# 1936 Supplement

# To Mason's Minnesota Statutes 1927

(1927 to 1936) (Superseding Mason's 1931 and 1934 Supplements)

Containing the text of the acts of the 1929, 1931, 1933 and 1935 General Sessions, and the 1933-34 and 1935-36 Special Sessions of the Legislature, both new and amendatory, and notes showing repeals, together with annotations from the various courts, state and federal, and the opinions of the Attorney

General, construing the constitution, statutes, charters and court rules of Minnesota together with digest of all common law decisions.



Edited by

WILLIAM H. MASON, Editor-in-Chief W. H. MASON, JR. R. O. MASON J. S. O'BRIEN Assistant Editors

MASON PUBLISHING CO. SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA
1936

"against the form of the statute in such case made and provided," when charging a violation of an ordinance, was mere surplusage. 177M617, 225NW286.

It is sufficient to state the facts and identify the ordinance by number. 177M617, 225NW286.

Section is not applicable where the charge constitutes a felony. Op. Atty. Gen., Aug. 5, 1930.

#### 9115. Bail-Commitment.

Justice of the peace cannot accept cash ball. Atty. Gen. (266a-2), Aug. 28, 1934.

#### 9117. Arraignment.

Right of defendant to appeal after plea of guilty in municipal court. Op. Atty. Gen., Dec. 9, 1930.

#### 9119. Plea of not guilty.

One prosecuted for violation of a village ordinance is not entitled to a jury trial and city is not liable for jury fees. Op. Atty. Gen. (605a-11), Feb. 25, 1935.

#### 9129. Appeal-Requisites.

A cash bond may not be posted with justice of peace in lieu of recognizance with sureties. Op. Atty. Gen. (266b-1), May 29, 1934.

Two dollar appeal fee applies only to civil actions and not to criminal appeals from justice court to district court. Id.

# 9130. Allowance of appeal.

Where there is an appeal from conviction in justice court for violation of game and fish laws, revocation of defendants license is ineffective until conviction on appeal. Op. Atty. Gen., Dec. 19, 1929.

#### 9131. How tried.

Roehrs v. T., 185M154, 240NW111; note under §9277.

#### 9136. Certificate of conviction, etc.

Amount paid attorney appointed by court to represent a defendant in justice court in a criminal case should not be included as part of costs in action. Op. Atty. Gen. (121b-17), Jan. 28, 1935.

#### 9137. Report to county attorney.

Where grand larceny case against a number of boys was transferred from justice court to juvenile court, references to such case should be left entirely out of report unless boys were remanded to custody of justice court for the purpose of binding them over to district court. Op. Atty. Gen. (121b-17), Jan. 28, 1935.

#### 9142. Judgment on conviction—Commitment— Execution.

• A justice of the peace has no authority to permit a defendant to defer payment of any part of the fine. but he has authority to receive the fine at any time. Op. Atty. Gen., Sept. 5, 1931.

Justice of the peace must see that fines are paid or defendant committed to jall, but fines may be collected by execution. Op. Atty. Gen., Aug. 15, 1933.

Successor of deceased justice is not compelled to issue commitment on four-year-old judgment. Op. Atty. Gen., Oct. 3, 1933.

Oct. 3, 1933.

#### 9145. Fines—How collected and paid over.

9145. Fines—How collected and paid over.

A justice of the peace, where the prescribed punishment is in the alternative as between a fine or jail sentence, may impose a straight jail sentence without the option of a fine, but where a defendant is sentenced to pay a fine and an alternative jail sentence is imposed in default of payment of the fine, the commitment should so state because the defendant is entitled to pay his fine to the sheriff any time after he is committed, and thereupon be released. Op. Atty. Gen., Feb. 28, 1931.

A justice of the peace has no authority to permit a defendant to defer payment of any part of the fine, but he has authority to receive the fine at any time. Op. Atty. Gen., Sept. 5, 1931.

### CHAPTER 76

# Forcible Entry and Unlawful Detainer

#### 9148. Unlawful detention of lands or tenements subject to fine.

In forcible entry and detainer, exclusion of evidence of defendants of nondelivery of quitclaim deed to plaintiffs, held not error in absence of showing that it affected plaintiff's actual possession. Mutual Trust Life Ins. Co. v. B., 187M503, 246NW9. See Dun. Dig. 3244.

