

Nineteen Hundred Thirty-One  
Supplement

to

# Mason's Minnesota Statutes

(1927 thru 1931)

Containing the text of the acts of the 1929 and 1931 Sessions of the  
Legislature, both new and amendatory, and notes showing repeals,  
together with annotations from the various courts, state  
and federal, construing the constitution, statutes,  
charters and court rules of Minnesota



Edited by  
WILLIAM H. MASON, Editor-in-Chief  
W. H. MASON, JR., Assistant Editor

CITER-DIGEST CO.  
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA  
1931

corporation shall use in literature, advertising material or on shipping labels or otherwise the words "tested", "approved", "accredited" or "certified" in conjunction with either the word "state" or the word "Minnesota" or both of them as related to a poultry hatchery or a poultry breeding flock except under the authority of this act. (Act Apr. 25, 1931, c. 408, §8.)

**§5460-15. May cancel certificates.**—In his discretion, the secretary and executive officer of the Minnesota Poultry Improvement Board may cancel any certificate of accreditation or certification issued under the authority of his board, and likewise the secretary and executive officer of the State Livestock Sanitary

Board may cancel any certificate of testing, approval or accreditation issued under the authority of his board for violation of this act or any rule or regulation adopted hereunder; and any person, firm, association, partnership or corporation who shall violate any provision of this act or any regulation adopted hereunder shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (Act Apr. 25, 1931, c. 408, §9.)

Sec. 10 of the act makes an appropriation for fiscal year ending June 30, 1932.

**§5460-16. Effective September 1, 1931.**—This act shall take effect—and be in force from and after the first day of September, 1931. (Act Apr. 25, 1931, c. 408, §11.)

## CHAPTER 30A

### Inspection of Apiaries

#### §5461. State inspector—Deputies.

Determination by the appointing power on question of fact as to whether appointee has had such practical experience as to render him eligible as a practical apiarist, will be binding on the courts in the absence of a clear abuse of judicial discretion. Op. Atty. Gen., June 10, 1931.

#### §5471. Compensation of inspector and deputies.

Deputy inspectors are entitled to be reimbursed for expenses incurred in the performance of their duties. Op. Atty. Gen., June 10, 1931.

## CHAPTER 31

### Inspection of Steam Vessels and Boilers

The court's charge that the defendant was not responsible for the arrival of the corn at Buffalo in a damaged condition, unless its negligence caused the damage, was sufficient to relieve defendant of responsibility for damage due to the propensity of the corn to sweat and heat, if the sweating and heating occurred without negligence on its part. *Cargill Grain Co. v. C.*, 235NW268. See Dun. Dig. 732(14), 9002.

Unseaworthiness is not confined to faults, or omissions in the construction of the vessel, but may arise out of a fault in the conduct of defendant in relation to the vessel and its equipment, including proper manipulation of hatches to afford ventilation. *Cargill Grain Co. v. C.*, 235NW268. See Dun. Dig. 9002.

The damage to plaintiff's property occurred prior to the commencement of the voyage, and the Harter Act (Mason's USCA, Tit. 46, §§190-195), did not apply or relieve defendant of liability. *Cargill Grain Co. v. C.*, 235NW268. See Dun. Dig. 9002.

It was defendant's duty as bailee to exercise reasonable care to ascertain the characteristics of the cargo it proposed to store and transport.

*Cargill Grain Co. v. C.*, 235NW268. See Dun. Dig. 732(10).

In every contract of affreightment by water, unless otherwise expressed, there is an implied warranty of the seaworthiness of the ship, not only of reasonable fitness to meet the ordinary perils of the sea, but seaworthiness as respects the particular cargo to be transported, including stowage as respects seaworthiness in regard to the cargo. *Cargill Grain Co. v. C.*, 235NW268. See Dun. Dig. 9002.

The burden of proof was upon the bailee to show itself free from negligence causing damage to a cargo of corn which it stored for the winter in its ship at Milwaukee and transported to Buffalo upon the opening of navigation. *Rustad v. Great Northern Ry. Co.*, 122M453-456, 142 NW727, followed and applied. *Cargill Grain Co. v. C.*, 235NW268. See Dun. Dig. 732(14), 9002.

Evidence held to sustain finding that negligence of steamship company in ventilation and stowage of corn and its failure to remove snow from deck caused damage to cargo. *Cargill Grain Co. v. C.*, 235NW268. See Dun. Dig. 732(14), 9002.

## CHAPTER 31A

### Inspection and Regulation of Aircraft

#### UNIFORM STATE LAW FOR AERONAUTICS

**§5494-7. Definitions.**—In this act "Aircraft" includes balloon, airplane, hydroplane, and every other vehicle used for navigation through the air. A hydroplane, while at rest on water and while being operated on or immediately above water, shall be governed

by the rules regarding water navigation; while being operated through the air otherwise than immediately above water, it shall be treated as an aircraft.

"Aeronaut" includes aviator, pilot, balloonist, and every other person having any part in the operation of aircraft while in flight.

"Passenger" includes any person riding in