- 1 Minnesota Department of Revenue
- 2 Adopted Permanent Rules Governing Valuation and Assessment of
- 3 the Property of Utility Companies
- 4 8100.0100 DEFINITIONS.
- 5 [For text of subps 1 to 3, see M.R.]
- 6 Subp. 3a. Beta. "Beta" is the measure of a stock's
- 7 volatility compared with a measurement of the overall market. A
- 8 beta of less than one indicates lower-than-market risk; a beta
- 9 of more than one indicates a higher-than-market risk. Beta is
- 10 part of the capital asset pricing model.
- 11 [For text of subps 4 and 5, see M.R.]
- 12 Subp. 5b. Contributions in aid of construction.
- 13 "Contributions in aid of construction" is money paid to another
- 14 utility, to be used directly or indirectly for the construction
- 15 or acquisition of plant; or the contribution of property that is
- 16 used as plant.
- 17 Subp. 5c. Cooperative association. "Cooperative
- 18 association" includes municipal power agencies and pipelines
- 19 that are not common carriers.
- [For text of subps 6 to 10, see M.R.]
- 21 Subp. 11. Operating property. "Operating property" means
- 22 any tangible property that is owned or leased, except land,
- 23 which is directly associated with the generation, transmission,
- 24 or distribution of electricity, natural gas, gasoline, petroleum
- 25 products, or crude oil. Examples of operating property include,
- 26 but are not limited to, substations, transmission and
- 27 distribution lines, generating plants, and pipelines. Property

- l that is located on the same or contiguous parcels of land as
- 2 operating property is presumed to also be operating property.
- 3 Land is always nonoperating property.
- 4 Subp. lla. Original cost less depreciation. "Original
- 5 cost less depreciation" means the original cost of the property
- 6 to the present owner, minus any depreciation attributable to the
- 7 property.
- 8 [For text of subps 12 and 13, see M.R.]
- 9 Subp. 13a. Relative risk. "Relative risk" means the risk
- 10 of a stock as measured by its beta.
- 11 Subp. 13b. Risk-free rate. "Risk-free rate" means the
- 12 theoretical rate of return on an absolutely riskless investment,
- 13 measured by long-term United States government securities.
- 14 Subp. 13c. Risk premium. "Risk premium" means the return
- 15 over and above the risk-free rate.
- [For text of subps 14 and 15, see M.R.]
- 17 Subp. 16. Unit value. "Unit value" means the value of the
- 18 entire system plant of a utility company taken as a whole
- 19 without any regard to the value of its component parts.
- 20 8100.0200 INTRODUCTION.
- 21 The commissioner of revenue establishes an estimate of the
- 22 unit value for each utility company operating within the state.
- 23 The entire system is valued utilizing data relating to the cost
- 24 of the property, the earnings of the company owning or operating
- 25 the property, and additional indicators of value where
- 26 applicable. The resulting valuation is allocated to each state
- 27 in which the utility company operates. The value of property

- 1 located in Minnesota that is exempt from property tax or that is
- 2 locally assessed is subtracted from the value allocated to
- 3 Minnesota. Next, by the process of apportionment, the portion
- 4 allocated to Minnesota is distributed to the various taxing
- 5 districts within the state. The data used in the valuation,
- 6 allocation, and apportionment process is drawn from reports
- 7 submitted to the Department of Revenue by the utility
- 8 companies. These reports include Minnesota Department of
- 9 Revenue Annual Utility Reports (UTL forms), Reports to the
- 10 Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, Annual Reports to
- 11 Shareholders, Annual Reports to the Federal Energy Regulatory
- 12 Commission, United States Department of Agriculture, Rural
- 13 Utility Service or equivalent, and other publicly available
- 14 sources of information regarding rates. Periodic examinations
- 15 of the supporting data for these reports are made by the
- 16 Department of Revenue. Finally, the value is equalized based on
- 17 sales/assessment ratios determined by the Department of Revenue.
- 18 The commissioner of revenue reserves the right to exercise
- 19 discretion whenever the circumstances of a valuation estimate
- 20 dictate the need for it. Discretion may be used to ensure a
- 21 balance between a prescriptive rule and sound appraisal
- 22 judgment; to ensure that all relevant data pertaining to value
- 23 is considered; to ensure that a reasonable estimate of market
- 24 value is derived; to address concerns of predictability and
- 25 stability in estimations of market value; and to ensure that
- 26 utility valuation is easily understood and administered.
- 27 8100.0300 VALUATION.

