	08/08/96 [REVISOR] JMR/KK AR2689					
1	Department of Revenue					
2						
3	Adopted Permanent Rules Relating to Valuation and Assessment of					
4	Utility Line Property					
5						
6	Rules as Adopted					
7	CHAPTER 8100					
8	DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE					
9	PROPERTY TAX DIVISION					
10	AD VALOREM TAXES; UTILITIES					
11	8100.0100 DEFINITIONS.					
12	[For text of subps 1 to 5, see M.R.]					
13	Subp. 5a. Earnings growth rate. "Earnings growth rate"					
14	means the average increase or decrease in the five-year moving					
15	average earnings per share, expressed as a percentage, as					
16	computed in the annual capitalization rate study. The rate will					
17	be adjusted to normalize income to one year in the future.					
18	[For text of subps 6 to 16, see M.R.]					
19	Subp. 17. [See repealer.]					
20						
20 21	The commissioner of revenue will estimate the valuation of					
21 22	the entire system of a utility company operating within the					
22	state The entire system of a utility company operating within the					
23	valuing the component parts utilizing data relating to the gost					
23	of the property and the earnings of the company owning or					
26	operating the property. The resulting valuation will be					
27	allocated or assigned to each state in which the utility company					
28	operates. Finally, by the process of apportionment, the portion					
29	allocated to Minnesota will be distributed to the various taxing					
30	districts within the state. Most of the data used in the					
31	valuation, allocation, and apportionment process will be drawn					
32	from reports submitted to the Department of Revenue by the					
33	utility companies. These reports will include Minnesota					
34	Department of Revenue Annual Utility Reports (UTL forms),					
35	Reports to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, Annual					

.

Approved by Revisor _ 94

.

08/08/96

Reports to Shareholders, Annual Reports to the Federal Energy
 Regulatory Commission and Annual Reports to the Interstate
 Commerce Commission. Periodic examinations of the supporting
 data for these reports will be made by the Department of Revenue.
 The methods, procedures, indicators of value,

6 capitalization rates, weighting percents, allocation factors,
7 and equalization will be used as described in parts 8100.0300 to
8 8100.0700 for ±996 1997 and subsequent years.

9 As in all property valuations, the commissioner of revenue 10 reserves the right to exercise his or her judgment whenever the 11 circumstances of a valuation estimate dictate the need for it.

12 8100.0300 VALUATION.

13 Subpart 1. General. Because of the unique character of public utility companies, such as being subject to stringent 14 15 government regulations over operations and earnings, the 16 traditional approaches to valuation estimates of property (cost, 17 capitalized income, and market) must be modified when utility property is valued. Consequently, for the 1996 1997 and 18 19 subsequent assessment years, the value of utility company 20 property will be estimated in the manner provided in this 21 chapter.

22

[For text of subp 2, see M.R.]

23 Cost approach. The cost factor to be considered Subp. 3. 24 in the utility valuation formula is the original cost less 25 depreciation of the system plant, plus improvements to the 26 system plant, plus the original cost of construction work in 27 progress on the assessment date. The original cost of any 28 leased operating property used by the utility must be reported 29 to the commissioner in conjunction with the annual utility 30 If the original cost of the leased operating property report. is not available, the commissioner shall make an estimate of the 31 32 cost by capitalizing the lease payments. Depreciation will not 33 be allowed on construction work in progress. Depreciation will 34 be allowed as a deduction from cost in the amount allowed on the 35 accounting records of the utility company, as such records are

> Approved by Revisor

[REVISOR] JMR/KK AR2689

08/08/96

required to be maintained by the appropriate regulatory agency,
 except that depreciation may be reduced if available information
 indicates the amount deducted does not equal actual accrued
 depreciation when the current estimated remaining life is
 considered.

6 Depreciation, however, shall not exceed the prescribed 7 percentage of cost: for electric companies, 20 percent; for gas 8 distribution companies, 50 percent; and for pipeline companies, 9 50 percent. If the amount of depreciation shown on the 10 company's books exceeds these percentages, the company may 11 deduct 50 percent of the excess.

