

1 Department of Revenue

2

3 Adopted Permanent Rules Relating to Revenue; Utilities

4

5 Rules as Adopted

6 8100.0200 INTRODUCTION.

7 The commissioner of revenue will estimate the valuation of  
8 the entire system of a utility company operating within the  
9 state. The entire system will be valued as a unit instead of  
10 valuing the component parts, utilizing data relating to the cost  
11 of the property and the earnings of the company owning or  
12 operating the property. The resulting valuation will be  
13 allocated or assigned to each state in which the utility company  
14 operates. Finally, by the process of apportionment, the portion  
15 allocated to Minnesota will be distributed to the various taxing  
16 districts within the state. Most of the data used in the  
17 valuation, allocation, and apportionment process will be drawn  
18 from reports submitted to the Department of Revenue by the  
19 utility companies. These reports will include Minnesota  
20 Department of Revenue Annual Utility Reports (UTL forms), Annual  
21 Reports to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and Annual  
22 Reports to the Interstate Commerce Commission. Periodic  
23 examinations of the supporting data for these reports will be  
24 made by the Department of Revenue.

25 The methods, procedures, indicators of value,  
26 capitalization rates, weighting percents, and allocation factors  
27 will be used as described in parts 8100.0300 to 8100.0600 for  
28 1987 and subsequent years.

29 As in all property valuations the commissioner of revenue  
30 reserves the right to exercise his or her judgment whenever the  
31 circumstances of a valuation estimate dictate the need for it.

32 8100.0300 VALUATION.

33 Subpart 1. General. Because of the unique character of  
34 public utility companies, such as being subject to stringent  
35 government regulations over operations and earnings, the

1 traditional approaches to valuation estimates of property (cost,  
2 capitalized income, and market) must be modified when utility  
3 property is valued. Consequently, for the 1987 and subsequent  
4 assessment years, the value of utility company property will be  
5 estimated in the manner provided in this chapter.

6 Subp. 2. [Unchanged.]

7 Subp. 3. **Cost approach.** The cost factor to be considered  
8 in the utility valuation formula is the original cost less  
9 depreciation of the system plant, plus improvements to the  
10 system plant, plus the original cost of construction work in  
11 progress on the assessment date. The original cost of any  
12 leased operating property used by the utility must be reported  
13 to the commissioner in conjunction with the annual utility  
14 report. If the original cost of the leased operating property  
15 is not available, the commissioner shall make an estimate of the  
16 cost by capitalizing the lease payments. Depreciation will not  
17 be allowed on construction work in progress. Depreciation will  
18 be allowed as a deduction from cost in the amount allowed on the  
19 accounting records of the utility company, as such records are  
20 required to be maintained by the appropriate regulatory agency.

21 Depreciation, however, shall not exceed the prescribed  
22 percentage of cost: for electric companies, 20 percent; for gas  
23 distribution companies, 50 percent; and for pipeline companies,  
24 50 percent. If the amount of depreciation shown on the  
25 company's books exceeds these percentages, the company may  
26 deduct 20 percent of the excess.

27 A modification to the cost approach to value will be  
28 considered by the commissioner when valuing electric utility  
29 property. The original cost of an electric utility's major  
30 generating plants will be increased if the cost of the plant  
31 falls below a certain standard. The standard to be used will be  
32 a national average of the cost per kilowatt of installed  
33 capacity. The cost per kilowatt of installed capacity is the  
34 total construction cost of the generating plant divided by the  
35 number of kilowatts the plant is capable of producing. The  
36 national average to be used will be computed by totaling the

1 construction costs, excluding the cost of land, for major  
 2 generating plants within the 48 contiguous United States. The  
 3 total cost of the plants will be divided by the total generating  
 4 capacity of the same plants to arrive at an average cost per  
 5 kilowatt of installed capacity. A separate average will be  
 6 computed for each type of plant: gas turbine, hydroelectric,  
 7 and steam-electric. The plants used in the calculation will  
 8 exclude nuclear electric generating plants.

