

1 Department of Revenue

2

3 Adopted Rule Relating to Property; Utility Valuation

4

5 Rule as Adopted

6 8100.0300 VALUATION.

7 Subpart 1. General. Because of the unique character of
8 public utility companies, such as being subject to stringent
9 government regulations over operations and earnings, the
10 traditional approaches to valuation estimates of property (cost,
11 capitalized income, and market) must be modified when utility
12 property is valued. Consequently, for the 1985 and subsequent
13 assessment years, until economic and technological factors
14 dictate a change, the value of utility company property will be
15 estimated in the manner provided in this chapter.

16 Subp. 2. [Unchanged.]

17 Subp. 3. Cost approach. The cost factor to be considered
18 in the utility valuation formula is the original cost less
19 depreciation of the system plant, plus improvements to the
20 system plant, plus the original cost of construction work in
21 progress on the assessment date. The original cost of any
22 leased operating property used by the utility must be reported
23 to the commissioner in conjunction with the annual utility
24 report. If the original cost of the leased operating property
25 is not available, the commissioner shall make an estimate of the
26 cost by capitalizing the lease payments. Depreciation will not
27 be allowed on construction work in progress. Depreciation will
28 be allowed as a deduction from cost in the amount allowed on the
29 accounting records of the utility company, as such records are
30 required to be maintained by the appropriate regulatory agency.

31 Depreciation, however, shall not exceed the prescribed
32 percentage of cost: for electric companies, 20 percent; for gas
33 distribution companies, 50 percent; and for pipeline companies,
34 50 percent.

35 A modification to the cost approach to value will be
36 considered by the commissioner when valuing electric utility

1 property. The original cost of an electric utility's major
 2 generating plants will be increased if the cost of the plant
 3 falls below a certain standard. The standard to be used will be
 4 a national average of the cost per kilowatt of installed
 5 capacity. The cost per kilowatt of installed capacity is the
 6 total construction cost of the generating plant divided by the
 7 number of kilowatts the plant is capable of producing. The
 8 national average to be used will be computed by totaling the
 9 construction costs, excluding the cost of land, for major
 10 generating plants within the 48 contiguous United States. The
 11 total cost of the plants will be divided by the total generating
 12 capacity of the same plants to arrive at an average cost per
 13 kilowatt of installed capacity. A separate average will be
 14 computed for each type of plant: gas turbine, hydroelectric,
 15 and steam-electric. The plants used in the calculation will
 16 exclude nuclear electric generating plants.

17 The information used to compute the average will be drawn
 18 from the latest issue of the United States Department of Energy
 19 publication, Historical Plant Cost and Annual Production
 20 Expenses for Selected Electric Plants. All plants included in
 21 this publication will be used in the computation of the national
 22 average by type of plant.

23 An example of this computation of the national average cost
 24 per kilowatt of installed capacity is as follows:

25 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

26	Plant	Plant Cost Excluding Land	Plant Capacity
27	A	\$ 14,000,000	100,000 kw
28	B	13,000,000	90,000 kw
29	C	17,000,000	110,000 kw
30	D	14,500,000	80,000 kw
31	E	18,000,000	120,000 kw
32	F	10,000,000	70,000 kw
33	G	19,000,000	130,000 kw
34	H	9,000,000	60,000 kw
35	I	20,000,000	140,000 kw
36	J	8,000,000	50,000 kw
37		\$142,500,000	950,000 kw
38			
39			

40 Total plant cost (\$142,500,000) divided by total plant
 41 capacity (950,000 kw) equals \$150 average cost per kilowatt of
 42 installed capacity.

43 The national average cost per kilowatt of installed

1 capacity will be compared to the specific cost per kilowatt of
 2 installed capacity for each of the major generating plants owned
 3 by the utility being valued. If the national average cost per
 4 kilowatt is greater than the subject plant cost, the subject
 5 plant will have additional dollars incorporated into its cost in
 6 order to raise its cost per kilowatt to the national average.
 7 If the subject plant's cost per kilowatt equals or exceeds the
 8 national average, no cost will be added.

9 The following example illustrates this procedure:

10 XYZ Utility

11 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

12	1. Plant		#1	#2
13	2. Installed Capacity	100,000 kw		50,000 kw
14	3. Year in Service		1970	1950
15	4. Cost of Plant			
16	(Exclusive of Land)	\$15,200,000		\$5,000,000
17	5. Specific Plant			
18	Cost per kw		\$152	\$100
19	6. National Average			
20	Cost per kw		\$150	\$150
21	7. Deficiency		none	\$ 50
22	8. Additional Cost			
23	(Line 7 x Line 2)		none	\$2,500,000
24				

25 This additional cost to be added to the original cost of
 26 the specific plant will be reduced by an allowance for pollution
 27 control equipment and an allowance for obsolescence.