- 1 Subpart 1. General. Because of the unique character of
- 2 public utility companies, the traditional approaches to
- 3 valuation estimates of property (cost, capitalized income, and
- 4 market) must be modified when utility property is valued.
- 5 Consequently, the value of utility company property is estimated
- 6 in the manner provided in this chapter.
- 7 All indicators of value must be considered to determine
- 8 their validity relating to the specific property being valued.
- 9 If an indicator is not demonstrated to be reliable or of value
- 10 for the specific property being appraised it must not be used.
- Subp. 2. [See repealer.]
- 12 Subp. 3. Cost approach.
- 13 A. The cost factor to be considered in the utility
- 14 valuation formula is the original cost less depreciation of the
- 15 system plant, plus the cost of improvements to the system plant,
- 16 plus the original cost of all types of construction work in
- 17 progress that are installed by the assessment date, plus the
- 18 cost of property held for future use, plus the cost of
- 19 contributions in aid of construction. Original cost less
- 20 depreciation is presumed to be equal to historical cost less
- 21 depreciation. For rate-regulated companies, the commissioner
- 22 must use the same type of cost that is used in the rate base
- 23 calculation.
- 24 B. The original cost of any leased operating property
- 25 used by the utility must be reported to the commissioner in
- 26 conjunction with the annual utility report. If the original
- 27 cost of the leased operating property is not available, the

- 1 commissioner shall make an estimate of the cost by capitalizing
- 2 the lease payments.
- 3 C. If a conflict of opinion exists regarding the
- 4 character of specific property, whether it is operating or
- 5 nonoperating property, assessors or utility companies may
- 6 request a determination by the commissioner.
- 7 D. Depreciation is not allowed on construction work
- 8 in progress. Depreciation is allowed as a deduction from cost
- 9 in the amount allowed on the accounting records of the utility
- 10 company, as such records are required to be maintained by the
- ll appropriate regulatory agency, except that depreciation may be
- 12 reduced if available information indicates the amount deducted
- 13 does not equal actual accrued depreciation when the current
- 14 estimated remaining life is considered.
- 15 E. The following example illustrates how the cost
- 16 indicator of value is computed for an electric company:

17 18	1. 2.	Utility Plant Construction Work in Progress	\$200,000,000 \$ 5,500,000
19	3.	Contributions in Aid of Construction	\$ 250,000
20	4.	Leased Property	\$ 750 , 000
21	5.	Total Plant	\$206,500,000
22	6.	Book Depreciation	\$ 40,000,000
23	7.	Depreciation on CIAC	\$ 10,000
24	8.	Depreciation on Leased Property	\$ 25,000
25	9.	Total Depreciation	\$ 40,035,000
26 27	10.	Total Cost Indicator of Value	\$166,465,000

- Subp. 4. Income approach. The income indicator of value
- 29 is estimated by weighting the capitalized net operating earnings
- 30 of the utility company for the most recent three years as
- 31 follows: most recent year, 40 percent; previous year, 35
- 32 percent; and final year, 25 percent. Utilities may request the
- 33 removal of nonrecurring items of income or expense. The

- 1 commissioner must determine if removal of the item is
- 2 appropriate. The net income is capitalized by applying a
- 3 capitalization rate that is computed by using the band of
- 4 investment method. This method considers:
- 5 A. the capital structure of utilities;
- B. the cost of debt or interest rate;
- 7 C. the yield on preferred stock of utilities;
- D. the yield on common stock of utilities; and
- 9 E. the risk-free rate, relative risk, and risk
- 10 premiums for public utility companies.
- 11 Capitalization rates are computed for electric companies,
- 12 gas distribution companies, natural gas transmission systems,
- 13 and fluid pipeline companies. The rates are recalculated each
- 14 year using the method described in this subpart.
- The following example illustrates how the income indicator
- 16 of value would be computed for a gas distribution company:

17 18			year l	year	2	current year
19	_		4 224 222	4 450	000 #	470 000
20	1.	Net Operating Income	\$ 394,000	\$ 450,	,000 \$	
21	2.	Weighting Factor	25%		35%	40%
22	3.	Weighted Income to				
23		be Capitalized	98,500	157	,500	188,000
24	4.	Capitalized Income				
25		at 9.25%	1,064,865	1,702	,703	2,032,432
26	5.	Total Income Indicator	r			
27		of Value			\$	4,800,000
28						

- 29 Subp. 4a. Additional indicators of value. Additional
- 30 indicators of value, other than the cost and income indicators,
- 31 may exist in some situations. When additional indicators of
- 32 value exist, the commissioner has the discretion to use these
- 33 additional indicators in computing the unit value of a utility.

