumbing installed before proper inspection by city officers, court did not abuse its discretion in requiring defendant to answer question, "Who was the plumb-

er?", and in adjudging him guilty of contempt in refus-ing to answer on ground that it might intend to incrim-inate him. State v. Beery, 198M550, 270NW600. See Dun. Dig. 1703.

Trial judge is permitted a wide discretion in determin-ing whether witness may in a particular case exercise privilege of silence on ground of self-incrimination. Id.

Constructive contempts defined.

Act of juror in willfully concealing her interest in a prosecution for which she was called as a juror, even if

not constituting perjury, was a contempt of court. U. S. v. Clark (DC-Minn), 1FSupp747. Aff'd 61F(2d)695, 289 US1, 53SCR465.

A witness before a grand jury may not refuse to answer questions because they have not been ruled upon by the court or because they have not been ruled upon by the court or because they seem to relate only to an offense, the prosecution of which is barred by a statute of limitation. 177M200, 224NW838. The doctrine of double jeopardy has no application in proceedings to punish for contempt, and each suc-ceeding refusal to answer the same questions will ordin-arily be a new offense. 177M200, 224NW838. A defendant who refuses to testify or answer proper questions in a hearing before a referee in proceedings supplementary to execution, is guilty of constructive contempt, and repeated evasions and untrue answers amount to a refusal to answer. 178M158, 226NW188. A judgment directed a corporation to file dismissals

A judgment directed a corporation to file dismissals of cross-actions in a foreign state. It did not authorize a requirement that they be dismissed with prejudice. 181M559, 233NW586. See Dun. Dig. 1705.

Order in contempt against one who had obtained prop-erty in proceeding supplementary to execution and had failed to return property as required by order of court after reversal on appeal, held improvidently made. Proper v. P., 188M15, 246NW481. See Dun. Dig. 1702, 3548.

Where debtor's automobile was seized and taken to creditor's garage, and garage company assigned its claim to its president, who commenced action, making garage garnishee, there was an abuse of process requiring dis-missal of garnishment. Wood v. B., 199M208, 271NW447. See Dun. Dig. 7837. Publications tending to interfere with the administra-tion of justice. 15MinnLawRev442.

(3.)
 One failing to replace lateral support as required by judgment held guilty of constructive contempt. Johnson v. F., 196M81, 264NW232. See Dun. Dig. 1702.

Violation of an injunction is punishable as a contempt court. Id. See Dun. Dig. 4504. of court. Id.

(7). Evidence held not to warrant finding that defendant was guilty of constructive contempt in attempting to procure witnesses to testify falsely. State v. Binder, 190 M305, 251NW665. See Dun. Dig. 1705.

9794. Power to punish-Limitation.

Writ issued to lower court only when that court is exceeding its jurisdiction. 173M623, 217NW494. Defendant in divorce in contempt of court in failing to obey order for payment of temporary alimony, is not for that reason deprived of the right of defense. 173M 165, 216NW940.

Punishment for constructive contempt is limited to a fine of \$50.00, unless a right or remedy of a party was defeated or prejudiced, but this does not prevent the court from enforcing payment of the fine by imprison-ment. 178M158, 226NW188.

9795. Summarily punished, when.

When object of a proceeding in contempt is to impose punishment merely, order adjudging contempt is re-viewable on certiorari, but when object is to enforce doing of something in aid of a civil proceeding, order of contempt is reviewable on appeal. Proper v. P., 188M15, 246NW481. See Dun. Dig. 1395, 1702 to 1708a.

9796. Arrest-Order to show cause, etc.

Information for contempt by a juror in willfully con-cealing her interest in a criminal prosecution, as a re-sult of which she was accepted as a juror, held suf-ficient. U. S. v. Clark, (DC-Minn), 1FSupp747. Aff'd 61F (2d)695, 289US1, 53SCR465.

. . .

9798. Admission to bail.

Where warrant does not state whether or not person shall be admitted to bail and defendant is before court, court has jurisdiction. State v. Binder, 190M305, 251NW 665, overruling Papke v. Papke, 30 Minn. 260, 262, 15NW 117. See Dun. Dig. 1706.

9801. Hearing.

In cases of strictly criminal contempt, rules of law and evidence applied in criminal cases must be observed, and defendant's guilt must be established beyond a rea-sonable doubt. State v. Binder, 190M305, 251NW665. See Dun. Dig. 1705.

