## 254B.02 CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY ALLOCATION PROCESS.

Subdivision 1. Chemical dependency treatment allocation. The chemical dependency funds appropriated for allocation shall be placed in a special revenue account. The commissioner shall annually transfer funds from the chemical dependency fund to pay for operation of the drug and alcohol abuse normative evaluation system and to pay for all costs incurred by adding two positions for licensing of chemical dependency treatment and rehabilitation programs located in hospitals for which funds are not otherwise appropriated. Six percent of the remaining money must be reserved for tribal allocation under section 254B.09, subdivisions 4 and 5. The commissioner shall annually divide the money available in the chemical dependency fund that is not held in reserve by counties from a previous allocation, or allocated to the American Indian chemical dependency tribal account. Six percent of the remaining money must be reserved for the nonreservation American Indian chemical dependency allocation for treatment of American Indians by eligible vendors under section 254B.05, subdivision 1. The remainder of the money must be allocated among the counties according to the following formula, using state demographer data and other data sources determined by the commissioner:

- (a) For purposes of this formula, American Indians and children under age 14 are subtracted from the population of each county to determine the restricted population.
- (b) The amount of chemical dependency fund expenditures for entitled persons for services not covered by prepaid plans governed by section 256B.69 in the previous year is divided by the amount of chemical dependency fund expenditures for entitled persons for all services to determine the proportion of exempt service expenditures for each county.
- (c) The prepaid plan months of eligibility is multiplied by the proportion of exempt service expenditures to determine the adjusted prepaid plan months of eligibility for each county.
- (d) The adjusted prepaid plan months of eligibility is added to the number of restricted population fee for service months of eligibility for the Minnesota family investment program, general assistance, and medical assistance and divided by the county restricted population to determine county per capita months of covered service eligibility.
- (e) The number of adjusted prepaid plan months of eligibility for the state is added to the number of fee for service months of eligibility for the Minnesota family investment program, general assistance, and medical assistance for the state restricted population and divided by the state restricted population to determine state per capita months of covered service eligibility.
- (f) The county per capita months of covered service eligibility is divided by the state per capita months of covered service eligibility to determine the county welfare caseload factor.

- (g) The median married couple income for the most recent three-year period available for the state is divided by the median married couple income for the same period for each county to determine the income factor for each county.
- (h) The county restricted population is multiplied by the sum of the county welfare caseload factor and the county income factor to determine the adjusted population.
  - (i) \$15,000 shall be allocated to each county.
  - (j) The remaining funds shall be allocated proportional to the county adjusted population.
- Subd. 2. **County adjustment; maximum allocation.** The commissioner shall determine the state money used by each county in fiscal year 1986, using all state data sources. If available records do not provide specific chemical dependency expenditures for every county, the commissioner shall determine the amount of state money using estimates based on available data. In state fiscal year 1988, a county must not be allocated more than 150 percent of the state money spent by or on behalf of the county in fiscal year 1986 for chemical dependency treatment services eligible for payment under section 254B.05 but not including expenditures made for persons eligible for placement under section 254B.09, subdivision 6. The allocation maximums must be increased by 25 percent each year. After fiscal year 1992, there must be no allocation maximum. The commissioner shall reallocate the excess over the maximum to counties allocated less than the fiscal year 1986 state money, using the following process:
- (a) The allocation is divided by 1986 state expenditures to determine percentage of prior expenditure, and counties are ranked by percentage of prior expenditure less expenditures for persons eligible for placement under section 254B.09, subdivision 6.
- (b) The allocation of the lowest ranked county is raised to the same percentage of prior expenditure as the second lowest ranked county. The allocation of these two counties is then raised to the percentage of prior expenditures of the third lowest ranked county.
- (c) The operations under paragraph (b) are repeated with each county by ranking until the money in excess of the allocation maximum has been allocated.
- Subd. 3. **Reserve account.** The commissioner shall allocate money from the reserve account to counties that, during the current fiscal year, have met or exceeded the base level of expenditures for eligible chemical dependency services from local money. The commissioner shall establish the base level for fiscal year 1988 as the amount of local money used for eligible services in calendar year 1986. In later years, the base level must be increased in the same proportion as state appropriations to implement Laws 1986, chapter 394, sections 8 to 20, are increased. The base level must be decreased if the fund balance from which allocations are made under section

254B.02, subdivision 1, is decreased in later years. The local match rate for the reserve account is the same rate as applied to the initial allocation. Reserve account payments must not be included when calculating the county adjustments made according to subdivision 2. For counties providing medical assistance or general assistance medical care through managed care plans on January 1, 1996, the base year is fiscal year 1995. For counties beginning provision of managed care after January 1, 1996, the base year is the most recent fiscal year before enrollment in managed care begins. For counties providing managed care, the base level will be increased or decreased in proportion to changes in the fund balance from which allocations are made under subdivision 2, but will be additionally increased or decreased in proportion to the change in county adjusted population made in subdivision 1, paragraphs (b) and (c). Effective July 1, 2001, at the end of each biennium, any funds deposited in the reserve account funds in excess of those needed to meet obligations incurred under this section and sections 254B.06 and 254B.09 shall cancel to the general fund.

Subd. 4. **Allocation spending limits.** Money allocated according to subdivision 1 and section 254B.09, subdivision 4, is available for payments for up to two years. The commissioner shall deduct payments from the most recent year allocation in which money is available. Allocations under this section that are not used within two years must be reallocated to the reserve account for payments under subdivision 3. Allocations under section 254B.09, subdivision 4, that are not used within two years must be reallocated for payments under section 254B.09, subdivision 5.

Subd. 5. **Administrative adjustment.** The commissioner may make payments to local agencies from money allocated under this section to support administrative activities under sections 254B.03 and 254B.04. The administrative payment must not exceed five percent of the first \$50,000, four percent of the next \$50,000, and three percent of the remaining payments for services from the allocation.

**History:** 1986 c 394 s 9; 1987 c 299 s 4-7; 1989 c 282 art 2 s 103; 1995 c 207 art 3 s 13; 1997 c 85 art 4 s 7; 1997 c 203 art 4 s 6; art 7 s 16; 1999 c 159 s 32; 1Sp2001 c 9 art 3 s 4; 2002 c 379 art 1 s 113; 2007 c 147 art 11 s 12,13