# GENERAL STATUTES

OF THE

# STATE OF MINNESOTA

As Amended by Subsequent Legislation, with which are Incorporated All General Laws of the State in Force December 31, 1894

COMPILED AND EDITED BY
HENRY B. WENZELL, Assisted by EUGENE F. LANE

WITH ANNOTATIONS BY FRANCIS B. TIFFANY and Others

AND A GENERAL INDEX BY THE EDITORIAL STAFF OF THE NATIONAL REPORTER SYSTEM

COMPLETE IN TWO VOLUMES

# VOL. 1

CONTAINING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, THE ORDINANCE OF 1787,
THE ORGANIC ACT, ACT AUTHORIZING A STATE GOVERNMENT, THE STATE
CONSTITUTION, THE ACT OF ADMISSION INTO THE UNION, AND

Sections 1 to 4821 of the General Statutes

ST. PAUL, MINN.
WEST PUBLISHING CO.
1894

Ch. 62

DIVORCE

### CHAPTER 62.

### DIVORCE.

Divorces Dissolving the Marriage Contract, §§ 4785-4813.

Limited Divorces, §§ 4814-4821.

### TITLE 1.

### DIVORCES DISSOLVING THE MARRIAGE CONTRACT.

What marriages are void.

All marriages which are prohibited by law, on account of consanguinity between the parties, or on account of either of them having a former husband or wife then living, shall, if solemnized within this state, be absolutely void, without any decree of divorce, or other legal proceedings: provided, that if any person whose husband or wife has been absent for five successive years, without being known to such person to be living during that time, marries during the lifetime of such absent husband or wife, the mart riage shall be void only from the time that its nullity is pronounced by a court of competent authority.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 1; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 1.) When the husband has deserted the wife, the fact that he has heard nothing from her for seven years will not create a presumption of her death so as to render a second marriage by him innocent. Williams v. Williams, (Wis.) 23 N. W. Rep. 110. No presumption of law as to the dissolution by divorce of a former marriage arises from the fact that a second marriage was contracted by one of the parties to the first marriage

during the life-time of the other. Id. When a person marries under the circumstances mentioned in the provise of this section, the marriage is valid at the time of a decree annulling it; its validity cannot be assailed collaterally. Charles v. Charles, 41 Minn. 201, 42 N. W. Rep. 935.

What marriages are voidable.

When either of the parties to a marriage, for want of age or understanding, is incapable of assenting thereto, or when the consent of either pa has been obtained by force or fraud, and there is no subsequent volunt cohabitation of the parties, the marriage shall be void from the time ... nullity is declared by a court of competent authority.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 2; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 2.) Concealment of the previous unchaste character of the woman, or false representations made to induce the man to believe her chaste, are not such fraud as will render the marriage void. Varney v. Varney, (Wis.) 8 N. W. Rep. 739. And see Williams v. Williams, (Wis.) 23 N. W. Rep. 110.

See, as to duress, Smith v. Smith, (Mich.) 17 N. W. Rep. 76.

Neither kleptomania nor concealment of the same is a sufficient ground for avoidance. Lewis v. Lewis, 44 Minn. 124, 46 N. W. Rep. 323.

Action to annul marriage.

When a marriage is supposed to be void, or the validity thereof is disputed, for any of the causes mentioned in the two preceding sections, either party may file a complaint in the district court of the county where the parties, or one of them, reside, for annulling the same; and such complaint shall be filed, and proceedings had thereon, as in the case of proceedings in said court for a divorce; and upon due proof of the nullity of the marriage, it shall be adjudged null and void.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 3; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 3.)

See Charles v. Charles, cited in note to § 4785.

When marriage shall not be annulled.

No marriage shall be adjudged a nullity, on the ground that one of the parties was under the age of legal consent, if it appears that the parties,

4788 78-M - 168

76-NW 269

(1267)

[Ch. 62

ad obtained such age, had voluntarily cohabited together as wife; nor shall the marriage of any insane person be adjudged is restoration to reason, if it appears that the parties freely gether as husband and wife, after such insane person was resound mind.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 4; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 4.)

v. Lewis, cited in note to § 4786.

Marriage not to be annulled at suit of party capable of contracting.

narriage shall be adjudged a nullity, at the suit of the party capable of contracting, on the ground that the other party was under the age of legal consent, or was idiotic or insane, if such idiocy or insanity was known to the party capable of contracting, at the time of such marriage.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 5; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 5.)