9802. Penalties for contempt of court .--- Upon the evidence so taken, the court or officer shall determine the guilt or innocence of the person proceeded against, and, if he is adjudged guilty of the contempt charged. he shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$250.00. or by imprisonment in the county jail, workhouse or work farm for not more than six months, or by both. But in case of his inability to pay the fine or endure the imprisonment, he may be relieved by the court or officer in such manner and upon such terms as may be just. (R. L. '05, §4648; G. S. '13, §8363; Apr. 15, 1933, c. 267.)

Contempt is not a "crime" within §9934, and, in view of §9802, punishment can only be by imprisonment in county jail and not in a workhouse. 175M57, 220NW414.

9803. Indemnity to injured party.

Postnuptial agreements properly made between hus-band and wife after a separation, are not contrary to public policy, but the parties cannot, by a postnuptial agreement, oust the court of jurisdiction to award ali-mony or to punish for contempt a failure to comply with the judgment, though it followed the agreement. 178M 75, 226NW211. Fines for contempt as indemnity to a party in an ac-tion. 16MinnLawRev791.

9804. Imprisonment until performance.

A proceeding to corce payment of money is for a civil contempt. Imprisonment cannot be imposed on one who is unable to pay. 173M100, 216NW606. Payment of alimony and attorney's fees. 178M75, 226 NW701.

Payment of alimony and attorney's fees. 176M75, 226 NW701.
A lawful judicial command to a corporation is in effect a command to its officers, who may be punished for contempt for disobedience to its terms. 181M559, 233NW 586. See Dun. Dig. 1708.
Father of a bastard cannot be punished for contempt in not obeying an order to save money which it is not in his power to obey. State v. Strong, 192M420, 256NW 900. See Dun. Dig. 850, 1708.
One failing to replace lateral support as required by judgment held guilty of constructive contempt. Johnson v. F., 196M81, 264NW232. See Dun. Dig. 1702.
Habeas corpus is not to be used as substitute for an appeal or writ of error, and therefore cannot be used to determine whether or not there was an erroneous decision of issue whether relator was or was not able to pay alimony supporting order of imprisonment for contempt. State v. Gibbons, 199M445, 271NW873. See Dun. Dig. 4129.
9807. Hearing.

9807. Hearing.

It is not against public policy to receive testimony of jurors in a proceeding for contempt of one of the jurors in obtaining her acceptance on the jury by willful con-cealment of her interest in the case. U. S. v. Clark, (DC-Minn), 1FSupp747. Aff'd 61F(2d)695, aff'd 289US1, 53SCD45. 53SCR465.

CHAPTER 92

Witnesses and Evidence

1217

WITNESSES

9808. Definition.

Testimony on former trial admissible where witness absent from state. 171M216, 213NW902. Whether collateral matters may be proved to discredit a witness is within the discretion of the trial court. 171 M515, 213NW923. The foundation for expect testimony is largely a mat

a withess is within the discretion of the that court. The M515, 213NW923. The foundation for expert testimony is largely a mat-ter within the discretion of the trial court. Dumbeck v. C., 177M261, 225NW111. Where a witness is able to testify to the material facts from his own recollection, it is not prejudiclal er-ror to refuse to permit him to refer to a memorandum in order to refresh his memory. Bullock v. N., 182M192, 233NW858. See Dun. State v. Novak, 181M504, 233NW 309. See Dun. Dig. 10344a. There was no violation of the parol evidence rule in admitting testimony to identify the party with whom defendant contracted, the written contract being am-biguous and uncertain. Drabeck v. W., 182M217, 234NW 6. See Dun. Dig. 3368.

After prima facie proof that the person who nego-tiated the contract the defendant signed was the agent of plaintiff, evidence of such person's declarations or statements during the negotiation was admissible. Dra-beck v. W., 182M217, 234NW6. See Dun. Dig. 3393. Letter written by expert witness contrary to his testi-mony, held admissible. Jensen v. M., 185M284, 240NW 656. See Dun. Dig. 3343.

9809. Subpoena, by whom issued. Power of trial judge to summon witnesses. 15Minn LawRev350.

9810. How served.

A subpoena issued by Senate investigation committee sent to person for whom it is intended by registered mail is of no effect. Op. Atty. Gen., Apr. 12, 1933. Subpoena to appear before senate committee must be served by an individual and one sent by registered mail is without effect. Op. Atty. Gen., Apr. 12, 1933. Secretary of conservation commission could not be required by subpoena to produce all of his correspond-