

(2) The official bonds of county, town, city, village, and school district officers and employees by the governing body of the municipality for whose security they are, respectively, given;

(3) Those required or permitted by law to be given in any court, by the judge or justice of the court in which the proceeding is begun or pending;

(4) In the case of justices of the peace in cities and incorporated villages all bonds shall be surety bonds of a surety company duly authorized to transact business within this state. The premium for such bond may be paid by the municipality or other political subdivision out of its general revenue fund.

No officer, official, or employee required to give bond shall enter upon his duties until his bond is duly approved and filed.

[1973 c 524 s 15]

574.32 Notice

The commissioner of insurance or the county auditor in whose office the written notice is filed shall, upon receipt of such written notice, mail one copy of the same, by registered mail, to the principal contractor, at his last known address, and to each of the sureties on his bond, at their last known addresses, and the claimant shall, at the time he files the written notice, furnish the commissioner of insurance or the county auditor in whose office the notice is filed, at least two copies of the notice. The commissioner of insurance or county auditor with whom the notice is filed shall be entitled to charge a fee of \$5 for filing the notice and mailing the copies as herein provided. The failure of the commissioner of insurance or the county auditor with whom the notice is filed to mail these copies as herein provided, shall in no way affect the validity of the claim or the right of the claimant to maintain an action thereon.

[1973 c 241 s 1]

JUDICIAL PROOF

CHAPTER 595. WITNESSES

Sec.		Sec.	
595.02	Competency of witnesses.	595.023	Disclosure prohibited [New].
595.021	News media; protection of sources; citation [New].	595.024	Exception and procedure [New].
595.022	Public policy [New].	595.025	Defamation [New].

595.02 Competency of witnesses

Every person of sufficient understanding, including a party, may testify in any action or proceeding, civil or criminal, in court or before any person who has authority to receive evidence, except as follows:

(1) A husband cannot be examined for or against his wife without her consent, nor a wife for or against her husband without his consent, nor can either, during the marriage or afterwards, without the consent of the other, be examined as to any communication made by one to the other during the marriage. This exception does not apply to a civil action or proceeding by one against the other, nor to a criminal action or proceeding for a crime committed by one against the other or against a child of either, nor to a criminal action or proceeding in which one is charged with homicide or an attempt to commit homicide and the date of the marriage of the defendant is subsequent to the date of the offense, nor to an action or proceeding for non-support, neglect, dependency, or termination of parental rights;

(2) An attorney cannot, without the consent of his client, be examined as to any communication made by the client to him or his advice given thereon in

595.02 WITNESSES

the course of professional duty; nor can any employee of such attorney be examined as to such communication or advice, without the client's consent;

(3) A clergyman or other minister of any religion shall not, without the consent of the party making the confession, be allowed to disclose a confession made to him in his professional character, in the course of discipline enjoined by the rules or practice of the religious body to which he belongs; nor shall a clergyman or other minister of any religion be examined as to any communication made to him by any person seeking religious or spiritual advice, aid, or comfort or his advice given thereon in the course of his professional character, without the consent of such person;

(4) A licensed physician or surgeon, dentist, or chiropractor shall not, without the consent of his patient, be allowed to disclose any information or any opinion based thereon which he acquired in attending the patient in a professional capacity, and which was necessary to enable him to act in that capacity; after the decease of such patient, in an action to recover insurance benefits, where the insurance has been in existence two years or more, the beneficiaries shall be deemed to be the personal representatives of such deceased person for the purpose of waiving the privilege hereinbefore created, and no oral or written waiver of the privilege hereinbefore created shall have any binding force or effect except that the same be made upon the trial or examination where the evidence is offered or received;

(5) A public officer shall not be allowed to disclose communications made to him in official confidence when the public interest would suffer by the disclosure;

(6) Persons of unsound mind; persons intoxicated at the time of their production for examination, and children under ten years of age, who appear incapable of receiving just impressions of the facts respecting which they are examined, or of relating them truly, are not competent witnesses;

(7) A psychologist or consulting psychologist shall not, without the consent of his client, be allowed to disclose any information or opinion based thereon which he acquired in attending the client in a professional capacity, and which was necessary to enable him to act in that capacity.

[1973 c 79 s 1; 1973 c 685 s 13]

595.021 News media; protection of sources; citation

Sections 595.021 to 595.024 may be cited as the Minnesota free flow of information act.

[1973 c 735 s 1]

595.022 Public policy

In order to protect the public interest and the free flow of information, the news media should have the benefit of a substantial privilege not to reveal sources of information or to disclose unpublished information. To this end, the freedom of press requires protection of the confidential relationship between the news gatherer and the source of information. The purpose of sections 595.021 to 595.024, is to insure and perpetuate, consistent with the public interest, the confidential relationship between the news media and its sources.

[1973 c 735 s 2]

595.023 Disclosure prohibited

No person who is or has been directly engaged in the gathering, procuring, compiling, editing, or publishing of information for the purpose of transmission, dissemination or publication to the public shall be required by any court, grand jury, agency, department or branch of the state, or any of its political subdivisions or other public body, or by either house of the legislature or any committee, officer, member, or employee thereof, to disclose in any pro-

ceeding the person or means from or through which information was obtained, or to disclose any unpublished information procured by him in the course of his work or any of his notes, memoranda, recording tapes, film or other reportorial data which would tend to identify the person or means through which the information was obtained.

[1973 c 735 s 3]

595.024 Exception and procedure

Subdivision 1. A person seeking disclosure may apply to the district court of the county where the person employed by or associated with a news media resides, has his principal place of business or where the proceeding in which the information sought is pending.

Subd. 2. The application shall be granted only if the court determines after hearing the parties that the person making application, by clear and convincing evidence, has met all three of the following conditions:

(1) that there is probable cause to believe that the source has information clearly relevant to a specific violation of the law other than a misdemeanor,

(2) that the information cannot be obtained by any alternative means or remedy less destructive of first amendment rights, and

(3) that there is a compelling and overriding interest requiring the disclosure of the information where the disclosure is necessary to prevent injustice.

Subd. 3. The district court shall consider the nature of the proceedings, the merits of the claims and defenses, the adequacies of alternative remedies, the relevancy of the information sought, and the possibility of establishing by other means that which the source is expected or may tend to prove. The court shall make its appropriate order after making findings of fact, which order may be appealed directly to the supreme court according to the appropriate rule of appellate procedure. The order is stayed and nondisclosure shall remain in full force and effect during the pendency of the appeal.

[1973 c 735 s 4]

595.025 Defamation

Subdivision 1. The prohibition of disclosure provided in section 595.023 shall not apply in any defamation action where the person seeking disclosure can demonstrate that the identity of the source will lead to relevant evidence on the issue of actual malice.

Subd. 2. Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision 1, the identity of the source of information shall not be ordered disclosed unless the following conditions are met:

(a) that there is probable cause to believe that the source has information clearly relevant to the issue of defamation;

(b) that the information cannot be obtained by any alternative means or remedy less destructive of first amendment rights.

Subd. 3. The court shall make its order on the issue of disclosure after making findings of fact, which order may be appealed directly to the supreme court according to the rules of appellate procedure. During the appeal the order is stayed and nondisclosure shall remain in full force and effect.

[1973 c 735 s 5]