See Lewis v. Lewis, cited in note to § 4786.

92-M . 278

§ 4790. Divorce from bonds of matrimony.

A divorce from the bonds of matrimony may be adjudged and decreed by the district court, on suit brought in the county where the parties, or either of them, reside, for either of the following causes:

First. Adultery; Second. Impotency:

Third. Cruel and inhuman treatment;

Fourth. When either party, subsequent to the marriage, has been sentenced to imprisonment in the state prison;

Fifth. Wilful descriton of one party by the other, for the term of three years next preceding the filing of the complaint;
Sixth. Habitual druhkenness for the space of one year, immediately preceding the filing of the complaint.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 6; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 6.) A decree for limited divorce does not bar an action for absolute divorce for any

statutory cause. Evans v. Evans, 43 Minn. 31, 44 N. W. Rep. 524.

A judgment on the merits against the wife in an action for absolute divorce, on the

A judgment on the merits against the wife in an action for absolute divorce, on the ground of cruel and inhuman treatment, is a bar to an action for limited divorce, on the same grounds. Wagner v. Wagner, 36 Minn. 239, 30 N. W. Rep. 766.

Subd. 2. "Impotency" idefined. Payne v. Payne, 46 Minn. 467, 49 N. W. Rep. 230.

Subd. 2. "Cruel and inhuman treatment. Sackrider v. Sackrider, (Iowa,) 14 N. W. Pap. 736; Wheeler v. Wheeler, (Iowa,) 5 N. W. Rep. 689; Lockwood v. Lockwood, ich.) 5 N. W. Rep. 96; Stafford v. Stafford, (Mich.) 19 N. W. Rep. 201; Friend v. iend, Id. 176; Beyer v. Beyer, (Wis.) 6 N. W. Rep. 807; Sharp v. Sharp, (Ill.) 6 N. E. Paep. 15; Whaley v. Whaley, (Iowa,) 27 N. W. Rep. 809; German v. German, (Mich.) 28 N. W. Rep. 802; Walshiy Walsh, (Mich.) 28 N. W. Rep. 718.

See Segelbaum v. Segelbaum, 39 Minn. 258, 39 N. W. Rep. 492.

Subd. 5. A district court judgment, in an action between a wife and her husband,

Subd. 5. A district court judgment, in an action between a wife and her husband, adjudging that the latter shall pay monthly to the former the sum of \$30 for her separate support and maintenance, until the further order of the court, is an implied aurate support and maintenance, until the further order of the court, is an implied authority for the wife to live separately and apart from her husband; and such living on her part, while the judgment remains in force, is not, though accompanied with a refusal to live and cohabit with her husband, an act of desertion within the meaning of this section. Weld v. Weld. 28 Minn. 33, 8 N. W. Rep. 900. And see, as to desertion, Pilgrim v. Pilgrim, (Iowa, 10 N. W. Rep. 750; Beller v. Beller, (Mich.) 14 N. W. Rep. 696; Rose v. Rose, (Mich.) 14 N. W. Rep. 711; Holmes v. Holmes, (Mich.) 7 N. W. Rep. 999

See Segelbaum v. Segelbaum, 39 Minn. 258, 39 N. W. Rep. 492.
Sub3-6. In a drunkenness must have been indulged in for one year "immediately preceding the filing of the complaint." Reynolds v. Reynolds, 44 Minn. 132, 46 N. W. Rep. 236.

Divorce for imprisonment, not affected by pardon. After a divorce on account of imprisonment in the state prison, a pardon shall not restore the party imprisoned to his conjugal rights. (G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 7; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 7.)

§ 4792. Complainant must be a resident—Exception.

No divorce shall be granted, unless the complainant has resided in this state one year immediately preceding the time of exhibiting the complaint, (1268)

4792 W 269 W 108

433 78-NW 108

### MINNESOTA STATUTES 1894

§§ 4792 DIVORCES DISSOLVING THE MARRIAGE CONTRACT.

except for adultery committed while the complainant was a resident of this

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 8; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 8.

Denial of divorce although adultery is proved. § 4793.