Evidence that plaintiff had been in actual possession of building for over a year and that defendant entered unlawfully, warranted directed verdict for restitution. Mutual Trust Life Ins. Co. v. B., 187M503, 246NW9. See Dun. Dig. 3783.

It is not necessary to prove that detention was forcible, ut it is sufficient to prove it to be unlawful. Mutual rust Life Ins. Co. v. B., 187M503, 246NW9. See Dun. Trust Dig. 3783.

In forcible entry and detainer, court did not err in excluding from evidence decree to which defendants were not parties or privies. Mutual Trust Life Ins. Co. v. B., 187M503, 246NW9. See Dun. Dig. 5156.

9149. Recovery of possession.

Minn. Bldg. & Loan Ass'n. v. C., 182M452, 234NW872.

4. When action will lie.

Force is not a necessary element to authorize action. Force is not a ne 178M282, 226NW847.

To render a constructive eviction a defense tenant must abandon or surrender premises on account thereof. Leifman v. P., 186M427, 243NW446. See Dun. Dig. 5425.

Description of property in lease and in contract for deed held substantially same and sufficient to readily identify property. Gruenberg v. S., 188M568, 248NW724. See Dun. Dig. 3785.

Mortgagee in possession is entitled to hold it as against mortgagor in action of forcible entry and detainer, mortgagor being in default. Schmit v. D., 189M 420, 249NW580. See Dun. Dig. 6242.

420, 249NW580. See Dun. Dig. 6242.

In a proceeding under §2188, plaintiff's tax title being found defective, a lien was adjudged against premises and judgment entered, execution levied, and sale made to plaintiff pursuant thereto, held, no confirmation of sale was necessary under §§2185, 2186, and an unlawful detainer action was proper action to recover possession during existence of defendant's life estate, which was subject to specific lien of tax judgment. Trask v. R., 193M213, 258NW164. See Dun. Dig. 9531.

5. Who may maintain.

Lessee held real party in interest as against one in possession of property holding over after cancellation of a contract for deed. Gruenberg v. S., 188M568, 248NW 724. See Dun. Dig. 3783.

Sheriff may maintain action against tenant on land bid in by state for non-payment of taxes. Op. Atty. Gen.

# 6. Parties defendant. Husband of person holding under contract for deed could be ejected in separate action against him alone. 178M282, 226NW847.

178M282, 226NW847.

In forcible entry, evidence held to sustain finding that defendant was mortgagee in possession. Schmit v. D., 189M420, 249NW580. See Dun. Dig. 6238.

7. Demand—notice to quit.

Where a tenant is in default in the payment of rent, the landlord's right of action for forcible entry and unlawful detainer is complete notwithstanding the lease contains a right to terminate optional with the landlord and effective upon sixty days' notice. First Minneapolis Trust Co. v. L., 185M121, 240NW459. See Dun. Dig. 5440(88).

9152. Summons—How served. Herreid v. D., 193M618, 259NW189; note under §9155.

#### 9155. Judgment-Fine-Execution.

Judgment in previous action for wrongful detainer, held not estoppel in second action for same relief. Steinberg v. S., 186M640, 244NW105. See Dun. Dig. 5159, 5163, 5167.

Judgment for vendor in unlawful detainer was res judicata in action to recover purchase money paid on theory that vendor repudiated contract for deed. Herreid v. D., 193M618, 259NW189. See Dun. Dig. 5161, 5162, 5163.

#### 9157. Writ of restitution.

Defendant evicted from premises under a writ of restitution has a right to appeal and have a trial de novo. 178M460, 227NW656.

#### 9158. Appeal.

178M460, 227NW656; note under §9157. Roehrs v. T., 185M154, 240NW111; note under §9277.

## 9163. Execution of the writ of restitution.

One moving back day following his removal under writ of restitution and using seed and grain belonging to owner is not guilty of trespass but may be prosecuted for larceny and also for unlawful entry. Op. Atty. Gen. (494b-20), Nov. 26, 1934.