- l Rule as Proposed
- 2 6 MCAR S 4.0041 Offset rule.
- 3 A. [Unchanged.]
- 4 B. Applicability.
- 1. Except as provided in 2., this rule applies to persons
- 6 who propose to construct or modify a subject emission facility,
- 7 as defined in C.±718.
- 8 2. [Unchanged.]
- 9 C. Definitions. The definitions in rule APC 2 of the
- 10 Minnesota Pollution Control Agency apply to the terms used in
- ll this rule unless the terms are defined herein. For the purposes
- 12 of this rule, the following words have the meanings defined
- 13 below.
- 14 1.-3. [Unchanged.]
- 15 4. "Gross increase in emissions" means the gross number
- 16 of new tons per year of a nonattainment criteria pollutant that
- 17 could be legally discharged from an emission facility. In
- 18 determining the gross increase in emissions, the director shall
- 19 include all nonattainment criteria pollutant discharges that the
- 20 emission facility could emit but shall give a credit for all
- 21 legally enforceable restrictions on or reductions of the
- 22 nonattainment criteria pollutant discharges from an emission
- 23 facility, such as a restriction in nonattainment criteria
- 24 pollutant discharges that would result from installing required
- 25 pollution control equipment. No credit may be allowed for any
- 26 other reductions of or restrictions on nonattainment criteria
- 27 pollutant discharges.
- 28 4.-7. Renumber as 5.-8.
- 8. 9. Net increase in emissions" means the net number of
- 30 new tons per year of a nonattainment criteria pollutant that
- 31 could be legally discharged from a-subject an emission facility.
- 32 In determining the net increase in emissions, the director
- 33 a. shall include all nonattainment criteria pollutant
- 34 discharges that the subject emission facility could emit but
- 35 b. shall give a credit for the following:
- 36 (1) a. all legally enforceable restrictions on or APPROVED IN THE

- 1 reductions of the nonattainment criteria pollutant discharges
- 2 from the subject emission facility (, such as a restriction on
- 3 nonattainment criteria pollutant discharges that would result
- 4 from installing required pollution control equipment); and
- (2) b. any other restrictions on or reductions of
- 6 the nonattainment criteria pollutant discharges that the person
- 7 proposing the subject emission facility both obtains within the
- 8 same plant and agrees to include within the terms of any permit
- 9 issued for the subject emission facility.
- 10 9.-16. Renumber as 10.-17.
- a. An emission facility that is proposed to be
- 13 constructed or modified
- 14 (1) in any an area designated a nonattainment area
- 15 on the date the agency receives the completed permit application
- 16 for the proposed construction or modification, and where
- 17 (2) the construction or modification of which this
- 18 emission facility will result in a net gross increase in
- 19 emissions of at least five tons per year of lead or of at least
- 20 100 tons per year of a any other nonattainment criteria
- 21 pollutant;-or.
- 22 b. An emission facility that is proposed to be
- 23 modified
- 24 (1) in any an area designated a nonattainment area
- 25 on the date the agency receives the completed permit application
- 26 for the proposed modification; and that
- 27 (2) has existing restricted emissions of at least
- 28 five tons per year of lead or of at least 100 tons per year of
- 29 the any other nonattainment criteria pollutant; -and.
- 30 (3) The modification of which-will this emission
- 31 <u>facility must</u> result in a significant net increase in emissions
- 32 of the nonattainment criteria pollutant. A net increase in
- 33 emissions is significant if the rate of the increase is at least
- 34 the rate specified below:
- 35 (a) (1) carbon monoxide; 100 tons per year;
- 36 (b) (2) sulfur dioxide:, 40 tons per year;

3

(e) (5) particulate matter: 25 tons per year;

- 4 (f) (6) lead: 0.6 tons per year; -or.
- 5 c. A plant that is proposed to be modified
- 6 (1) in any <u>an</u> area designated a nonattainment area
- 7 on the date the agency receives the completed permit application
- 8 for the proposed modification and that has existing restricted
- 9 emissions of at least five tons per year of lead or of at least
- 10 100 tons per year of any other nonattainment criteria pollutant.
- 11 (2)-Which The proposed modification of this emission
- 12 facility, when considered in aggregate with X, will must result
- 13 in a significant net increase in emissions of the nonattainment
- 14 criteria pollutant, where:
- 15 (a) X = the net increase in nonattainment
- 16 criteria pollutant discharges resulting from any construction or
- 17 modification of the plant which was permitted by the agency
- 18 during the following time period: any time both within the 18
- 19 months immediately prior to the date the agency receives the
- 20 completed permit application for the proposed modification and
- 21 during which the area within which the plant is located was
- 22 designated a nonattainment area.
- 23 (b) A net increase in emissions is significant if
- 24 the rate of the increase is at least the rate specified in b.(3).
- 25 18. Renumber as 19.
- D. Conditions for permit. Except as provided in 5., the
- 27 agency shall may not issue permits a permit for any a
- 28 subject emission facility unless the permit applicant has
- 29 satisfied the conditions in 1.-3. All permits issued for subject
- 30 emission facilities shall must contain the conditions set forth
- 31 in 4.
- 32 1. [Unchanged.]
- Requirement to demonstrate a net air quality benefit.
- 34 Prior to constructing or modifying a subject emission facility,
- 35 the permit applicant shall demonstrate that the offsets to be
- 36 provided are sufficient to result in a net air quality benefit,

- l as defined in C.78.
- 2 a.-b. [Unchanged.]
- 3 3.-4. [Unchanged.]
- 5. Exception from requirement to get obtain offsets.
- 5 a. A permit applicant proposing to construct or modify
- 6 a resource recovery facility burning municipal solid waste shall
- 7 is not be required to obtain sufficient offsets to demonstrate a
- 8 net air quality benefit if the director determines that the
- 9 permit applicant:
- 10 (1) a. has made its best efforts to obtain
- ll sufficient offsets to comply with this rule and has demonstrated
- 12 that such efforts were unsuccessful;
- 13 (2) b. has obtained all available offsets; and
- 14 (3) c. agrees to continue to seek the necessary
- 15 offsets and apply them when they become available.
- 16 b. The director shall determine that the permit
- 17 applicant has made its best efforts if the permit applicant
- 18 demonstrates that the requirement to obtain sufficient offsets
- 19 creates an undue economic hardship for the permit applicant or
- 20 is technologically unachievable.
- 21 (1) If the permit applicant seeks to obtain an
- 22 exception on the grounds of undue economic hardship, it shall
- 23 submit to the director the information set out in rule MPCA
- 24 6(b)(5) 6 MCAR S 4.3007 B.5. of the Minnesota Pollution Control
- 25 Agency.
- 26 (2) If the permit applicant seeks to obtain an
- 27 exception on the grounds of technological unachievability, it
- 28 shall submit to the director the information set out in rule
- 29 MPCA-6(b)(6) 6 MCAR S 4.3007 B.6. of the Minnesota Pollution
- 30 Control Agency.
- 31 E.-F. [Unchanged.]