- 1 Additional indicators of value include, but are not limited to,
- 2 the market indicator.
- 3 A. If the commissioner determines that the market
- 4 indicator can be quantified, is reliable, and is indicative of
- 5 value for a company, the commissioner has the discretion to
- 6 adjust the weightings of the cost and income indicators to give
- 7 weight to the market indicator in the unit value computation.
- 8 If the market indicator is used, the weighting for the market
- 9 indicator must not exceed five percent.
- 10 B. If the commissioner finds that economic or other
- 11 forms of obsolescence exists, the commissioner has the
- 12 discretion to adjust the weightings in the correlation process
- 13 described in subpart 5 or make other adjustments in its
- 14 methodology consistent with these rules and applicable statutes.
- 15 C. If the commissioner uses additional indicators of
- 16 value, the commissioner must state in writing the findings that
- 17 necessitate deviation from the default weightings of 50 percent
- 18 for cost indicator and 50 percent for income indicator, as
- 19 described in subpart 5.
- 20 Subp. 5. Unit value computation. The unit value of the
- 21 utility company is equal to the total of the weighted indicators
- 22 of value. The total weighting must equal 100 percent. The
- 23 default weightings of the indicators are: market indicator, 0
- 24 percent; cost indicator, 50 percent; income indicator, 50
- 25 percent.
- The following is an example of the computation of the unit
- 27 value for a utility company when the market indicator has been

- determined to be a valid additional indicator of value:
- 2 Cost Indicator of Value:
 - $$5,000,000 \times 47.5\% = $2,375,000$
- 4 2. Income Indicator of Value:
 - $$4,800,000 \times 47.5\% = $2,280,000$
- 6 Market Indicator of Value: 3.
 - $$5,500,000 \times 5\% = $275,000$
- 7 8 Unit Value of Utility Company: 4. 9
 - Sum of indicators = \$4,930,000

10

3

5

- Subp. 5a. Valuation election for cooperative 11
- 12 associations. After assessment year 2007, cooperative
- 13 associations have the option to irrevocably elect the method
- 14 under which they are valued.
- 15 A. For assessment year 2007, each cooperative must be
- valued in the same manner as it was valued in assessment year 16
- 2006, using either the unit value method or cost less 17
- 18 depreciation method.
- 19 Beginning in assessment year 2008, cooperative В.
- associations that were valued under the cost less depreciation 20
- method in assessment year 2007 may irrevocably elect to be 21
- 22 valued using the unit value method described in subparts 1 to
- 23 Elections made by a cooperative association prior to
- November 1 of any year are effective the next assessment year. 24
- Such elections must be in a format prescribed by the 25
- 26 commissioner.
- 27 Prior to November 1 of assessment year 2008,
- cooperative associations that were valued under the unit value 28
- method in assessment year 2007 may irrevocably elect to be 29
- valued under the cost less depreciation method. 30 Such elections
- will be in a format prescribed by the commissioner. Cooperative 31
- associations that do not elect to revert back to valuation using 32

- 1 cost less depreciation method prior to November 1 of assessment
- 2 year 2008, are deemed to have irrevocably elected to be valued
- 3 using the unit value method.
- 4 Subp. 6. Cost less depreciation method of valuation for
- 5 utility property of cooperatives, municipal power agencies, and
- 6 pipelines that are not common carriers. Cooperative
- 7 associations may irrevocably elect to have their property valued
- 8 using the unit value method described in subparts 1 to 5.
- 9 Cooperative associations not electing unit valuation and other
- 10 types of utilities which do not operate in the traditional
- 11 profit-making mode, are not common carriers, or are
- 12 nonregulated, must have their utility property valued on the
- 13 basis of cost less depreciation. Elections made by a
- 14 cooperative association prior to November 1 of any year are
- 15 effective the next assessment year. Such elections must be in a
- 16 format prescribed by the commissioner.
- 17 A. Depreciation is allowed as a deduction from the
- 18 original cost in increments of 2-1/2 percent per year, but the
- 19 maximum depreciation allowed must not exceed 75 percent of the
- 20 cost of the utility operating property. Additions to existing
- 21 utility property are depreciated 2-1/2 percent per year until
- 22 they reach the 75 percent maximum. Retirements of utility
- 23 property are deducted from the cost basis at the average
- 24 depreciation level of all of the company's taxable property.
- 25 B. Cost less depreciation is calculated by using the
- 26 following inputs: the total cost at the end of the year
- 27 preceding the assessment year; total depreciation at the