28 The allowance for pollution control equipment will be
 29 computed annually by totaling the construction costs, exclusive
 30 of land, of all major generating plants within Minnesota by type
 31 of plant. A total will also be made of the cost of the
 32 equipment in these plants which has been approved for tax exempt
 33 status in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 272.02,
 34 subdivision 1, clause (9). This total will also be computed by
 35 type of plant. The total of the approved pollution control
 36 equipment will be divided by the total construction cost,
 37 exclusive of land, of the plants in order to calculate a
 38 percentage. This percentage will be the ratio of dollars spent
 39 for pollution control equipment to total dollars spent to
 40 construct a specific type of power plant. This percentage will
 41 then be used to reduce the gross additional cost to be added to
 42 the cost of the specific generating plant. An example of this

1 process is as follows:

2 Steam-Electric Plants Within Minnesota

3	4	5	6
7	Plant	Plant Cost Excluding Land	Cost of Approved Pollution Control Equipment
8	A	\$15,200,000	\$1,500,000
9	B	10,000,000	1,000,000
10	C	5,000,000	700,000
11	D	20,000,000	2,000,000
12	E	16,500,000	1,470,000
13		\$66,700,000	\$6,670,000

14 Total cost of approved pollution control equipment
15 (\$6,670,000) divided by total plant cost (\$66,700,000) equals
16 ten percent ratio of pollution control equipment expenditures to
17 total expenditures for generating plant construction.

18 XYZ Utility

19 Steam-Electric Plant #2

20	1. Additional Cost Due to Computation of	
21	Average Cost per kw of Installed	
22	Capacity	\$2,500,000
23	2. 10% Allowance for Pollution Control	
24	Equipment	250,000
25	3. Additional Cost to be Added after	
26	Adjustment for Pollution Control	
27	Equipment	2,250,000
28		

29 The allowance for obsolescence which will be applied to the
30 additional plant construction cost will be computed annually for
31 hydroelectric and steam-electric generating plants. The
32 information needed to compute the obsolescence factors will be
33 drawn from the same publication that is used to compute the
34 national average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity
35 figure. Gas turbine plants will not have any obsolescence
36 allowance applied to the additional cost added to the plants.

37 The obsolescence allowance for hydroelectric plants will be
38 calculated through the use of a "plant factor." The plant
39 factor is computed by dividing the number of kilowatt hours a
40 generating plant actually produced in a year by the number of
41 kilowatt hours the plant was capable of producing. The plant
42 factor is normally expressed as a percentage. The mathematical
43 expression of this factor is: net generation (kwh) divided by
44 annual installed capacity (hours in a year X installed capacity
45 (kw)). A standard plant factor will be computed for

1 hydroelectric plants by averaging the plant factors of the ten
 2 plants with the highest plant factors in the average cost per
 3 kilowatt of installed capacity study. This standard will then
 4 be compared to an average of the most recent three years' plant
 5 factor of the subject plant. The amount the subject plant
 6 deviates from the standard is the amount of obsolescence which
 7 will be applied to the added cost.

8 An example of this obsolescence allowance computation is
 9 shown below.

Hydroelectric Plants

Plant	Net Generation kwh (000)	Plant Capability kwh (000)	Plant Factor
A	400,150	755,000	53 %
B	300,040	577,000	52 %
C	250,000	480,000	52 %
D	600,000	1,250,000	48 %
E	896,000	1,600,000	56 %
F	700,000	1,400,000	50 %
G	507,000	975,000	52 %
H	450,000	1,000,000	45 %
I	376,000	800,000	47 %
J	810,000	1,800,000	45 %
			Average 50 %

XYZ Utility

Hydroelectric Plant #4

Year	Net Generation kwh (000)	Plant Capability kwh (000)	Plant Factor
19XX	400,000	1,000,000	40 %
19XX	500,000	1,000,000	50 %
19XX	450,000	1,000,000	45 %
			Average 45 %

35 Hydroelectric plant #4 plant factor (45 percent) divided by
 36 standard plant factor (50 percent) equals 90 percent.
 37 Therefore, hydroelectric plant #4 deviates from the standard by
 38 ten percent, or is ten percent obsolete.

39 The obsolescence allowance for steam-electric generating
 40 plants will be computed annually using two indicators. The
 41 first indicator will be the plant factor. The plant factor for
 42 steam-electric plants will be computed and applied in the same
 43 manner as the computation specified for hydroelectric plants.
 44 The only difference will be that the information used for the
 45 computation will be drawn from the latest Fossil-Fueled
 46 Steam-Electric Plant Section of the latest Historical Plant Cost
 47 and Annual Production and Expenses for Selected Electric Plants

1 publication rather than the Hydro-Electric Plant section. Plant
2 factors of the ten best steam-electric generating plants within
3 the study period will be averaged. This average will be
4 compared to the most recent three-year average plant factor for
5 the subject plant. The subject plant's deviation from the
6 standard plant factor is the amount of indicated obsolescence.