12 The cost indicator of value computed in accordance with 13 this subpart will be weighted for each type of utility company 14 as follows: electric companies, 75 percent; gas distribution 15 companies, 75 percent; and pipeline companies, 75 percent. 16 The following example illustrates how the cost indicator of 17 value would be computed for an electric company:

18	1.	Utility Plant	\$200,000,000
19	2.	Construction Work in Progress	\$ 5,500,000
20	3.	Total Plant	\$205,500,000
21	4.	Nondepreciable Plant	
22		(Land, Intangibles, C.W.I.P.)	\$ 17,500,000
23	5.	Depreciable Plant	\$188,000,000
24	6.	Book Depreciation	\$ 40,000,000
25	7.	Maximum Depreciation (20%)	\$ 37,600,000
26	8.	50% Excess Depreciation Allowance	\$ 1,200,000
27	9.	Total Allowable Depreciation	\$ 38,800,000
28	10.	Total Cost Indicator of Value	\$166,700,000
29			•

Subp. 4. Income approach. The income indicator of value 30 31 will be estimated by weighting the capitalized net operating 32 earnings of the utility company, adjusted for the earnings growth rate, for the most recent three years as follows: most 33 recent year, 40 percent; previous year, 35 percent; and final 34 year, 25 percent. The earnings growth rate adjustment is 35 36 performed by adding the earnings growth rate, expressed in decimal form, to one, squaring the sum of the two numbers, and 37 38 multiplying the result by the net operating income figure. The net income, adjusted for the earnings growth rate, will be 39 capitalized by applying to it a capitalization rate which will 40 41 be computed by using the band of investment method. This method

[REVISOR] JMR/KK AR2689 08/08/96 1 will consider: the capital structure of utilities; 2 Α. 3 в. the cost of debt or interest rate; the yield on preferred stock of utilities; and 4 с. 5 D. the yield on common stock of utilities. 6 Rates will be computed for electric companies, gas distribution companies, and pipeline companies. The rates will 7 8 be recalculated each year using the method described in this 9 subpart. 10 The income indicator of value computed in accordance with this subpart will be weighted for each class of utility company 11 12 as follows: electric companies, 25 percent; gas distribution companies, 25 percent; and pipeline companies, 25 percent. 13 14 The following example illustrates how the income indicator of value would be computed for a gas distribution company: 15 1993 16 1994 1995 17 Net Operating Income 18 1. Ś 500,995 \$ 420,850 \$ 510,990 19 Earnings Growth Rate 2. 0.32% 0.32% 0.32% 20 Adjusted Earnings 3. 21 (Line 1 times 1 plus Line 2 squared) 423,548 22 504,206 514,266 Weighting Factor Weighted Income to 23 4. 25% 35% 40% 24 5. 25 be Capitalized 126,052 148,242 205,706 26 6. Capitalized Income 27 at 10.00% 1,260,520 1,482,420 2,057,060 28 29 7. Total Income Indicator 30 of Value \$4,800,000 31 32 [For text of subps 5 and 6, see M.R.] 33 Subp. 7. [See repealer.] 34 [For text of subp 8, see M.R.] 8100.0400 ALLOCATION. 35 36 [For text of subps 1 to 3, see M.R.] 37 Subp. 4. Pipeline companies. The allocation of pipeline companies shall be the original cost of the utility property 38 39 located in Minnesota divided by the total original cost of the property in all states of operation weighted at 75 percent. 40 41 Additionally, throughput of product from operations in Minnesota divided by throughput of product from operations in all states 42 43 is weighted at 25 percent.

> Approved by Revisor

1 The following example illustrates the allocation of value 2 of property of a pipeline company and the weights given to each 3 factor: 4 1. Minnesota Plant Cost \$13,500,000 5 x .75 = 25.76% 6 2. System Plant Cost \$39,300,000

-							
7	3.	Minnesota Throughput	\$-879407000				
8		(Mcf or Barrel miles)	8,940,000	х	.25	=	8.01%
9	4.	System Throughput	\$27,900,000				
10		(Mcf or Barrel miles)	27,900,000				
11	5.	Total Percentage Allocable					
12		to Minnesota					33.76%
13							

14 REPEALER. Minnesota Rules, parts 8100.0100, subpart 17; and 15 8100.0300, subpart 7, are repealed.

> Approved by Revisor