- 1 beginning of the year preceding the assessment year; total cost
- 2 at the beginning of the year preceding the assessment year; and
- 3 the original cost of property retired during the year preceding
- 4 the assessment year.
- 5 Depreciation for the year is calculated by multiplying the
- 6 total cost at the end of the year preceding the assessment year
- 7 by 2-1/2 percent. Depreciation on retirements is calculated by
- 8 dividing the total depreciation for the year preceding the
- 9 assessment year by total cost at the beginning of the year
- 10 preceding the assessment year. This number is then multiplied
- 11 by the original cost of retirements for the year; the result is
- 12 equal to the depreciation on retirements for the year.
- Net depreciation for the year is calculated by adding the
- 14 total depreciation at the beginning of the year preceding the
- 15 assessment year and the depreciation for the year, and then
- 16 subtracting the depreciation on retirements for the year. Net
- 17 depreciated value for the year is equal to the total cost at the
- 18 end of the year preceding the assessment year less net
- 19 depreciation for the year. Net depreciated value for the
- 20 assessment year is the total market value for all property owned
- 21 by the company.
- 22 A company factor is calculated by dividing the net
- 23 depreciated value for the assessment year by the total cost at
- 24 the end of the year preceding the assessment year. The factor
- 25 is multiplied by the cost of each individual parcel at the end
- 26 of the year preceding the assessment year to derive the market
- 27 value of each individual parcel.

```
1
              C. The following example illustrates this process for
 2
    an electric cooperative association electing cost less
    depreciation valuation under this subpart for assessment year
 4
    2006.
 5
             Cost of individual parcels on
 6
             12/31/2005 =
                                                          $105,000
7
                                                          $520,000
 8
                                                          $415,000
 9
                                                          $100,000
10
             Total cost on 12/31/2005 of
11
             all property =
                                                        $1,140,000
12
             Total depreciation on 1/1/2005 =
                                                          $300,000
13
             Total cost on 1/1/2005 =
                                                        $1,100,000
14
             Original cost of retirements in 2006 =
                                                             $6,000
15
16
        1.
             Depreciation for the assessment year 2006
17
              (\$1,140,000 \times .025) =
                                                            $28,500
18
19
        2.
             Depreciation on assessment year 2006 retirements
20
              (\$300,000 / \$1,100,000) \times \$6,000 =
                                                             $1,636
21
             Net depreciation for the assessment year 2006
22
        3.
23
              (\$300,000 + \$28,500 - \$1,636) =
                                                          $326,864
24
25
        4.
             Depreciation Limit
26
              (\$\pm7\pm40 \$1,140,000 \times .75) =
                                                          $855,000
27
28
        5.
             Net depreciated value for the assessment year 2006
29
              (Total cost on 12/31/2005 -
30
             Lesser of Line 3 or Line 4)
31
              (\$1,140,000 - \$326,864) =
                                                          $813,136
32
              This is the market value for all property
33
              owned by the cooperative.
34
35
        6.
             Company depreciation factor for 2006
36
              (\$813,136 / \$1,140,000) =
                                                        71.327751%
37
38
        7.
             Market value of each individual parcel
39
              (\$105,000 \times 71.327751\%) =
                                                            $74,900
40
              (\$520,000 \times 71.327751\%) =
                                                           $370,900
41
              ($415,000 \times 71.327751\%) =
                                                           $296,000
42
              (\$100,000 \times 71.327751\%) =
                                                            $71,300
43
44
         Subp. 8. Retirements. Utility operating property may be
    retired from the utility system while still in place if certain
45
46
    criteria are met:
47
                   The property must be physically disconnected from
```

