## 1938 Supplement

# To Mason's Minnesota Statutes

(1927 to 1938)

(Superseding Mason's 1931, 1934, and 1936 Supplements)

Containing the text of the acts of the 1929, 1931, 1933, 1935, and 1937 General Sessions, and the 1933-34, 1935-36, 1936, and 1937 Special Sessions of the Legislature, both new and amendatory, and notes showing repeals, together with annotations from the various courts, state and federal, and the opinions of the Attorney

General, construing the constitution, statutes, charters
and court rules of Minnesota together with digest
of all common law decisions.



#### Edited by

WILLIAM H. MASON, Editor-in-Chief
W. H. MASON, JR.
R. O. MASON
J. S. O'BRIEN
H. STANLEY HANSON
R. O. MASON, JR.

MASON PUBLISHING CO. SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA 1938

36. Estoppel.

36. Estoppel.

Acceptance of benefits from contract with knowledge of facts and rights creates estoppel. Bacich v. N., 185 M654, 242NW379. See Dun. Dig. 3204a.

Acceptance of reduced wages by employee did not estop him from claiming that he was working under original contract of employment at greater wage. Dormady v. H., 188M121, 246NW521. See Dun. Dig. 3204a.

Mortgagee was not estopped to assert lien of mortgage by receipt of proceeds of sales of lots upon which mortgage was a lien. Peterson v. C., 188M309, 247NW1. See Dun. Dig. 6270.

Knowledge of facts prevent assertion of estoppel. Merchants' & Farmers' State Bank v. O., 189M528, 250NW366. See Dun. Dig. 3210.

Other necessary elements of an equitable estoppel be-

See Dun. Dig. 3210.

Other necessary elements of an equitable estoppel being present, officer of corporation who negotiates and executes a contract for corporation, is estopped to deny truth or representations made, although he signs contract only in his official name. Wiedemann v. B., 190M33, 250NW724. See Dun. Dig. 3187.

Holding on that point in Kern v. Chalfant, 7 Minn. 487 (Gil. 393), was, in effect, overruled in North Star Land Co. v. Taylor, 129Minn438, 152NW837. Id.

Two of elements necessary to an equitable estoppel, or an estoppel in pais, are that party to whom representations are made must have been without knowledge of true facts, and must have relied upon or acted upon such representations to his prejudice. Id. See Dun. Dig. 3189, 3191.

Without prejudice to it shown by bank after discovery by payee that his forged indorsement had been honored by it, payee is not estopped from recovery from it on

account of forgery. Rosacker v. C., 191M553, 254NW824. See Dun. Dig. 3192.

A defense of estoppel was not sustained because the facts upon which it was predicated were equally known to both parties. Leighton v. B., 192M223, 255NW848. See Dun. Dig. 3189.

Where the complaint tendered issue that blanks in conditional sale contract were not filled pursuant to agreement, and defendant did not by answer or proof attempt to establish that it was an innocent assignee of vendor, it is not in position to invoke estoppel against plaintiff. Saunders v. C., 192M272, 256NW142. See Dun. Dig. 3210.

Where one sent money for deposit in bank instead purchased bonds and sent them to plaintiff with promise

plaintiff. Saunders v. C., 192M272, 256NW142. See Dun. Dig. 3210.

Where one sent money for deposit in bank instead purchased bonds and sent them to plaintiff with promise to take them over at any time if they were not wanted, there was no rescission or estoppel as to the guaranty because on request of guilty party plaintiff pledged them as security for a loan and later surrendered them to a bondholder's committee, and plaintiff could recover on the guaranty agreement. Wigdale v. A., 193M384, 258 NW726. See Dun. Dig. 1807, 3210.

Farmer held not estopped from asserting claim for cost of service line under oral agreement with agent of power company by reason of fact that he was charged a reduced rate as service charge. Bjornstad v. N., 195M 439, 263NW289. See Dun. Dig. 1730a.

Estoppel must be grounded on some conduct of party against whom it is invoked. Town of Hagen v. T., 197 M507, 267NW484. See Dun. Dig. 3185.

To ratify is to give sanction and validity to something done without authority, while estoppel is inducement to another to act to his prejudice. State Bank of Loretto v. L., 198M222, 269NW399. See Dun. Dig. 3185.

