

1 Department of Revenue

2

3 Adopted Permanent Rules Relating to Valuation and Assessment of
4 Electric, Gas Distribution, and Pipeline Companies

5

6 Rules as Adopted

7 8100.0200 INTRODUCTION.

8 The commissioner of revenue will estimate the valuation of
9 the entire system of a utility company operating within the
10 state. The entire system will be valued as a unit instead of
11 valuing the component parts, utilizing data relating to the cost
12 of the property and the earnings of the company owning or
13 operating the property. The resulting valuation will be
14 allocated or assigned to each state in which the utility company
15 operates. Finally, by the process of apportionment, the portion
16 allocated to Minnesota will be distributed to the various taxing
17 districts within the state. Most of the data used in the
18 valuation, allocation, and apportionment process will be drawn
19 from reports submitted to the Department of Revenue by the
20 utility companies. These reports will include Minnesota
21 Department of Revenue Annual Utility Reports (UTL forms), Annual
22 Reports to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and Annual
23 Reports to the Interstate Commerce Commission. Periodic
24 examinations of the supporting data for these reports will be
25 made by the Department of Revenue.

26 The methods, procedures, indicators of value,
27 capitalization rates, weighting percents, and allocation factors
28 will be used as described in parts 8100.0300 to 8100.0600 for
29 1988 and subsequent years.

30 As in all property valuations the commissioner of revenue
31 reserves the right to exercise his or her judgment whenever the
32 circumstances of a valuation estimate dictate the need for it.

33 8100.0300 VALUATION.

34 Subpart 1. General. Because of the unique character of
35 public utility companies, such as being subject to stringent

1 government regulations over operations and earnings, the
2 traditional approaches to valuation estimates of property (cost,
3 capitalized income, and market) must be modified when utility
4 property is valued. Consequently, for the 1988 and subsequent
5 assessment years, the value of utility company property will be
6 estimated in the manner provided in this chapter.

7 Subp. 2. [Unchanged.]

8 Subp. 3. **Cost approach.** The cost factor to be considered
9 in the utility valuation formula is the original cost less
10 depreciation of the system plant, plus improvements to the
11 system plant, plus the original cost of construction work in
12 progress on the assessment date. The original cost of any
13 leased operating property used by the utility must be reported
14 to the commissioner in conjunction with the annual utility
15 report. If the original cost of the leased operating property
16 is not available, the commissioner shall make an estimate of the
17 cost by capitalizing the lease payments. Depreciation will not
18 be allowed on construction work in progress. Depreciation will
19 be allowed as a deduction from cost in the amount allowed on the
20 accounting records of the utility company, as such records are
21 required to be maintained by the appropriate regulatory agency.

22 Depreciation, however, shall not exceed the prescribed
23 percentage of cost: for electric companies, 20 percent; for gas
24 distribution companies, 50 percent; and for pipeline companies,
25 50 percent. If the amount of depreciation shown on the
26 company's books exceeds these percentages, the company may
27 deduct 30 percent of the excess.

28 A modification to the cost approach to value will be
29 considered by the commissioner when valuing electric utility
30 property. The original cost of an electric utility's major
31 generating plants will be increased if the cost of the plant
32 falls below a certain standard. The standard to be used will be
33 a national average of the cost per kilowatt of installed
34 capacity. The cost per kilowatt of installed capacity is the
35 total construction cost of the generating plant divided by the
36 number of kilowatts the plant is capable of producing. The

1 national average to be used will be computed by totaling the
 2 construction costs, excluding the cost of land, for major
 3 generating plants within the 48 contiguous United States. The
 4 total cost of the plants will be divided by the total generating
 5 capacity of the same plants to arrive at an average cost per
 6 kilowatt of installed capacity. A separate average will be
 7 computed for each type of plant: gas turbine, hydroelectric,
 8 and steam-electric. The plants used in the calculation will
 9 exclude nuclear electric generating plants.

10 The information used to compute the average will be drawn
 11 from the latest issue of the United States Department of Energy
 12 publication, Historical Plant Cost and Annual Production
 13 Expenses for Selected Electric Plants. All plants included in
 14 this publication will be used in the computation of the national
 15 average by type of plant.

