1 Department of Commerce

2

- 3 Adopted Permanent Rules Relating to Petrofund Corrective Action
- 4 Costs

5

- 6 Rules as Adopted
- 7 2890.0010 DEFINITIONS.
- 8 [For text of subps 1 and 2, see M.R.]
- 9 Subp. 2a. Board. "Board" means the petroleum tank release
- 10 compensation board.
- 11 [For text of subp 3, see M.R.]
- 12 Subp. 3a. Consultant services. "Consultant services"
- 13 means professional consulting, investigation, or design services.
- 14 Subp. 3b. Contractor services. "Contractor services" means
- 15 products and services within a scope of work that can be defined
- 16 by typical written plans and specifications including, but not
- 17 limited to, excavation, treatment of contaminated soil and
- 18 groundwater, soil borings and well installations, laboratory
- 19 analysis, surveying, electrical, plumbing, carpentry, and
- 20 equipment.
- 21 [For text of subps 4 to 7, see M.R.]
- 22 2890.0075 DOCUMENTATION OF REASONABLENESS.
- 23 Subpart 1. Generally. The applicant shall prove the
- 24 reasonableness of all incurred eligible costs. Effective for
- 25 any contract entered into or commenced on or after the effective
- 26 date of this part, the applicant shall solicit a minimum of two
- 27 written competitive bids for each contractor service, and two
- 28 written proposals for consultant services. The board shall pay
- 29 only those costs it determines to be reasonable.
- 30 Subp. 2. Contractor services; bids. The applicant or its
- 31 agent shall solicit, publicly or privately, a minimum of two
- 32 written competitive bids in a form prescribed by the board based
- 33 upon comparable unit costs for each contractor service performed
- 34 in connection with corrective action from contractors considered
- 35 by the applicant or its agent to be qualified and who shall have

- 1 all necessary licenses and government approvals for the work to
- 2 be performed.
- 3 Copies of the written bids shall be submitted to the board
- 4 with the application for reimbursement. Unit costs
- 5 substantially in excess of those in the bid of the lowest
- 6 qualified bidder shall be considered prima facie unreasonable by
- 7 the board.
- 8 Subp. 3. Consultant services; proposals. The applicant
- 9 shall solicit a minimum of two written proposals for consultant
- 10 services from consultants considered by the applicant to be
- ll qualified in a form prescribed by the board setting forth the
- 12 qualifications of the consultant and estimates of costs for
- 13 consulting services. The applicant must make a good faith
- 14 effort to assure that the costs in the proposal selected are
- 15 reasonable considering the qualifications of the consultant and
- 16 the services to be performed.
- 17 Copies of the written proposals shall be submitted to the
- 18 board with the application for reimbursement. The board shall
- 19 require the applicant to justify the reasonableness of the costs
- 20 in the proposal selected if the reasonableness of the costs is
- 21 not apparent in the documentation submitted with the application.
- The applicant may present evidence of reasonableness by a
- 23 showing that the lowest cost proposal was selected, or that the
- 24 services to be performed or the selected consultant's
- 25 qualifications, including, but not limited to, education,
- 26 experience, certifications and registrations, health and safety
- 27 training, insurance, availability and references, justified the
- 28 selection of a higher cost proposal.
- Subp. 4. Exemptions. The applicant shall be granted an
- 30 exemption from the requirement that a minimum of two bids be
- 31 obtained for each contractor service or a minimum of two
- 32 proposals be obtained for consultant services:
- 33 A. if the board determines that the applicant has
- 34 provided satisfactory evidence:
- 35 (1) that only one contractor or consultant was
- 36 reasonably available to perform the necessary service and that

- l costs are not substantially in excess of costs charged for
- 2 similar services by a comparable contractor or consultant in the
- 3 same geographical area; or
- 4 (2) that the necessary services were required by
- 5 an emergency, including the abatement of free product, for which
- 6 there was not sufficient time to obtain bids or proposals; or
- 7 B. if the board makes an annual determination that
- 8 the applicant has established that a standing contract that was
- 9 entered into via a bidding or evaluation process will result in
- 10 reasonable corrective action costs by providing to the board:
- 11 (1) documentation of the bidding process that led
- 12 to the standing contract for contractor services or a written
- 13 explanation of the evaluation process that led to the standing
- 14 contract for consultant services; and
- 15 (2) a written explanation of why the standing
- 16 contract results in lower corrective action costs than obtaining
- 17 bids or proposals on a per job basis.
- 18 Subp. 5. Reasonableness of incurred costs.
- 19 Notwithstanding subparts 1 to 4, the board may consider all
- 20 invoice costs submitted for reimbursement to determine whether
- 21 the costs incurred are reasonable.
- 22 2890.0090 APPLICATION PROCESS.
- 23 Subpart 1. Applications. A person who requests
- 24 compensation from the fund shall complete, sign, and submit to
- 25 the board a written application. The application shall be made
- 26 on a form prescribed by the board and shall contain at least the
- 27 following:
- A. the name of the person making the application;
- B. a description of the site of the release;
- 30 C. (1) for costs associated with corrective action
- 31 related to soil contamination, a copy of the commissioner's
- 32 approval of a soil corrective action plan, or evidence that a
- 33 proposed soil corrective action plan has been submitted to the
- 34 commissioner; or
- 35 (2) for costs associated with corrective action

- 1 that will address the entire release, including groundwater if
- 2 necessary, a copy of the commissioner's approval of a
- 3 comprehensive corrective action plan, or evidence that a
- 4 proposed comprehensive corrective action plan has been submitted
- 5 to the commissioner; or
- 6 (3) a closure letter issued by the commissioner;
- 7 D. an itemized list of all corrective actions taken,
- 8 the eligible costs associated with the actions, and the name of
- 9 the engineer, contractor, or subcontractor who performed the
- 10 action; and
- 11 E. documentation of solicitation of competitive bids
- 12 or proposals or qualification for exemption as required by part
- 13 2890.0075.
- [For text of subps 2 to 6, see M.R.]
- 15 REPEALER. Minnesota Rules, parts 2890.0060, subpart 5; and
- 16 2890.0070, subpart 2, are repealed.