9 The information used to compute the average will be drawn  
 10 from the latest issue of the United States Department of Energy  
 11 publication, Historical Plant Cost and Annual Production  
 12 Expenses for Selected Electric Plants. All plants included in  
 13 this publication will be used in the computation of the national  
 14 average by type of plant.

15 An example of this computation of the national average cost  
 16 per kilowatt of installed capacity is as follows:

17 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

18	19 Plant	Plant Cost Excluding Land	Plant Capacity
20	A	\$ 14,000,000	100,000 kw
21	B	13,000,000	90,000 kw
22	C	17,000,000	110,000 kw
23	D	14,500,000	80,000 kw
24	E	18,000,000	120,000 kw
25	F	10,000,000	70,000 kw
26	G	19,000,000	130,000 kw
27	H	9,000,000	60,000 kw
28	I	20,000,000	140,000 kw
29	J	8,000,000	50,000 kw
30		\$142,500,000	950,000 kw

31 Total plant cost (\$142,500,000) divided by total plant  
 32 capacity (950,000 kw) equals \$150 average cost per kilowatt of  
 33 installed capacity.

34 The national average cost per kilowatt of installed  
 35 capacity will be compared to the specific cost per kilowatt of  
 36 installed capacity for each of the major generating plants owned  
 37 by the utility being valued. If the national average cost per  
 38 kilowatt is greater than the subject plant cost, the subject  
 39 plant will have additional dollars incorporated into its cost in  
 40 order to raise its cost per kilowatt to the national average.  
 41 If the subject plant's cost per kilowatt equals or exceeds the  
 42 national average, no cost will be added.

1 The following example illustrates this procedure:

2 XYZ Utility

3 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

4	1. Plant	#1	#2
5	2. Installed Capacity	100,000 kw	50,000 kw
6	3. Year in Service	1970	1950
7	4. Cost of Plant		
8	(Exclusive of Land)	\$15,200,000	\$5,000,000
9	5. Specific Plant		
10	Cost per kw	\$152	\$100
11	6. National Average		
12	Cost per kw	\$150	\$150
13	7. Deficiency	none	\$ 50
14	8. Additional Cost		
15	(Line 7 x Line 2)	none	\$2,500,000
16	This additional cost to be added to the original cost of		

17 the specific plant will be reduced by an allowance for pollution  
18 control equipment and an allowance for obsolescence.

19 The allowance for pollution control equipment will be  
20 computed annually by totaling the construction costs, exclusive  
21 of land, of all major generating plants within Minnesota by type  
22 of plant. A total will also be made of the cost of the  
23 equipment in these plants which has been approved for tax exempt  
24 status in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 272.02,  
25 subdivision 1, clause (9). This total will also be computed by  
26 type of plant. The total of the approved pollution control  
27 equipment will be divided by the total construction cost,  
28 exclusive of land, of the plants in order to calculate a  
29 percentage. This percentage will be the ratio of dollars spent  
30 for pollution control equipment to total dollars spent to  
31 construct a specific type of power plant. This percentage will  
32 then be used to reduce the gross additional cost to be added to  
33 the cost of the specific generating plant. An example of this  
34 process is as follows:

35 Steam-Electric Plants Within Minnesota

36		Plant Cost	Cost of Approved
37		Excluding Land	Pollution
38	Plant		Control Equipment
39	A	\$15,200,000	\$1,500,000
40	B	10,000,000	1,000,000
41	C	5,000,000	700,000
42	D	20,000,000	2,000,000
43	E	16,500,000	1,470,000
44		\$66,700,000	\$6,670,000
45	Total cost of approved pollution control equipment		

46 (\$6,670,000) divided by total plant cost (\$66,700,000) equals

1 ten percent ratio of pollution control equipment expenditures to  
2 total expenditures for generating plant construction.

3 XYZ Utility

4 Steam-Electric Plant #2

5	1.	Additional Cost Due to Computation of	
6		Average Cost per kw of Installed	
7		Capacity	\$2,500,000
8	2.	10% Allowance for Pollution Control	
9		Equipment	250,000
10	3.	Additional Cost to be Added after	
11		Adjustment for Pollution Control	
12		Equipment	2,250,000
13		The allowance for obsolescence which will be applied to the	

14 additional plant construction cost will be computed annually for  
15 hydroelectric and steam-electric generating plants. The  
16 information needed to compute the obsolescence factors will be  
17 drawn from the same publication that is used to compute the  
18 national average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity  
19 figure. Gas turbine plants will not have any obsolescence  
20 allowance applied to the additional cost added to the plants.