16 An example of this computation of the national average cost
 17 per kilowatt of installed capacity is as follows:

18 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

19	Plant	Plant Cost Excluding Land	Plant Capacity
20	A	\$ 14,000,000	100,000 kw
21	B	13,000,000	90,000 kw
22	C	17,000,000	110,000 kw
23	D	14,500,000	80,000 kw
24	E	18,000,000	120,000 kw
25	F	10,000,000	70,000 kw
26	G	19,000,000	130,000 kw
27	H	9,000,000	60,000 kw
28	I	20,000,000	140,000 kw
29	J	8,000,000	50,000 kw
30		\$142,500,000	950,000 kw

31 Total plant cost (\$142,500,000) divided by total plant
 32 capacity (950,000 kw) equals \$150 average cost per kilowatt of
 33 installed capacity.
 34

35 The national average cost per kilowatt of installed
 36 capacity will be compared to the specific cost per kilowatt of
 37 installed capacity for each of the major generating plants owned
 38 by the utility being valued. If the national average cost per
 39 kilowatt is greater than the subject plant cost, the subject
 40 plant will have additional dollars incorporated into its cost in
 41 order to raise its cost per kilowatt to the national average.
 42 If the subject plant's cost per kilowatt equals or exceeds the

1 national average, no cost will be added.

2 The following example illustrates this procedure:

3 XYZ Utility

4 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

5	1. Plant		#1	#2
6	2. Installed Capacity	100,000	kw	50,000 kw
7	3. Year in Service		1970	1950
8	4. Cost of Plant			
9	(Exclusive of Land)	\$15,200,000		\$5,000,000
10	5. Specific Plant			
11	Cost per kw		\$152	\$100
12	6. National Average			
13	Cost per kw		\$150	\$150
14	7. Deficiency		none	\$ 50
15	8. Additional Cost			
16	(Line 7 x Line 2)		none	\$2,500,000
17	This additional cost to be added to the original cost of			

18 the specific plant will be reduced by an allowance for pollution
19 control equipment and an allowance for obsolescence.

20 The allowance for pollution control equipment will be
21 computed annually by totaling the construction costs, exclusive
22 of land, of all major generating plants within Minnesota by type
23 of plant. A total will also be made of the cost of the
24 equipment in these plants which has been approved for tax exempt
25 status in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 272.02,
26 subdivision 1, clause (9). This total will also be computed by
27 type of plant. The total of the approved pollution control
28 equipment will be divided by the total construction cost,
29 exclusive of land, of the plants in order to calculate a
30 percentage. This percentage will be the ratio of dollars spent
31 for pollution control equipment to total dollars spent to
32 construct a specific type of power plant. This percentage will
33 then be used to reduce the gross additional cost to be added to
34 the cost of the specific generating plant. An example of this
35 process is as follows:

36 Steam-Electric Plants Within Minnesota

37		Plant Cost	Cost of Approved
38		Excluding Land	Pollution
39	Plant		Control Equipment
40	A	\$15,200,000	\$1,500,000
41	B	10,000,000	1,000,000
42	C	5,000,000	700,000
43	D	20,000,000	2,000,000
44	E	16,500,000	1,470,000
45		\$66,700,000	\$6,670,000
46	Total cost of approved pollution control equipment		

1 (\$6,670,000) divided by total plant cost (\$66,700,000) equals
2 ten percent ratio of pollution control equipment expenditures to
3 total expenditures for generating plant construction.

4 XYZ Utility

5 Steam-Electric Plant #2

6	1.	Additional Cost Due to Computation of	
7		Average Cost per kw of Installed	
8		Capacity	\$2,500,000
9	2.	10% Allowance for Pollution Control	
10		Equipment	250,000
11	3.	Additional Cost to be Added after	
12		Adjustment for Pollution Control	
13		Equipment	2,250,000
14		The allowance for obsolescence which will be applied to the	

15 additional plant construction cost will be computed annually for
16 hydroelectric and steam-electric generating plants. The
17 information needed to compute the obsolescence factors will be
18 drawn from the same publication that is used to compute the
19 national average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity
20 figure. Gas turbine plants will not have any obsolescence
21 allowance applied to the additional cost added to the plants.

22 The obsolescence allowance for hydroelectric plants will be
23 calculated through the use of a "plant factor." The plant
24 factor is computed by dividing the number of kilowatt hours a
25 generating plant actually produced in a year by the number of
26 kilowatt hours the plant was capable of producing. The plant
27 factor is normally expressed as a percentage. The mathematical
28 expression of this factor is: net generation (kwh) divided by
29 annual installed capacity (hours in a year multiplied by
30 installed capacity (kw)). A standard plant factor will be
31 computed for hydroelectric plants by averaging the plant factors
32 of the ten plants with the highest plant factors in the average
33 cost per kilowatt of installed capacity study. This standard
34 will then be compared to an average of the most recent three
35 years' plant factor of the subject plant. The amount the
36 subject plant deviates from the standard is the amount of
37 obsolescence which will be applied to the added cost.

