

**299C.65 CRIMINAL AND JUVENILE JUSTICE INFORMATION POLICY GROUP.**

Subdivision 1. **Membership, duties.** (a) The Criminal and Juvenile Justice Information Policy Group consists of the commissioner of corrections, the commissioner of public safety, the state chief information officer, the commissioner of management and budget, four members of the judicial branch appointed by the chief justice of the Supreme Court, and the chair and first vice-chair of the Criminal and Juvenile Justice Information Task Force. The policy group may appoint additional, nonvoting members as necessary from time to time.

(b) The commissioner of public safety is designated as the chair of the policy group. The commissioner and the policy group have overall responsibility for the integration of statewide criminal justice information systems. This integration effort shall be known as CriMNet. The policy group may hire an executive director to manage the CriMNet projects and to be responsible for the day-to-day operations of CriMNet. The executive director shall serve at the pleasure of the policy group in unclassified service. The policy group must ensure that generally accepted project management techniques are utilized for each CriMNet project, including:

- (1) clear sponsorship;
- (2) scope management;
- (3) project planning, control, and execution;
- (4) continuous risk assessment and mitigation;
- (5) cost management;
- (6) quality management reviews;
- (7) communications management;
- (8) proven methodology; and
- (9) education and training.

(c) Products and services for CriMNet project management, system design, implementation, and application hosting must be acquired using an appropriate procurement process, which includes:

- (1) a determination of required products and services;
- (2) a request for proposal development and identification of potential sources;
- (3) competitive bid solicitation, evaluation, and selection; and
- (4) contract administration and close-out.

(d) The policy group shall study and make recommendations to the governor, the Supreme Court, and the legislature on:

(1) a framework for integrated criminal justice information systems, including the development and maintenance of a community data model for state, county, and local criminal justice information;

(2) the responsibilities of each entity within the criminal and juvenile justice systems concerning the collection, maintenance, dissemination, and sharing of criminal justice information with one another;

- (3) actions necessary to ensure that information maintained in the criminal justice information systems is accurate and up-to-date;
- (4) the development of an information system containing criminal justice information on gross misdemeanor-level and felony-level juvenile offenders that is part of the integrated criminal justice information system framework;
- (5) the development of an information system containing criminal justice information on misdemeanor arrests, prosecutions, and convictions that is part of the integrated criminal justice information system framework;
- (6) comprehensive training programs and requirements for all individuals in criminal justice agencies to ensure the quality and accuracy of information in those systems;
- (7) continuing education requirements for individuals in criminal justice agencies who are responsible for the collection, maintenance, dissemination, and sharing of criminal justice data;
- (8) a periodic audit process to ensure the quality and accuracy of information contained in the criminal justice information systems;
- (9) the equipment, training, and funding needs of the state and local agencies that participate in the criminal justice information systems;
- (10) the impact of integrated criminal justice information systems on individual privacy rights;
- (11) the impact of proposed legislation on the criminal justice system, including any fiscal impact, need for training, changes in information systems, and changes in processes;
- (12) the collection of data on race and ethnicity in criminal justice information systems;
- (13) the development of a tracking system for domestic abuse orders for protection;
- (14) processes for expungement, correction of inaccurate records, destruction of records, and other matters relating to the privacy interests of individuals; and
- (15) the development of a database for extended jurisdiction juvenile records and whether the records should be public or private and how long they should be retained.

Subd. 2. **Task force.** A task force shall assist the policy group in its duties. The task force shall monitor, review, and report to the policy group on CriMNet-related projects and provide oversight to ongoing operations as directed by the policy group. The task force shall consist of the following members:

- (1) two members appointed by the Minnesota Sheriffs Association, at least one of whom must be a sheriff;
- (2) two members appointed by the Minnesota Chiefs of Police Association, at least one of whom must be a chief of police;
- (3) two members appointed by the Minnesota County Attorneys Association, at least one of whom must be a county attorney;
- (4) two members appointed by the Minnesota League of Cities representing the interests of city attorneys, at least one of whom must be a city attorney;

(5) two members appointed by the Board of Public Defense, at least one of whom must be a public defender;

(6) two district judges appointed by the Judicial Council, at least one of whom has experience dealing with juvenile court matters;

(7) two corrections administrators appointed by the Minnesota Association of Counties representing the interests of local corrections, at least one of whom represents a community corrections act county;

(8) two probation officers appointed by the commissioner of corrections in consultation with the president of the Minnesota Association of Community Corrections Act Counties and the president of the Minnesota Association of County Probation Officers;

(9) four public members appointed by the governor for a term of six years, one of whom represents the interests of victims, and two of whom are representatives of the private business community who have expertise in integrated information systems and who for the purpose of meetings of the full task force may be compensated pursuant to section 15.059;