In any action brought for a divorce on the ground of adultery, although the fact of adultery is established, the court may deny a divorce in the following cases:

When it appears that the offence was committed by the procure-First.

ment or with the connivance of the complainant;

Second. When there has been an express forgiveness of the adultery charged, or a voluntary cohabitation of the parties, with knowledge of the offence;

Third. When the action has not been brought within three years after the

discovery, by the complainant, of the offence charged; Fourth. When it is proved that the plaintiff has also been guilty of adultery, under such circumstances as would have entitled the defendant, if innocent, to a divorce.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 9; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 9.)

In an action for divorce upon any other ground than that of adultery, the adultery of the plaintiff is not a bar to the action. But if plaintiff, in her complaint, claims alimony, her adultery may be pleaded and proved as a defense, in whole or in part, to that claim. Buerfening v. Buerfening, 23 Minn. 563. As to plaintiff's adultery, see Smith v. Smith, (Iowa,) 21 N. W. Rep. 187.

Connivance. Robbins v. Robbins, (Mass.) 5 N. E. Rep. 837.

Condonation. Harnett v. Harnett, (Iowa,) 7 N. W. Rep. 394, 18 N. W. Rep. 408

Stuart v. Stuart, (Mich.) 11 N. W. Rep. 388.

Cruelty may be the subject of condonation. Clarue v. Clarue, 46 Minn. 461, 49 N.

Cruelty may be the subject of condonation. Clague v. Clague, 46 Minn. 461, 49 N. W. Rep. 198.

Action, how and where brought. § 4794.

An action for a divorce may be brought by a wife in her own name; and all actions for divorce shall be commenced by summons and complaint, in the county where the plaintiff resides, as hereinafter provided.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 10; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 10.)

Requisites of complaint.

The complaint shall contain the names and ages of the parties, the name of the court in which the action is brought, and a statement of the facts constituting the cause or grounds of action, in ordinary and concise language, with out repetition.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 11; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 1)

A complaint for divorce need not show in what county the plaintiff resides, nor the adultery upon which it is based has not been condoned, nor that it was not comitted by the procurement or with the connivance of plaintiff. Young v. Young, Minn. 90, (Gil. 72.)

The charge of adultery must generally state time, place and person. Freeman, 39 Minn. 370, 40 N. W. Rep. 167.

Service of summons and complaint—Publication. Copies of the summons and complaint shall be served on the defendant personally; and when such service is made out of this state, it may be proved by the affidavit of the person making the same, with the certificate of the clerk of the court of the county, to the identity of the officer taking the affi davit; but if personal service cannot well be made, the court may orde

service of the summons by publication, as in other actions. (G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 12; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 1.

Any course of action by the plaintiff, which is intended to and does prevent the defendant from setting up and establishing a defense to the action, is a fraud upon the administration of justice, as well as upon the defendant, for which the judgment thereby procured will be set aside. Young v. Young, 17 Minn. 181, (Gil. 153.)

§ 4797. Time for answering.

The defendant shall have thirty days in which to answer the complaint; in case of service by publication, said thirty days shall not begin to run until the expiration of the period allowed for publication; and in case of personal service out of the state, the court shall not permit the action to be he rd

4794

6-NW 269

4795

83-NW 342 §§ 4797-4803

DIVORCE.

determined, as upon default, until the lapse of such reasonable allow the defendant to appear and answer, which time shall order, after proof of such service is made and filed in the action. (G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 13; G. S. 1878

§ 4798. Proceedings on failure to answer—Refer If, after service duly made and proved, the defendant does : the court, at a general or special term, or the judge out of term, m.y. to hear and determine the action: provided, that the court or judge, up plication, may refer said action to a referee to take and report the ev therein. When issue is joined, like proceedings shall be had as in citions.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 14, as amended 1878, c. 13, § 1; G. S. 1878, c. 62, A referee may be appointed under subd. 2, § 192, c. 66, G. S. 1866 (§ 5354), to take and report the testimony in an action for divorce, as well when the defendant is in default as when defendant has appeared and issue is joined. This section does not pretend to regulate the manner in which such testimony shall be taken. Young v. Young, 18 Minn. 90, (Gil. 72.)

4799. Alimony pending suit—Costs.

In every action brought, either for a divorce or separation, the court may in its discretion, require the husband to pay any sum necessary to enable the wife to carry on or defend the action, or for her support during its pendency; and it may adjudge costs against either party, and award execution for the same, or it may direct such costs to be paid out of any property sequestered, or in the power of the court, or in the hands of a receiver.