38 An example of this obsolescence allowance computation is
39 shown below.

40 Hydroelectric Plants

	Plant	Net Generation kwh (000)	Plant Capability kwh (000)	Plant Factor
1				
2				
3	A	400,150	755,000	53 %
4	B	300,040	577,000	52 %
5	C	250,000	480,000	52 %
6	D	600,000	1,250,000	48 %
7	E	896,000	1,600,000	56 %
8	F	700,000	1,400,000	50 %
9	G	507,000	975,000	52 %
10	H	450,000	1,000,000	45 %
11	I	376,000	800,000	47 %
12	J	810,000	1,800,000	45 %
13			Average	50 %

XYZ Utility

Hydroelectric Plant #4

	Year	Net Generation kwh (000)	Plant Capability kwh (000)	Plant Factor
15				
16				
17				
18	19XX	400,000	1,000,000	40 %
19	19XX	500,000	1,000,000	50 %
20	19XX	450,000	1,000,000	45 %
21			Average	45 %

22 Hydroelectric plant #4 plant factor (45 percent) divided by

23 standard plant factor (50 percent) equals 90 percent.

24 Therefore, hydroelectric plant #4 deviates from the standard by
25 ten percent, or is ten percent obsolete.

26 The obsolescence allowance for steam-electric generating
27 plants will be computed annually using two indicators. The
28 first indicator will be the plant factor. The plant factor for
29 steam-electric plants will be computed and applied in the same
30 manner as the computation specified for hydroelectric plants.
31 The only difference will be that the information used for the
32 computation will be drawn from the latest Fossil-Fueled
33 Steam-Electric Plant Section of the latest Historical Plant Cost
34 and Annual Production and Expenses for Selected Electric Plants
35 publication rather than the Hydroelectric Plant section. Plant
36 factors of the ten best steam-electric generating plants within
37 the study period will be averaged. This average will be
38 compared to the most recent three-year average plant factor for
39 the subject plant. The subject plant's deviation from the
40 standard plant factor is the amount of indicated obsolescence.

41 The second indicator which will be used to compute an
42 obsolescence allowance for steam-electric generating plants will
43 be a thermal efficiency factor. The source of information for
44 this computation will also be the latest issue of the United
45 States Department of Energy's publication, Historical Plant Cost

1 and Annual Production Expenses for Selected Electric Plants,
2 Fossil-Fueled Steam Electric Plant Section. Thermal efficiency
3 for a generating plant is measured by the number of British
4 thermal units (Btu's) required to produce one kilowatt hour.
5 This efficiency rating can be obtained by dividing the number of
6 kilowatt hours produced by a generating plant by the number of
7 Btu's needed to produce this power. The number of Btu's used
8 can be obtained by multiplying the units of fuel burned by the
9 generating plant - tons of coal, gallons of oil, or cubic feet
10 of gas - by the average Btu content of the fuel unit. The
11 standard thermal efficiency factor will be computed by averaging
12 the thermal efficiency factor of the ten most efficient
13 steam-electric generating plants within the study period used to
14 compute the average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity.
15 This standard thermal efficiency factor will then be compared to
16 the thermal efficiency factor of the subject plant. The amount
17 the subject plant deviates from the standard is the amount of
18 obsolescence indicated by this factor.

19 The two obsolescence figures for the subject plant as
20 indicated by both the plant and thermal efficiency factors will
21 then be averaged. This resulting average is the obsolescence
22 allowance which will be applied to the cost added to the subject
23 plant as a result of the average cost per kilowatt of installed
24 capacity computation. In no instance shall the original cost of
25 a generating plant be reduced by an allowance for obsolescence
26 unless its cost is increased through the use of the average cost
27 per kilowatt of installed capacity computation. For the 1988
28 and subsequent assessments the additional cost after adjustments
29 for obsolescence to be added to the cost indicator of value will
30 be reduced by 75 percent.

31 The following examples illustrate computation of the
32 standard thermal efficiency factor; obsolescence indicated by
33 the application of this factor to the subject plant; average
34 obsolescence for steam-electric generating plants; and
35 obsolescence allowance adjustment of the added cost due to the
36 use of the average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity for

1 the subject plant.