(10) two members appointed by the Minnesota Association for Court Management, at least one of whom must be a court administrator;

(11) one member of the house of representatives appointed by the speaker of the house, or an alternate who is also a member of the house of representatives, appointed by the speaker of the house;

(12) one member of the senate appointed by the majority leader, or an alternate who is also a member of the senate, appointed by the majority leader of the senate;

(13) one member appointed by the attorney general;

(14) two elected officials appointed by the Minnesota League of Cities, one of whom works or resides in greater Minnesota and one of whom works or resides in the seven-county metropolitan area;

(15) two elected officials appointed by the Minnesota Association of Counties, one of whom works or resides in greater Minnesota and one of whom works or resides in the seven-county metropolitan area;

(16) the director of the Sentencing Guidelines Commission or a designee;

(17) one member appointed by the state chief information officer;

(18) one member appointed by the commissioner of public safety;

(19) one member appointed by the commissioner of corrections;

(20) one member appointed by the commissioner of administration; and

(21) one member appointed by the chief justice of the Supreme Court.

Subd. 3. [Repealed, 2005 c 136 art 11 s 18]

Subd. 3a. **Report.** The policy group, with the assistance of the task force, shall file a biennial report with the governor, Supreme Court, and chairs and ranking minority members of the senate and house of representatives committees and divisions with jurisdiction over criminal

justice funding and policy by January 15 in each odd-numbered year. The report must provide the following:

- (1) status and review of current integration efforts and projects;
- (2) recommendations concerning any legislative changes or appropriations that are needed to ensure that the criminal justice information systems operate accurately and efficiently; and
- (3) summary of the activities of the policy group and task force.

Subd. 4. [Repealed, 2005 c 136 art 11 s 18]

Subd. 5. **Review of funding and grant requests.** (a) The Criminal and Juvenile Justice Information Policy Group shall review the funding requests for criminal justice information systems from state, county, and municipal government agencies. The policy group shall review the requests for compatibility to statewide criminal justice information system standards. The review shall be forwarded to the chairs and ranking minority members of the house of representatives and senate committees and divisions with jurisdiction over criminal justice funding and policy.

(b) The executive director, in consultation with the Criminal and Juvenile Justice Information Task Force and with the approval of the policy group, shall create the requirements for any grant request and determine the integration priorities for the grant period. The executive director shall also review the requests submitted for compatibility to statewide criminal justice information systems standards.

(c) The task force shall review funding requests for criminal justice information systems grants and make recommendations to the policy group. The policy group shall review the recommendations of the task force and shall make a final recommendation for criminal justice information systems grants to be made by the commissioner of public safety. Within the limits of available state appropriations and federal grants, the commissioner of public safety shall make grants for projects that have been recommended by the policy group.

(d) The policy group may approve grants only if the applicant provides an appropriate share of matching funds as determined by the policy group to help pay up to one-half of the costs of the grant request. The matching requirement must be constant for all applicants within each grant offering. The policy group shall adopt policies concerning the use of in-kind resources to satisfy the match requirement and the sources from which matching funds may be obtained. Local operational or technology staffing costs may be considered as meeting this match requirement. Each grant recipient shall certify to the policy group that it has not reduced funds from local, county, federal, or other sources which, in the absence of the grant, would have been made available to the grant recipient to improve or integrate criminal justice technology.

(e) All grant recipients shall submit to the executive director all requested documentation including grant status, financial reports, and a final report evaluating how the grant funds improved the agency's criminal justice integration priorities. The executive director shall establish the recipient's reporting dates at the time funds are awarded.

Subd. 6. [Repealed, 2005 c 136 art 11 s 18]

Subd. 7. [Repealed, 2005 c 136 art 11 s 18]

Subd. 8. [Repealed, 2005 c 136 art 11 s 18]

Subd. 8a. [Repealed, 2005 c 136 art 11 s 18]

Subd. 9. [Repealed, 2005 c 136 art 11 s 18]

**History:** *1993 c 266 s 33; 1994 c 576 s 41; 1997 c 239 art 8 s 17; 1999 c 216 art 2 s 14-19; 2000 c 311 art 5 s 1-4; 1Sp2001 c 8 art 6 s 5,6; 2005 c 136 art 11 s 12-15; 2005 c 156 art 5 s 19,20; 2006 c 212 art 1 s 26 subd 6; 2006 c 260 art 3 s 17; 2007 c 54 art 7 s 8,9; 2009 c 59 art 6 s 15,16; 2009 c 83 art 3 s 18; 2009 c 101 art 2 s 109*