1 Department of Trade and Economic Development

2

3 Community Development Division

4

- 5 Adopted Permanent Rules Relating to Community Development Block
- 6 Grants

7

- 8 Rules as Adopted
- 9 4300.1300 EVALUATION OF APPLICATIONS.
- 10 All applications shall be evaluated by the division. A
- 11 fixed amount of points shall be established as the maximum score
- 12 attainable by any application. Points shall be made available
- 13 within each class of rating criteria according to parts
- 14 4300.1400 to 4300.1900. Economic development project
- 15 applications must meet threshold criteria in order to be
- 16 evaluated.
- 17 4300.1400 COMPARISON OF ALL COMPETITIVE APPLICATIONS;
- 18 DEMOGRAPHIC POINTS.
- 19 Subpart 1. [See Repealer.]
- Subp. 2. Evaluation of community need. Up to 30
- 21 demographic points shall be awarded based on evaluation of
- 22 community need, which shall include:
- A. to C. [Unchanged.]
- Subp. 3. [See Repealer.]
- 25 4300.1500 COMPARISON OF COMPETITIVE APPLICATIONS WITHIN
- 26 CATEGORIES.
- 27 After completing the general competition described in part
- 28 4300.1400, the division shall place each application in the
- 29 appropriate grant category in accordance with part 4300.1100.
- 30 The categories are housing projects, public facilities projects,
- 31 and comprehensive programs. Two hundred and ten of the total
- 32 240 points available for each application shall be awarded based
- 33 on a comparison of the applications within each of the
- 34 categories as further described in parts 4300.1600 to 4300.1900.

- 1 4300.1600 EVALUATION OF HOUSING PROJECTS.
- 2 Subpart 1. Project need. Up to 90 of the points available
- 3 in the housing category competition shall be awarded by the
- 4 division based on evaluation of the need for improvements or
- 5 additions to the housing stock serving low and moderate income
- 6 persons as evidenced by:
- 7 A. to C. [Unchanged.]
- 8 Subp. 2. Project impact. Up to 90 of the points available
- 9 in the housing category competition shall be awarded by the
- 10 division based on evaluation of the extent to which the proposed
- ll activities will eliminate deficiencies in the housing stock
- 12 serving low and moderate income persons.
- 13 Subp. 3. Project cost effectiveness. Up to 30 of the
- 14 points available in the housing category competition shall be
- 15 awarded by the division based on:
- A. evaluation of the extent to which the proposed
- 17 activities will make cost effective use of grant funds including
- 18 coordination with, and use of, funds from other public and
- 19 private sources; and
- B. evidence that the cost of the proposed activities
- 21 per benefiting household is reasonable.
- 22 4300.1700 EVALUATION OF PUBLIC FACILITIES PROJECTS.
- 23 Subpart 1. Project need. Up to 90 of the points available
- 24 in the public facilities category competition shall be awarded
- 25 by the division based on evaluation of the extent to which the
- 26 proposed activities are necessary to improve provision of public
- 27 services to low and moderate income persons or to eliminate an
- 28 urgent threat to public health or safety.
- 29 Subp. 2. Project impact. Up to 90 of the points available
- 30 in the public facilities category competition shall be awarded
- 31 by the division based on evaluation of the extent to which the
- 32 proposed activities will reduce or eliminate the need identified
- 33 under subpart 1, and, in the case of activities designed to
- 34 improve the provision of public services to low and moderate
- 35 income persons, an evaluation of the extent to which the

