

1 Reports to Shareholders, Annual Reports to the Federal Energy
2 Regulatory Commission and Annual Reports to the Interstate
3 Commerce Commission. Periodic examinations of the supporting
4 data for these reports will be made by the Department of Revenue.

5 The methods, procedures, indicators of value,
6 capitalization rates, weighting percents, allocation factors,
7 and equalization will be used as described in parts 8100.0300 to
8 8100.0700 for ~~±1996~~ 1997 and subsequent years.

9 As in all property valuations, the commissioner of revenue
10 reserves the right to exercise his or her judgment whenever the
11 circumstances of a valuation estimate dictate the need for it.

12 8100.0300 VALUATION.

13 Subpart 1. **General.** Because of the unique character of
14 public utility companies, such as being subject to stringent
15 government regulations over operations and earnings, the
16 traditional approaches to valuation estimates of property (cost,
17 capitalized income, and market) must be modified when utility
18 property is valued. Consequently, for the ~~±1996~~ 1997 and
19 subsequent assessment years, the value of utility company
20 property will be estimated in the manner provided in this
21 chapter.

22 [For text of subp 2, see M.R.]

23 Subp. 3. **Cost approach.** The cost factor to be considered
24 in the utility valuation formula is the original cost less
25 depreciation of the system plant, plus improvements to the
26 system plant, plus the original cost of construction work in
27 progress on the assessment date. The original cost of any
28 leased operating property used by the utility must be reported
29 to the commissioner in conjunction with the annual utility
30 report. If the original cost of the leased operating property
31 is not available, the commissioner shall make an estimate of the
32 cost by capitalizing the lease payments. Depreciation will not
33 be allowed on construction work in progress. Depreciation will
34 be allowed as a deduction from cost in the amount allowed on the
35 accounting records of the utility company, as such records are

1 required to be maintained by the appropriate regulatory agency,
 2 except that depreciation may be reduced if available information
 3 indicates the amount deducted does not equal actual accrued
 4 depreciation when the current estimated remaining life is
 5 considered.

6 Depreciation, however, shall not exceed the prescribed
 7 percentage of cost: for electric companies, 20 percent; for gas
 8 distribution companies, 50 percent; and for pipeline companies,
 9 50 percent. If the amount of depreciation shown on the
 10 company's books exceeds these percentages, the company may
 11 deduct 50 percent of the excess.

12 The cost indicator of value computed in accordance with
 13 this subpart will be weighted for each type of utility company
 14 as follows: electric companies, 75 percent; gas distribution
 15 companies, 75 percent; and pipeline companies, 75 percent.

16 The following example illustrates how the cost indicator of
 17 value would be computed for an electric company:

18	1. Utility Plant	\$200,000,000
19	2. Construction Work in Progress	\$ 5,500,000
20	3. Total Plant	\$205,500,000
21	4. Nondepreciable Plant	
22	(Land, Intangibles, C.W.I.P.)	\$ 17,500,000
23	5. Depreciable Plant	\$188,000,000
24	6. Book Depreciation	\$ 40,000,000
25	7. Maximum Depreciation (20%)	\$ 37,600,000
26	8. 50% Excess Depreciation Allowance	\$ 1,200,000
27	9. Total Allowable Depreciation	\$ 38,800,000
28	10. Total Cost Indicator of Value	\$166,700,000
29		

30 Subp. 4. **Income approach.** The income indicator of value
 31 will be estimated by weighting the capitalized net operating
 32 earnings of the utility company, adjusted for the earnings
 33 growth rate, for the most recent three years as follows: most
 34 recent year, 40 percent; previous year, 35 percent; and final
 35 year, 25 percent. The earnings growth rate adjustment is
 36 performed by adding the earnings growth rate, expressed in
 37 decimal form, to one, squaring the sum of the two numbers, and
 38 multiplying the result by the net operating income figure. The
 39 net income, adjusted for the earnings growth rate, will be
 40 capitalized by applying to it a capitalization rate which will
 41 be computed by using the band of investment method. This method

1 will consider:

- 2 A. the capital structure of utilities;
 3 B. the cost of debt or interest rate;
 4 C. the yield on preferred stock of utilities; and
 5 D. the yield on common stock of utilities.

6 Rates will be computed for electric companies, gas
 7 distribution companies, and pipeline companies. The rates will
 8 be recalculated each year using the method described in this
 9 subpart.

10 The income indicator of value computed in accordance with
 11 this subpart will be weighted for each class of utility company
 12 as follows: electric companies, 25 percent; gas distribution
 13 companies, 25 percent; and pipeline companies, 25 percent.

14 The following example illustrates how the income indicator
 15 of value would be computed for a gas distribution company:

	1993	1994	1995
16			
17			
18	1. Net Operating Income	\$ 500,995	\$ 420,850
19	2. Earnings Growth Rate	0.32%	0.32%
20	3. Adjusted Earnings		
21	(Line 1 times 1 plus		
22	Line 2 squared)	504,206	423,548
23	4. Weighting Factor	25%	35%
24	5. Weighted Income to		
25	be Capitalized	126,052	148,242
26	6. Capitalized Income		
27	at 10.00%	1,260,520	1,482,420
28			2,057,060
29	7. Total Income Indicator		
30	of Value		\$4,800,000
31			

32 [For text of subps 5 and 6, see M.R.]

33 Subp. 7. [See repealer.]

34 [For text of subp 8, see M.R.]

35 8100.0400 ALLOCATION.

36 [For text of subps 1 to 3, see M.R.]

37 Subp. 4. Pipeline companies. The allocation of pipeline
 38 companies shall be the original cost of the utility property
 39 located in Minnesota divided by the total original cost of the
 40 property in all states of operation weighted at 75 percent.
 41 Additionally, throughput of product from operations in Minnesota
 42 divided by throughput of product from operations in all states
 43 is weighted at 25 percent.

1 The following example illustrates the allocation of value
 2 of property of a pipeline company and the weights given to each
 3 factor:

4	1.	Minnesota Plant Cost	\$13,500,000		
5				x .75 =	25.76%
6	2.	System Plant Cost	\$39,300,000		
7	3.	Minnesota Throughput	\$-87,940,000		
8		(Mcf or Barrel miles)	8,940,000	x .25 =	8.01%
9	4.	<u>System Throughput</u>	<u>\$27,900,000</u>		
10		(Mcf or Barrel miles)	27,900,000		
11	5.	Total Percentage Allocable			
12		to Minnesota			33.76%
13					

14 REPEALER. Minnesota Rules, parts 8100.0100, subpart 17; and
 15 8100.0300, subpart 7, are repealed.