- 1 the utility system. In the case of electrical plants, the
- 2 disconnection or dismantling of wires, cables, connectors, or
- 3 transformers constitutes physical disconnection. In the case of
- 4 pipelines, the disconnection of pipes, valves, or fittings is
- 5 evidence of physical disconnection.
- 6 B. An affidavit of retirement must be filed by the
- 7 utility with the commissioner at least 30 days prior to the
- 8 assessment date. This affidavit must indicate the facility
- 9 being retired and the date it was taken out of service.
- 10 C. The utility must make every effort to inform the
- 11 commissioner of pending major retirements. The commissioner in
- 12 turn shall notify the county assessor of impending major
- 13 retirements as soon as this information becomes available to the
- 14 department.
- D. Utility real property which is retired in place
- 16 must continue to be taxed for ad valorem purposes. However, its
- 17 market value is not determined on the basis of its value as
- 18 utility operating property.
- 19 E. If a utility chooses to temporarily retire a
- 20 facility pending the development of an alternate fuel, greater
- 21 demand, increased source of supply, or another valid reason, the
- 22 cost of this facility must be transferred to the appropriate
- 23 regulatory agency's account entitled "Held for Future Use."
- 24 Standby facilities are not considered to be temporarily retired
- 25 unless their costs are carried in this account. Temporarily
- 26 retired utility facilities are valued taking into account a
- 27 number of factors including age of the facility, type of

- l facility, amount of maintenance and additional costs needed to
- 2 restore the facility to operational status, length of
- 3 retirement, and earning potential of the facility. A
- 4 temporarily retired facility must not be valued lower than if
- 5 the facility were considered nonoperating utility property.
- 6 8100.0400 ALLOCATION.
- 7 Subpart 1. General. After the unit value of the utility
- 8 property has been estimated, the portion of value which is
- 9 attributable to Minnesota must be determined. Each of the
- 10 factors in the allocation formula is assigned a weighted
- 11 percentage to denote the relative importance assigned to that
- 12 factor. The resulting sum of the weighted factors multiplied by
- 13 the unit value yields the valuation of the utility property
- 14 which is, after the adjustments described in part 8100.0500,
- 15 subject to ad valorem tax in the state of Minnesota.
- [For text of subp 2, see M.R.]
- 17 Subp. 3. Gas distribution companies. The allocation of
- 18 value of gas distribution companies must be made considering the
- 19 same factors as are used to determine the allocation of value of
- 20 electric companies. The weight given to the original cost
- 21 factor is 75 percent, and gross revenue is weighted 25 percent.
- 22 Subp. 4. Pipeline companies. The allocation of pipeline
- 23 companies is equal to the original cost of the utility property
- 24 located in Minnesota divided by the total original cost of the
- 25 property in all states of operation weighted at 75 percent.
- 26 Additionally, throughput of product from operations in Minnesota
- 27 divided by throughput of product from operations in all states

- 1 is weighted at 25 percent.
- 2 The following example illustrates the allocation of value
- 3 of property of a pipeline company and the weights given to each
- 4 factor:
- 5 1. Minnesota Plant Cost \$13,500,000
- x .75 = 25.76%
- 7 2. System Plant Cost \$39,300,000
- 8 3. Minnesota Throughput
- 9 (Mcf or Barrel miles) $8,940,000 \times .25 = 8.01$ %
- 10 4. System Throughput
- 11 (Mcf or Barrel miles) 27,900,000
- 12 5. Total Percentage Allocable
- 13 to Minnesota 33.76%
- 14 8100.0500 ADJUSTMENTS FOR NON-FORMULA-ASSESSED OR EXEMPT
- 15 PROPERTY.
- Subpart 1. Deduction for exempt or non-formula-assessed
- 17 property. After the Minnesota portion of the unit value of the
- 18 utility company, except for electric cooperatives, is
- 19 determined, any property which is non-formula-assessed or which
- 20 is exempt from ad valorem tax, is deducted from the Minnesota
- 21 portion of the unit value. Only that qualifying property
- 22 located within the state of Minnesota may be excluded.
- 23 Subp. 2. Valuation formula not applicable to certain
- 24 utility property. The following properties are valued by the
- 25 local or county assessor and, therefore, the formula provided
- 26 herein for the valuation of utility property is not applicable
- 27 to such property:
- 28 A. land:
- B. nonoperating property; and
- 30 C. rights-of-way.
- 31 Subp. 3. Deduction for cost of land and rights-of-way;