- 1 proposed activities directly benefit low and moderate income
- 2 persons.
- 3 Subp. 3. Project cost effectiveness. Up to 30 of the
- 4 points available in the public facilities category competition
- 5 shall be awarded by the division based on evaluation of the
- 6 extent to which the proposed activities will make cost effective
- 7 use of grant funds, including consideration of:
- A. to C. [Unchanged.]
- 9 4300.1900 EVALUATION OF COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM PROJECTS.
- 10 Subpart 1. Program need. Up to 90 of the points available
- 11 in the comprehensive program category competition shall be
- 12 awarded by the division based on evaluation of need for the
- 13 proposed comprehensive program, including consideration of:
- A. to C. [Unchanged.]
- 15 Subp. 2. Program impact. Up to 90 of the points available
- 16 in the comprehensive program category competition shall be
- 17 awarded by the division based on evaluation of the extent to
- 18 which the proposed comprehensive program will eliminate or
- 19 reduce the need identified under subpart 1, and the extent to
- 20 which the proposed program will improve the long term physical
- 21 or economic condition of the program area and its residents.
- 22 Subp. 3. Program cost effectiveness. Up to 30 of the
- 23 points available in the comprehensive program category
- 24 competition shall be based on evaluation of the extent to which
- 25 the proposed comprehensive program will make cost effective use
- 26 of grant funds, including consideration of coordination with,
- 27 and use of, funds from other public and private sources.
- 28 4300.1901 EVALUATION OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.
- 29 Subpart 1. and 2. [Unchanged.]
- 30 Subp. 3. Project review. Applications that meet
- 31 eligibility thresholds will be awarded points by the division
- 32 based on evaluation of the two rating categories: project
- 33 design and financial feasibility. Applications must attain at
- 34 least 400 of the 600 available points for economic development
- 35 to be recommended for funding. Applications must score at least

- l half of the points available in each of the two rating
- 2 categories.
- Four hundred points will be awarded based on an evaluation
- 4 of project quality including an assessment of need, impact, and
- 5 the capacity of the applicant to complete the project in a
- 6 timely manner. Consideration of need for an economic
- 7 development project must be based on deficiencies in employment
- 8 opportunities and circumstances contributing to economic
- 9 vulnerability and distress. Consideration of impact must be
- 10 based on the extent to which the project reduces or eliminates
- 11 the need. Consideration of capacity must be based on
- 12 demonstration of administrative capability, realistic
- 13 implementation schedules, and the ability to conform to state
- 14 and federal requirements.
- Two hundred points will be awarded based on an evaluation
- 16 of the effective use of program funds to induce economic
- 17 development. Consideration of financial feasibility must
- 18 include investment analysis, commitment of other funds, and
- 19 other factors relating to the type of program assistance
- 20 requested.
- 21 Subp. 4. Funding recommendations. Applications that
- 22 attain at least 400 points will be recommended to the
- 23 commissioner for funding. Applications not recommended for
- 24 funding may be revised and resubmitted.
- 25 4300.2000 DETERMINATION OF GRANT AWARDS.
- Subpart 1. Funds available for grants. The amount of
- 27 funds available for grants shall be equal to the total
- 28 allocation of federal funds made available to the state under
- 29 United States Code, title 42, section 5306, after subtracting an
- 30 amount for costs available to the division for administration of
- 31 the program, as allowed by that law, plus any money made
- 32 available by the state legislature. The division is not liable
- 33 for any grants under this chapter until funds are received from
- 34 the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- 35 Subp. 2. Division of funds. Of the federal funds

- 1 available for grants in each grant year, 30 percent shall be
- 2 reserved by the division to fund single purpose grants, 15
- 3 percent shall be reserved for economic development grants, and
- 4 55 percent shall be reserved by the division to fund
- 5 comprehensive grants. However, the division may modify the
- 6 proportions of funds available for single purpose and
- 7 comprehensive grants if, after review of all applications, it
- 8 determines that there is a shortage of fundable applications in
- 9 either category.
- 10 At least 30 percent of the funds made available for single
- ll purpose grants shall be awarded for applications in each of the
- 12 two categories: housing and public facilities. However, no
- 13 application with a rating below the median score for its
- 14 category shall be funded by the division solely for the purpose
- 15 of meeting this requirement.
- 16 If there are unawarded economic development funds available
- 17 at the end of the application year, two-thirds of the remaining
- 18 funds will be available for competitive single purpose projects
- 19 and one-third will be available for economic development
- 20 projects during the next application year.
- 21 Subp. 3. Funding list. Within each grant category, a list
- 22 of applications shall be prepared in rank order of the scores
- 23 received after evaluation pursuant to parts 4300.1300 to
- 24 4300.1900. Based on these lists, and subject to the
- 25 availability of funds within each category, applications with
- 26 the highest rank shall be recommended to the commissioner for
- 27 funding. In the case of a tie between any two applications
- 28 within any category, the application with the higher demographic
- 29 points shall receive the higher ranking on the list.
- 30 Subp. 4. Approval by commissioner. The list of
- 31 applications recommended for funding, including recommended
- 32 grant awards, shall be submitted by the division to the
- 33 commissioner for approval. A decision by the commissioner not
- 34 to approve any application recommended for funding must be made
- 35 in writing to the applicant, giving reasons for disapproval.
- 36 Subp. 5. Reduction in amount requested. The division may