(G. S. 1886, c. 62, § 15; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 15.) The court has no authority to grant an application for an allowance for counsel fees and expenses to enable a wife to prosecute an action for divorce, after the determination of the suit, and judgment in favor of the defendant. Wagner v. Wagner, 34 Minn.

tou of the suit, and judgment in layor of the defendant. Wagner v. Wagner, 34 Minn. 441, 26 N. W. Rep. 450.

See Segelbaum v. Segelbaum, 39 Minn. 258, 39 N. W. Rep. 492.

Effect of dismissal of action on a commitment for nonpayment of alimony. In re Fanning, 40 Minn. 4, 41 N. W. Rep. 1076.

§ 4800. Protection of wife pending suit, etc.

When an action is commenced or about to be commenced to annul a marriage, or for a divorce or separation, the court may at any time, either in term or vacation, on the petition of the wife, prohibit the husband from im-posing any restraint on her personal liberty during the pendency of the ac-

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 16; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 16.)

4801. Custody, etc., of children pending suit.

The court may, in like manner, on the application of either party, make such der concerning the care and custody of the minor children of the parties, and their suitable maintenance during the pendency of such action, and may make such temporary orders relative to the persons or property of the parties, as shall be deemed necessary and proper.
(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 17; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 17.)

§ 4802. Provision in decree for custody, etc., of children. Upon granting a decree of nullity of marriage, or of divorce or separation. he court may make such further order as it deems just and proper concerning 'e care, custody and maintenance of the minor children of the parties, and tetermine with which of the parents the children, or any of them, shall remain, having due regard to the age and sex of such children.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 18; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 18.)

Order concerning children may be revised.

The court may, from time to time afterward, on the petition of either of the parents, revise and alter such order concerning the care, custody and maintenance of the children, or any of them, and make such new order concerning the same as the circumstances of the parents, and the benefit of the children, require.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 19; G. S.

4799 69·M - 461 72·NW 708 76·NW 269

270)

# RCES DISSOLVING THE MARRINGE CONTRACT. S§\$ 4804-4808

Tit. 1] Dr

service ou.

/ife entitled to possession of her real estate,

except for when.

he nullity of a marriage, or a divorce from the bond of matriy cause, excepting that of adultery committed by the wife, is

4793. When the husband is sentenced to imprisonment for life, and
In any rery divorce from bed and board, the wife shall be entitled to
fact of a te possession of all her real estate, in like manner as if her huscases:

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 20; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 20.)

Sec. 5. Order as to personal estate, etc., of wife.

cha i every such dissolution of marriage as is specified in the preceding seconfficience of the court may make a further order for restoring to the wife the whole, or part as it deems just and reasonable, of the personal estate that has come to the husband by reason of the marriage, or for awarding to her the value thereof; and also the value of any real estate of the wife disposed of by the husband and wife during the coverture, to be paid by her husband in money; and such court may require the husband to disclose on oath what personal estate has come to him by reason of the marriage, and how the

same has been disposed of, and what portion thereof remains in his hands. (G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 21; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 21.)

### § 4806. Court may appoint trustee of alimony.

The court has power to appoint trustees, whenever it is deemed expedient, to receive any sum or sums of money ordered to be paid to the wife, upon trust to invest the same, and pay over the income for the support and maintenance of the wife, or of the wife and minor children of the parties, or any of them, in such manner as the court shall direct; or to pay over to the wife the principal sum, in such proportions and at such times as the court shall order, regard being had in all such cases to the situation and circumstances of such wife, and also the children, if there are any, provided for in the order; and such trustees shall give such bond with surety as the court shall require, for the faithful performance of their trust.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 22; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 22.)