- 1 application to nonoperating property. The Minnesota portion of
- 2 the unit value is reduced by the value included in the unit
- 3 value of the company for land, rights-of-way, nonoperating
- 4 property, and exempt property. This amount is calculated by
- 5 determining the ratio of the unit value computed in part
- 6 8100.0300, subpart 5, to the cost less depreciation allowed in
- 7 part 8100.0300, subpart 3. This ratio is multiplied by the cost
- 8 less depreciation of the property to be deducted.
- 9 Subp. 4. [See repealer.]
- 10 Subp. 4a. [See repealer.]
- 11 Subp. 5. Burden of proof and responsibility of utility
- 12 company. The utility company has the burden of proof to
- 13 establish that the value of any property should be excluded from
- 14 the Minnesota portion of the unit value. Accordingly, the
- 15 utility company has the responsibility to submit, in the form
- 16 required by the commissioner of revenue, such schedules of
- 17 exempt or non-formula-assessed property as the commissioner may
- 18 require.
- 19 8100.0800 PHASE-IN.
- 20 Subpart 1. Phase-in of valuation changes. Any change in
- 21 valuation is phased in over three years. For assessment years
- 22 2007, 2008, and 2009, each utility property must be valued both
- 23 under the valuation process of current Minnesota Rules, chapter
- 24 8100, ("current rules") and under the valuation process of
- 25 Minnesota Rules 2005, chapter 8100, as amended through March 2,
- 26 2000, ("old rules"). The difference, either positive or
- 27 negative, between the value derived under the current rules and

- 1 the value derived under old rules is incrementally added to the
- 2 value derived under the old rules as follows:
- 3 For assessment year 2007, 20 percent of the difference is
- 4 added to the value derived under the old rules; this amount is
- 5 the assessed value for 2007.
- 6 For assessment year 2008, 50 percent of the difference is
- 7 added to the value derived under the old rules; this amount is
- 8 the assessed value for 2008.
- 9 For assessment year 2009, and all subsequent assessment
- 10 years, the full value derived under the current rules is the
- ll assessed value.
- 12 Subp. 2. Examples of phase-in valuations. The following
- 13 example illustrates a valuation when the value derived using the
- 14 old rules exceeds the value derived using the current rules:

15 16 17 18	1.	Value for Assessment Year 2007 Value under current rules Value under old rules Difference between old	\$10,750,000 \$12,000,000
<u>19</u>		and current value	\$ 1,250,000
20		20% of difference	\$ 1,250,000 \$ 250,000
21		Assessed Value	\$11,750,000
22			4
23	2.	Value for Assessment year 2008	
24		Value under current rules	\$10,900,000
25		Value under old rules	\$12,750,000
26		Difference between old	, , ,
27		and current values	\$ 1,850,000
28		50% of difference	\$ 1,850,000 \$ 925,000
29		Assessed Value	\$11,825,000
30			• •
31	З.	Value for Assessment Year 2009	
32		Value under current rules	\$11,750,000
33		Assessed Value	\$11,750,000
34			

35 The following example illustrates a valuation when the

- 36 value derived using the old rules is less than the value derived
- 37 using the current rules:

01/02/07	'
----------	---

[REVISOR] JMR/DI AR3630

1	l.	Value for Assessment Year 2007	
2		Value under current rules	\$15,000,000
3		Value under old rules	\$13,500,000
4 5		Difference between old	
5		and current values	\$ 1,500,000
6		20% of difference	\$ 300,000
7		Assessed Value	\$13,800,000
7 8 9			, , ,
9	2.	Value for Assessment Year 2008	
10		Value under current rules	\$15,250,000
11		Value under old rules	\$14,250,000
12		Difference between old	, -
13		and current values	\$ 1,000,000
14		50% of difference	\$ 500,000
15		Assessed Value	\$14,750,000
16			•
17	3.	Value for Assessment Year 2009	
18		Value under current rules	\$16,600,000
19		Assessed Value	\$16,600,000
20			

- 21 EFFECTIVE DATE. These amendments to Minnesota Rules, chapter
- 22 8100, are effective for assessment year 2007 and following
- 23 assessment years.
- 24 REPEALER. Minnesota Rules, parts 8100.0300, subpart 2; and
- 25 8100.0500, subparts 4 and 4a, are repealed.