21 The obsolescence allowance for hydroelectric plants will be  
22 calculated through the use of a "plant factor." The plant  
23 factor is computed by dividing the number of kilowatt hours a  
24 generating plant actually produced in a year by the number of  
25 kilowatt hours the plant was capable of producing. The plant  
26 factor is normally expressed as a percentage. The mathematical  
27 expression of this factor is: net generation (kwh) divided by  
28 annual installed capacity (hours in a year multiplied by  
29 installed capacity (kw)). A standard plant factor will be  
30 computed for hydroelectric plants by averaging the plant factors  
31 of the ten plants with the highest plant factors in the average  
32 cost per kilowatt of installed capacity study. This standard  
33 will then be compared to an average of the most recent three  
34 years' plant factor of the subject plant. The amount the  
35 subject plant deviates from the standard is the amount of  
36 obsolescence which will be applied to the added cost.

37 An example of this obsolescence allowance computation is  
38 shown below.

39 Hydroelectric Plants

40	Net Generation	Plant Capability	Plant
----	----------------	------------------	-------

Plant	kwh (000)	kwh (000)	Factor
A	400,150	755,000	53 %
B	300,040	577,000	52 %
C	250,000	480,000	52 %
D	600,000	1,250,000	48 %
E	896,000	1,600,000	56 %
F	700,000	1,400,000	50 %
G	507,000	975,000	52 %
H	450,000	1,000,000	45 %
I	376,000	800,000	47 %
J	810,000	1,800,000	45 %
			Average 50 %

## XYZ Utility

## Hydroelectric Plant #4

Year	Net Generation kwh (000)	Plant Capability kwh (000)	Plant Factor
19XX	400,000	1,000,000	40 %
19XX	500,000	1,000,000	50 %
19XX	450,000	1,000,000	45 %
			Average 45 %

Hydroelectric plant #4 plant factor (45 percent) divided by standard plant factor (50 percent) equals 90 percent. Therefore, hydroelectric plant #4 deviates from the standard by ten percent, or is ten percent obsolete.

The obsolescence allowance for steam-electric generating plants will be computed annually using two indicators. The first indicator will be the plant factor. The plant factor for steam-electric plants will be computed and applied in the same manner as the computation specified for hydroelectric plants. The only difference will be that the information used for the computation will be drawn from the latest Fossil-Fueled Steam-Electric Plant Section of the latest Historical Plant Cost and Annual Production and Expenses for Selected Electric Plants publication rather than the Hydro-Electric Plant section. Plant factors of the ten best steam-electric generating plants within the study period will be averaged. This average will be compared to the most recent three-year average plant factor for the subject plant. The subject plant's deviation from the standard plant factor is the amount of indicated obsolescence.

The second indicator which will be used to compute an obsolescence allowance for steam-electric generating plants will be a thermal efficiency factor. The source of information for this computation will also be the latest issue of the United States Department of Energy's publication, Historical Plant Cost and Annual Production Expenses for Selected Electric Plants,

1 Fossil-Fueled Steam Electric Plant Section. Thermal efficiency  
2 for a generating plant is measured by the number of British  
3 thermal units (Btu's) required to produce one kilowatt hour.  
4 This efficiency rating can be obtained by dividing the number of  
5 kilowatt hours produced by a generating plant by the number of  
6 Btu's needed to produce this power. The number of Btu's used  
7 can be obtained by multiplying the units of fuel burned by the  
8 generating plant - tons of coal, gallons of oil, or cubic feet  
9 of gas - by the average Btu content of the fuel unit. The  
10 standard thermal efficiency factor will be computed by averaging  
11 the thermal efficiency factor of the ten most efficient  
12 steam-electric generating plants within the study period used to  
13 compute the average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity.  
14 This standard thermal efficiency factor will then be compared to  
15 the thermal efficiency factor of the subject plant. The amount  
16 the subject plant deviates from the standard is the amount of  
17 obsolescence indicated by this factor.