2 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

3	Plant	Net Generation kwh (Millions)	Btu's Used (Millions)	Btu's per kwh
4	A	2,000	18,400,000	9,200
5	B	6,000	53,400,000	8,900
6	C	8,000	72,000,000	9,000
7	D	5,000	45,500,000	9,100
8	E	3,000	26,400,000	8,800
9	F	1,000	9,000,000	9,000
10	G	4,000	36,600,000	9,150
11	H	9,000	80,550,000	8,950
12	I	7,000	61,950,000	8,850
13	J	5,000	45,250,000	9,050
14				Average 9,000

15 XYZ Utility Company

16 Steam-Electric Plant #2

18	Net Generation kwh (Millions)	Btu's Used (Millions)	Btu's per kwh
19	2,000	21,600,000	10,800
20	21 Steam-electric plant #2 thermal efficiency factor (10,800		

22 Btu's per kwh) divided by standard thermal efficiency factor
 23 (9,000 Btu's per kwh) equals 120 percent. Therefore,
 24 steam-electric plant #2 deviates from the standard by 20 percent
 25 or is 20 percent obsolete.

26 XYZ Utility Company

27 Steam-Electric Plant #2

28	1. Obsolescence Indicated by Plant Factor	10%
29	2. Obsolescence Indicated by Thermal Efficiency	
30	Factor	20%
31	3. Obsolescence Allowance (Average of 1 and 2)	15%
32	4. Additional Cost due to Computation of	
33	Average Cost per kw of Installed Capacity	\$2,500,000
34	5. 15% Obsolescence Allowance	\$ 375,000
35	6. Additional Cost to be Added after	
36	Adjustment for Obsolescence	\$2,125,000
37	7. Adjustment Factor	75%
38	8. Net Additional Cost to be Added	\$ 531,250
39		

40 The cost indicator of value computed in accordance with
 41 this subpart will be weighted for each type of utility company
 42 as follows: electric companies, 85 percent; gas distribution
 43 companies, 75 percent; and pipeline companies, 75 percent.

44 The following example illustrates how the cost indicator of
 45 value would be computed for an electric company:

46	1. Utility Plant	\$200,000,000
47	2. Construction Work in Progress	\$ 5,500,000
48	3. Additional Value From Average Cost	
49	per KW Computation After Factoring	\$ 531,250
50	4. Total Plant	\$206,031,250
51	5. Nondepreciable Plant	
52	(Land, Intangibles, C.W.I.P.)	\$ 17,500,000
53	6. Depreciable Plant	\$188,531,250

1	7.	Book Depreciation	\$ 40,000,000
2	8.	Maximum Depreciation (20%)	\$ 37,706,250
3	9.	30% Excess Depreciation Allowance	\$ 688,125
4	10.	Total Allowable Depreciation	\$ 38,394,375
5	11.	Total Cost Indicator of Value	\$167,636,875
6			

7 Any company for which a modification is made under this
8 subpart due to the average cost per kilowatt adjustment being
9 made to original cost of a plant or plants located in Minnesota
10 shall have an alternative cost indicator computation made
11 without giving effect to the average cost per kilowatt
12 adjustment of such plant or plants.

13 Subp. 4. Income approach. The income indicator of value
14 will be estimated by weighting the capitalized net operating
15 earnings of the utility company for the most recent three years
16 as follows: most recent year, 40 percent; previous year, 35
17 percent; and final year, 25 percent. The net income will be
18 capitalized by applying to it a capitalization rate which will
19 be computed by using the band of investment method. This method
20 will consider:

- 21 A. the capital structure of utilities;
- 22 B. the cost of debt or interest rate;
- 23 C. the yield on preferred stock of utilities;
- 24 D. the yield on common stock of utilities; and
- 25 E. deferred taxes.

26 For 1988 the capitalization rate will be: electric
27 companies, 11.25 percent; gas distribution companies, 11.50
28 percent; and pipeline companies, 11.75 percent. These rates
29 will be recalculated each year using the method described in
30 this subpart.

31 The income indicator of value computed in accordance with
32 this subpart will be weighted for each class of utility company
33 as follows: electric companies, 15 percent; gas distribution
34 companies, 25 percent; and pipeline companies, 25 percent.

35 The following example illustrates how the income indicator
36 of value would be computed for a gas distribution company:

37		1982	1983	1984
38				
39	1.	Net Operating Income \$ 596,160	\$ 488,911	\$ 579,600
40	2.	Capitalized Income		

05/16/88

[REVISOR] JCR/MM AR1311

1	@ 11.5%	5,184,000	4,251,400	5,040,000
2				
3	3. Weighting Factor	25 percent	35 percent	40 percent
4	4. Weighted Capitalized	1,296,000	1,488,000	2,016,000
5	Income			
6	5. Total Income			
7	Indicator of Value			4,800,000
8				
9	Subp. 5. to 8. [Unchanged.]			