OAH 8-3500-30741 Revisor R-4193

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of the Proposed Permanent Rules Relating to Voter Registration, Petitions, Absentee Ballots, Optical Scan Voting Systems, Recounts, Election Judge Training Program and Ballot Preparation, Minnesota Rules Chapters 8200, 8205, 8210, 8230, 8235, 8240 and 8250.

REPORT OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

This matter came on for review by the Chief Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, section 14.16, and the provisions of Minnesota Rules, part 1400.2240, subpart 4. Based upon a review of the record in this proceeding, the Chief Administrative Law Judge hereby approves the Report of the Administrative Law Judge, dated February 28, 2014, in all respects.

In order to correct the defects enumerated by the Administrative Law Judge in the attached Report, the agency shall either take the action recommended by the Administrative Law Judge, make different changes to the rule to address the defects noted, or submit the rule to the Legislative Coordinating Commission and the House of Representatives and Senate policy committees with primary jurisdiction over state governmental operations, for review under Minnesota Statutes, section 14.15, subdivision 4.

If the agency chooses to take the action recommended by the Administrative Law Judge, or if the agency chooses to make other changes to correct the defects, it shall submit to the Chief Administrative Law Judge a copy of the rules as originally published in the *State Register*, the agency's order adopting the rules, and the rule showing the agency's changes. The Chief Administrative Law Judge will then make a determination as to whether the defect has been corrected and whether the modifications to the rules make them substantially different than originally proposed.

Dated: March 10, 2014

TAMMY L. RUST Chief Administrative Law Judge

[22823/1]

REVISOR

1400.2240 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S REPORT.

1

Subpart 1. **Report.** The judge must prepare a report on the rule within 30 days after the hearing record closes, unless an extension is granted by the chief judge under Minnesota Statutes, section 14.15, subdivision 2.

Subp. 2. Standard of review. The judge or chief judge must review the hearing record and must disapprove the rule if the judge makes any of the findings in part 1400.2100, items A to G.

Subp. 3. Approval. If the judge approves the rule, the judge must promptly send the original report and the hearing record to the agency. The agency and the office must make copies of the report available to any interested person at reasonable cost. The agency may not adopt the rule for at least five working days after receiving the report, so that interested persons may examine it.

Subp. 4. **Disapproval; review by chief judge.** If the judge disapproves the rule, the judge must submit the report and the hearing record to the chief judge for review. The chief judge must review the rule and the judge's report and prepare a report within ten days. If the chief judge disapproves the rule, the chief judge must explain why and tell the agency what changes or actions are necessary for approval. The chief judge must promptly send the chief judge's report, the judge's report, and the hearing record to the agency. The agency must resubmit the rule to the chief judge for review after changing it. The agency may also request that the chief judge reconsider the disapproval. The chief judge must review and approve or disapprove the changed rule or a request for reconsideration within five working days after the office receives it.

Subp. 5. New changes to rule. If the agency wants to adopt the rule with changes other than those recommended by the judge or chief judge, the agency must submit to the chief judge:

A. the rule initially proposed;

B. the agency's proposed order adopting rules; and

C. the rule, showing the agency's changes.

The chief judge may request that the agency also return the hearing record. The chief judge must review the agency's changes to decide if they make the rule substantially different than the proposed rule. The chief judge must make a written decision within ten days after the office receives the rule.

Subp. 6. **Disapproval of need and reasonableness.** If the chief judge disapproves the rule because the agency has not shown it to be needed and reasonable, and if the agency chooses not to follow the chief judge's recommended corrections, the agency must submit the rule to the Legislative Coordinating Commission and the house of representatives and

Copyright ©2013 by the Revisor of Statutes, State of Minnesota. All Rights Reserved.