### § 4807. Property of husband to be transferred to wife— Permanent alimony.

Upon every divorce for any cause, excepting that of adultery committed by the wife, if the estate and property restored or awarded to the wife is insufficient for the suitable support and maintenance of herself, and such children of the marriage as shall be committed to her care and custody, or if there is no such estate and property, the court may further order and decree to her such part of the personal estate of the husband, not exceeding onethird part thereof in value, and such real estate of the husband, not exceeding the value of her dower, as it deems just and reasonable, having regard to the ability of the husband, and the character and situation of the parties, and all the other circumstances of the case. The court may, also, in the cases provided for in this section, decree to the wife such alimony out of the estate of the husband as it may deem just and reasonable, having regard to the ability of the husband, and the character and situation of the parties, and all the other circumstances of the case; and may, by its decree, make the same a specific lien upon any specified parcels of the real estate of the husband, or authorize its enforcement by execution against his property, real and personal; but the aggregate award and allowance made to the wife from the estate of her husband, under the provisions of this section, shall not in any case exceed, in present value, the one-third part of the personal estate of the husband, and the value of her dower in his real estate.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 23; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 23.)

(1271)

# § 4808. When wife shall have dower in case of divorce. When the marriage is dissolved by the husband being sentenced to imment, and when a divorce is ordered for the cause of adultery com-

4807 61-NW 334 59-NW 1017

4807 59-M - 350

4807 67-M - 444 69-M - 428 70-NW 154

4807 01 - 144 78-M - 479

4808 92-M . 527

§§ 4808-4813

4809

88-M . 105

90-M . 466

4810

63-M - 443 65-NW 728 DIVORCE.

[Ch. 62

mitted by the husband, the wife shall be entitled to her dower in his lands, in the same manner as if he was dead.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 24; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 24.)

"Dower" includes the statutory provisions in lieu of dower, but such interest cannot be set off in the divorce suit. Holmes v. Holmes, 54 Minn. 352, 55 N. W. Rep. 46.

# 3 4809. Order for alimony or other allowance may be revised.

After an order or decree for alimony, or other allowance for the wife and children, or either of them, and also for the appointment of trustees to receive and hold any property for the use of the wife or children, as before provided, the court may, from time to time, on petition of either of the parties, revise and alter such order or decree respecting the amount of such alimony or allowance and the payment thereof and also respecting the appropriation and payment of the principal and income of the property so held in trust, and may make any order respecting any of the said matters which such court might have made in the original action.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 25; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 25.)

Authority to reverse and alter a judgment for alimony, under this section, is to be exercised only upon new facts occurring after judgment, or, perhaps, also upon facts occurring before the judgment, of which a party was excusably ignorant at the time when the judgment was rendered. Semrow v. Semrow, 23 Minn. 214; followed, Weld v. Weld, 28 Minn. 33, 8 N. W. Rep. 900.

### 4810. Alimony-Security-Sequestration-Contempt.

In all cases when alimony or other allowance is ordered or decreed to the wife or children, the court may require sufficient security to be given by the husband for the payment thereof, according to the terms of the order or decree; and upon the neglect or refusal of the husband to give such security, or upon his failure to pay such alimony or allowance, the court may sequester his personal estate, and the rents and profits of his real estate, and may appoint a receiver thereof, and cause such personal estate, and the rents and profits of such real estate, to be applied according to the terms of such order or decree.

Or the court, whenever it shall find the fact to be that the husband has an income from any source sufficient to enable him to pay such alimony or other allowance, and fails and refuses to pay the same, may order or direct the husband to pay such alimony or allowance for the use of the wife or the children, or both. And if any person or party shall disobey such order or direction, such person or party may be punished by the court as for contempt. The proceedings therefor are prescribed in chapter eighty-seven of the General Statutes Eighteen Hundred and Seventy-Eight, respecting the punishment of contempt.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 26; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 26; as amended 1881, c. 78, § 1.)

## § 4811. Remarriage after divorce — Revocation of decree.

When an order of divorce has been granted, and the parties afterward intermarry, the court, upon their joint application, and upon satisfactory proof of such marriage, may revoke all decrees and orders of divorce, alimony and subsistence, which will not affect the rights of third persons.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 27; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 27.)

### § 4812. Persons cohabiting after divorce—Penalty.

If any persons, after being divorced from the bond of matrimony, for any cause whatever, cohabit together before intermarriage, they shall be liable to all the penalties provided by law against adultery.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 28; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 28.)

4813

### § 4813. Effect of divorce—Change of name of wife.

Whenever an order of divorce from the bond of matrimony is granted in this state by a court of competent authority, such order shall fully and completely dissolve the marriage contract as to both parties. And in all actions for a divorce brought by a female, if a divorce is granted, the court may, for just and reasonable cause, change the name of such female, who shall

(1272)

## **MINNESOTA STATUTES 1894**

Tit. 21

LIMITED DIVORCES.