18 The two obsolescence figures for the subject plant as  
19 indicated by both the plant and thermal efficiency factors will  
20 then be averaged. This resulting average is the obsolescence  
21 allowance which will be applied to the cost added to the subject  
22 plant as a result of the average cost per kilowatt of installed  
23 capacity computation. In no instance shall the original cost of  
24 a generating plant be reduced by an allowance for obsolescence  
25 unless its cost is increased through the use of the average cost  
26 per kilowatt of installed capacity computation. For the 1987  
27 and subsequent assessments the additional cost after adjustments  
28 for obsolescence to be added to the cost indicator of value will  
29 be multiplied by 50 percent.

30 The following examples illustrate computation of the  
31 standard thermal efficiency factor; obsolescence indicated by  
32 the application of this factor to the subject plant; average  
33 obsolescence for steam-electric generating plants; and  
34 obsolescence allowance adjustment of the added cost due to the  
35 use of the average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity for  
36 the subject plant.

Steam-Electric Generating Plants			
Plant	Net Generation kwh (Millions)	Btu's Used (Millions)	Btu's per kwh
A	2,000	18,400,000	9,200
B	6,000	53,400,000	8,900
C	8,000	72,000,000	9,000
D	5,000	45,500,000	9,100
E	3,000	26,400,000	8,800
F	1,000	9,000,000	9,000
G	4,000	36,600,000	9,150
H	9,000	80,550,000	8,950
I	7,000	61,950,000	8,850
J	5,000	45,250,000	9,050
			Average 9,000

## XYZ Utility Company

## Steam-Electric Plant #2

Net Generation kwh (Millions)	Btu's Used (Millions)	Btu's per kwh
2,000	21,600,000	10,800

Steam-electric plant #2 thermal efficiency factor (10,800

Btu's per kwh) divided by standard thermal efficiency factor (9,000 Btu's per kwh) equals 120 percent. Therefore, steam-electric plant #2 deviates from the standard by 20 percent or is 20 percent obsolete.

## XYZ Utility Company

## Steam-Electric Plant #2

1. Obsolescence Indicated by Plant Factor	10%
2. Obsolescence Indicated by Thermal Efficiency Factor	20%
3. Obsolescence Allowance (Average of 1 and 2)	15%
4. Additional Cost due to Computation of Average Cost per kw of Installed Capacity	\$2,500,000
5. 15% Obsolescence Allowance	\$ 375,000
6. Additional Cost to be Added after Adjustment for Obsolescence	\$2,125,000
7. Adjustment factor	50%
8. Net additional cost to be added	\$1,062,500

The cost indicator of value computed in accordance with

this subpart will be weighted for each type of utility company as follows: electric companies, 85 percent; gas distribution companies, 75 percent; and pipeline companies, 75 percent.

The following example illustrates how the cost indicator of value would be computed for an electric company:

1. Utility Plant	\$200,000,000
2. Construction Work in Progress	\$ 5,500,000
3. Additional Value from Average Cost Per KW Computation After Factoring	\$ 2,000,000
4. Total Plant	\$207,500,000
5. Nondepreciable Plant (Land, Intangibles, C.W.I.P.)	\$ 17,500,000
6. Depreciable Plant	\$190,000,000
7. Book Depreciation	\$ 40,000,000
8. Maximum Depreciation (20%)	\$ 38,000,000
9. 20% Excess Depreciation Allowance	\$ 400,000
10. Total Allowable Depreciation	\$ 38,400,000



- 1 11. Total Cost Indicator of Value \$169,100,000
- 2 Any company for which a modification is made under this
- 3 subpart due to the average cost per kilowatt adjustment being
- 4 made to original cost of a plant or plants located in Minnesota
- 5 shall have an alternative cost indicator computation made
- 6 without giving effect to the average cost per kilowatt
- 7 adjustment of such plant or plants.
- 8 Subp. 4. to 8. [Unchanged.]