#### CHAPTER 50

#### Weights and Measures

7025. Standard weight of bushel, etc.—In contracts for the sale of any of the following articles, the term "bushel" shall mean the number of pounds avoirdupois herein stated:

Corn, in ear, 70; beans, (except lima beans, scarlet runner pole beans and white runner pole beans, and broad windsor beans) smooth peas, wheat, clover seed, Irish potatoes and alfalfa, 60; broom corn seed and sorghum seed, 57; shelled corn, (except sweet corn), rye, lima beans, flaxseed and wrinkled peas, 56; sweet potatoes and turnips 55; onions and rutabagas, 52; buckwheat, hempseed, rapeseed, beets, (GREEN APPLES), walnuts, rhubarb, hickory nuts, chestnuts, tomatoes, scarlet runner pole beans and white runner pole beans, 50; barley, millet, Hungarian grass seed, sweet corn, cucumbers and peaches, 48; broad windsor beans, 47; carrots, timothy seed and pears, 45; Parsnips, 42; spelt or spilts, 40; cranberries, 36; oats and bottom onion-sets, 32; dried apples, dried peaches and top onion-sets, 28; peanuts, 22; blue grass, orchard grass and red-top seed, 14; plastering hair, unwashed, 8; plastering hair, washed, 4; lime, 80; but if sold by the barrel the weight shall be 200 pounds. In contracts for the sale of green apples, the term "bushel" shall mean 2150.42 cubic inches. (R. L. '05, §2728; '13, c. 560, §4; G. S. '13, §5794; Apr. 24, 1935, c. 270.)

7026. Standard measurement of wood.

Cord as defined in this section governs in sale of cord wood by private parties. Op. Atty. Gen., Dec. 4, 1933.

7031. Variations—Duty of railroad and warehouse commission.

Statutory provisions relative to weighing supersede any charter or ordinance provisions on same subject. Op. Atty. Gen. (495), Dec. 27, 1935.

7035-1. Weight of bread, etc.

Bread cannot be sold in lesser weights than as provided herein. Op. Atty. Gen. (495), Apr. 16, 1934.

7035-2. Bread to be wrapped.—Each loaf or twin loaf of bread sold within this state shall be wrapped in a clean wrapper and/or clean wrapping paper in such manner as to completely protect the bread from dust, dirt, vermin or other contamination, said wrapping to be done in the bakery where made at any time prior to or at the time of sale of such bread, provided, however, that where three or more loaves of bread are sold and delivered at the bakery for personal use, then and in that case said bread may be wrapped in

Every loaf or twin loaf of bread sold within this state shall have affixed on said loaf or on the outside of the wrapper in a plain statement the weight of the loaf or twin loaf of bread, together with the name and address of the manufacturer. ('27, c. 351, §2; Apr. 24, 1931, c. 322, §1.)

Amendment (Laws 1931, c. 322) held invalid because in violation of Const., Art. 4, \$27, by embracing more than one subject. Egekvist Bakeries v. B., 186M520, 243NW853. See Dun. Dig. 8921.

Bread sold to civilian conservation camps must be labeled in compliance with this section. Op. Atty. Gen., Dec. 28, 1932

Bread sold labeled in con Dec. 28, 1933.

To be net weight.—The weights herein specified shall be construed to mean net weights within a period of 24 hours after baking. A variation at the rate of one ounce per pound over or one ounce per pound under the specified weight of each individual loaf shall not be a violation of this law, providing that the total weight of 25 loaves of bread of a given varie-

ty shall in no case fall below 25 times the unit weight. ('27, c. 351, §3; Apr. 24, 1931, c. 322, §2.)

#### CHAPTER 51

### Interest and Negotiable Instruments

INTEREST

7036. Rate of interest.

1. In general. 172M349, 215NW781.

172M349, 215NW781. Where bank which was depository and bondholder of railway petitioning for reorganization wrongfully deducted debt of railway from deposit, it was obligated to pay legal rate of interest as against contention agreement with railroad for a lower rate of interest presented such obligation. Lowden v. N., (USCCA8), 86F(2d)376, den'g petition to mod. 84F(2d)847, 31AmB(NS)655, which rev'd 11FSupp929.

It was error to charge a bank with interest on money under control of another bank. 172M24, 214NW750. Notes made by makers and guarantors in Minnesota and delivered to payees in Chicago, where payable, were governed with respect to interest and usury by the laws of Illinois. 174M68, 216NW778.

Where a partner contributes more than his share of partnership funds, he is not entitled to interest on the excess in the absence of an agreement to that effect. 177M602, 225NW924.

Rate after maturity. 180M326, 230NW812.

State is entitled to interest on preferred claims against insolvent bank in favor of surety claiming