§§ 4813-4818

thereafter be known and called by such name as the court designates in its order or decree.

(G. S. 1866, c. 62, § 29; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 29.)

### [TITLE 2.]

### [LIMITED DIVORCES.1]

§ 4814. Separation—In what cases granted.

A separation from bed and board forever, or for a limited time, may be decreed by the district court or court of common pleas, on the complaint of a married woman, in the following cases:

First. Between any husband and wife inhabitants of this state. Second. When the marriage shall have been solemnized, or shall have taken place, within this state, and the wife shall be an actual resident at the time of exhibiting her complaint.

Third. When the marriage shall have taken place out of this state, and the parties have become and remain inhabitants of this state at least one year, and the wife shall be an actual resident at the time of exhibiting her complaint.

(1876, c. 118, § 1; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 30.)

See Evans v. Evans and Wagner v. Wagner, cited in note to § 4790. In an action for divorce from the bonds of matrimony, on the ground of cruel and inhuman treatment, the court may grant a separation from bed and board, if the plainff asks it. Wagner v. Wagner, supra. See, also, Grant v. Grant, 53 Minn. 181, 54 N. W. Rep. 1059.

#### § **4815**. For what causes.

Such separation may be decreed for the following causes:

First. The cruel and inhuman treatment, by the husband, of his wife.

Second. Such conduct on the part of the husband towards his wife as may render it unsafe and improper for her to cohabit with him.

Third. The abandonment of the wife by the husband, and his refusal or neglect to provide for her.

(1876, c. 118, § 2; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 31.)

Subd. 2. Compelling wife to submit to excessive intercourse may be a ground for limited divorce. Grant v. Grant, 53 Minn. 181, 54 N. W. Rep. 1059.
Subd. 3. The abandonment need not be for such length of time as to entitle the wife

to an absolute divorce. Grant v. Grant, supra.

#### Requisites of complaint.

The bill of the complainant in every such case shall specify particularly the nature and circumstances of the complaint on which she relies, and shall set forth times and places with reasonable certainty.

(1876, c. 118, § 3; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 32.)

### § 4817. Defences.

The defendant in any such suit may be permitted to prove, in his justification, the ill-conduct of the complainant, and, on establishing such defence to the satisfaction of the court, the bill shall be dismissed.

(1876, c. 118, § 4; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 33.)

#### Proceedings under this act—Alimony, etc. § **4818**.

Proceedings under this act shall be commenced and conducted in the same manner as is now prescribed by law in actions for a divorce from the bond of marriage; and the court in which the application is made, may, upon motion, award such sum for counsel fees, and temporary alimony during the pending of the action, as the circumstances and the situation of the parties appear to warrant.

(1876, c. 118, § 5; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 34.)

4814 87-M . 136

An act to provide for limited divorce. Approved March 6, 1876 (Laws 1876, c. 118). (1273)

**§§** 4819-4821

DIVORCE.

[Ch. 62

§ 4819. Decree as to alimony and wife's property.

Upon decreeing a separation in any such suit, the court may make such further decree as the nature and circumstances of the case may require, and may make such order and decree for the suitable support and maintenance of the wife and her children, or any of them, by the husband, or out of his property, as may appear just and proper; and may, by such decree, give the wife absolute control of her separate property, with power of alienation.

(1876, c. 118, § 6, as amended 1877, c. 70, § 1; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 35.)

§ 4820. Same—When separation is not granted.

Although a decree for separation from bed and board be not made, the court may make such order or decree for the support and maintenance of the wife and her children, or any of them, by the husband, or out of his property, as the nature of the case renders suitable and proper.
(1876, c. 118, § 7; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 36.)

See Weld v. Weld, 28 Minn. 35, 8 N. W. Rep. 900.

87-M . 136

§ 4821. Revocation of decree.

When a decree for a separation forever, or for a limited period, shall have been pronounced, it may be revoked at any time thereafter by the same court by which it was pronounced, under such regulations and restrictions as the court may impose, upon the joint application of the parties, and upon their producing satisfactory evidence of their reconciliation.

(1876, c. 118, § 8; G. S. 1878, c. 62, § 37.)

(1274)