- 1 recommend an application for funding in an amount less than
- 2 requested if, in the opinion of the division, the amount
- 3 requested is more than is necessary to meet the applicant's
- 4 need. If the amount of the grant is reduced, the reasons for
- 5 the reduction shall be given to the applicant.
- 6 Subp. 6. [Unchanged.]
- 7 4300.3100 GRANT AGREEMENTS.
- 8 Subpart 1. and 2. [Unchanged.]
- 9 Subp. 3. Use of program income. Program income from
- 10 sources such as reimbursements to and interest from a grant
- 11 recipient's loan program, proceeds from disposition of real
- 12 property, and proceeds from special assessments must be used for
- 13 eligible activities. The division shall reduce future grant
- 14 payments by the amount of any unobligated program income that an
- 15 applicant has and shall take whatever additional action is
- 16 necessary to recover any remaining amounts owed. In accordance
- 17 with Code of Federal Regulations, title 24, section
- 18 570.494(b)(4), interest earned by grant recipients on grant
- 19 funds before disbursement is not program income, and it must be
- 20 returned to the United States treasury.
- 21 Subp. 4. Grant account required. Grant recipients must
- 22 establish and maintain separate accounts for grant funds.
- Subp. 5. Restrictions on use of funds. No grant funds
- 24 shall be used to finance activities not included in the grant
- 25 agreement. If it is determined that an improper use of funds
- 26 has occurred, the division will take whatever action is
- 27 necessary to recover improperly spent funds.
- Subp. 6. Suspension of payments. The division shall
- 29 suspend payments of funds to grant recipients that are not in
- 30 compliance with applicable state and federal laws, rules, and
- 31 regulations. Grant recipients must return funds that are
- 32 improperly expended.
- 33 Subp. 7. [Unchanged.]
- 34 4300.3200 RECORD KEEPING AND MONITORING.
- 35 Subpart 1. Financial records. Grant recipients shall

- 1 maintain financial records that identify the source and
- 2 application of funds for grant supported activities. These
- 3 records must contain information about grant awards and
- 4 authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets,
- 5 liabilities, outlays, income, and other information required by
- 6 the division under the responsibilities it assumes under Code of
- 7 Federal Regulations, title 24, section 570.497(b). Financial
- 8 records, supporting documents, statistical records, and all
- 9 other records pertinent to a grant must be retained by the grant
- 10 recipient for three years from the date of submitting the final
- 11 financial report. No such records or documents may be disposed
- 12 of while audits, claims, or litigations involving the records
- 13 are in progress.
- 14 Subp. 2. [Unchanged.]
- Subp. 3. Financial status report. Grant recipients shall
- 16 file financial status reports at the close of each reporting
- 17 period as designated by the division and shall file a final
- 18 financial report before grant close out. Financial status
- 19 reports must be on forms prescribed by the division. The
- 20 division may not require these reports more often than quarterly.
- 21 Subp. 4. Performance report. Grant recipients shall also
- 22 file performance reports at the close of each reporting period
- 23 as designated by the division and shall file a final performance
- 24 report before grant close out. Performance reports shall be on
- 25 forms prescribed by the division. The division may not require
- 26 these reports more often than quarterly.
- 27 Subp. 5. Access to records. Representatives of the
- 28 department, either the state auditor or legislative auditor as
- 29 is appropriate, and federal auditors shall have access to all
- 30 books, records, accounts, reports, files, and other papers,
- 31 things, or property belonging to grant recipients which are
- 32 related to the administration of grants and necessary for audits
- 33 and monitoring compliance with parts 4300.0100 to 4300.3200.

REPEALER. Minnesota Rules, part 4300.1400, subparts 1 and

36 3, are repealed.

34