7 The second indicator which will be used to compute an
8 obsolescence allowance for steam-electric generating plants will
9 be a thermal efficiency factor. The source of information for
10 this computation will also be the latest issue of the United
11 States Department of Energy's publication, Historical Plant Cost
12 and Annual Production Expenses for Selected Electric Plants,
13 Fossil-Fueled Steam Electric Plant Section. Thermal efficiency
14 for a generating plant is measured by the number of British
15 thermal units (Btu's) required to produce one kilowatt hour.
16 This efficiency rating can be obtained by dividing the number of
17 kilowatt hours produced by a generating plant by the number of
18 Btu's needed to produce this power. The number of Btu's used
19 can be obtained by multiplying the units of fuel burned by the
20 generating plant - tons of coal, gallons of oil, or cubic feet
21 of gas - by the average Btu content of the fuel unit. The
22 standard thermal efficiency factor will be computed by averaging
23 the thermal efficiency factor of the ten most efficient
24 steam-electric generating plants within the study period used to
25 compute the average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity.
26 This standard thermal efficiency factor will then be compared to
27 the thermal efficiency factor of the subject plant. The amount
28 the subject plant deviates from the standard is the amount of
29 obsolescence indicated by this factor.

30 The two obsolescence figures for the subject plant as
31 indicated by both the plant and thermal efficiency factors will
32 then be averaged. This resulting average is the obsolescence
33 allowance which will be applied to the cost added to the subject
34 plant as a result of the average cost per kilowatt of installed
35 capacity computation. In no instance shall the original cost of
36 a generating plant be reduced by an allowance for obsolescence

1 unless its cost is increased through the use of the average cost
 2 per kilowatt of installed capacity computation.

3 The following examples illustrate computation of the
 4 standard thermal efficiency factor; obsolescence indicated by
 5 the application of this factor to the subject plant; average
 6 obsolescence for steam-electric generating plants; and
 7 obsolescence allowance adjustment of the added cost due to the
 8 use of the average cost per kilowatt of installed capacity for
 9 the subject plant.

10 Steam-Electric Generating Plants

11 Plant	12 Net Generation kwh (Millions)	13 Btu's Used (Millions)	14 Btu's per kwh
15 A	2,000	18,400,000	9,200
16 B	6,000	53,400,000	8,900
17 C	8,000	72,000,000	9,000
18 D	5,000	45,500,000	9,100
19 E	3,000	26,400,000	8,800
20 F	1,000	9,000,000	9,000
21 G	4,000	36,600,000	9,150
22 H	9,000	80,550,000	8,950
23 I	7,000	61,950,000	8,850
24 J	5,000	45,250,000	9,050
			Average 9,000

25 XYZ Utility Company

26 Steam-Electric Plant #2

27 Net Generation kwh (Millions)	28 Btu's Used (Millions)	29 Btu's per kwh
30 2,000	21,600,000	10,800

32 Steam-electric plant #2 thermal efficiency factor (10,800
 33 Btu's per kwh) divided by standard thermal efficiency factor
 34 (9,000 Btu's per kwh) equals 120 percent. Therefore,
 35 steam-electric plant #2 deviates from the standard by 20 percent
 36 or is 20 percent obsolete.

37 XYZ Utility Company

38 Steam-Electric Plant #2

39 1. Obsolescence Indicated by Plant Factor	10%
40 2. Obsolescence Indicated by Thermal Efficiency 41 Factor	20%
42 3. Obsolescence Allowance (Average of 1 and 2)	15%
43 4. Additional Cost due to Computation of 44 Average Cost per kw of Installed Capacity	\$2,500,000
45 5. 15% Obsolescence Allowance	375,000
46 6. Additional Cost to be Added after 47 Adjustment for Obsolescence	2,125,000

49 The cost indicator of value computed in accordance with
 50 this subpart will be weighted for each type of utility company

1 as follows: electric companies, 85 percent; gas distribution
2 companies, 75 percent; and pipeline companies, 75 percent.

3 The following example illustrates how the cost indicator of
4 value would be computed for an electric company:

5	1. Utility Plant (Cost)		\$200,000,000
6	2. Construction in Progress		5,500,000
7	3. Additional Value From Average Cost		
8	per kw Computation		2,000,000
9	4. Total Plant		207,500,000
10	5. Nondepreciable Plant (Land,		
11	Intangibles, C.W.I.P.)	17,500,000	
12	6. Depreciable Plant	190,000,000	
13	7. Book Depreciation or Maximum 20%		36,100,000
14	8. Total Cost Indicator of Value		171,400,000
15			

16 Any company for which a modification is made under this
17 subpart due to the average cost per kilowatt adjustment being
18 made to original cost of a plant or plants located in Minnesota
19 shall have an alternative cost indicator computation made
20 without giving effect to the average cost per kilowatt
21 adjustment of such plant or plants.

22 Subp. 4. Income approach to valuation. The income
23 indicator of value will be estimated by weighting the net
24 operating earnings of the utility company for the most recent
25 three years as follows: most recent year, 40 percent; previous
26 year, 35 percent; and final year, 25 percent. After
27 considering, as far as possible, all conditions that may exist
28 in the future that may affect the present annual return,
29 including risk, life expectancy of the property, and cost of
30 money, the capitalization rates used to compute value for the
31 assessment will be: electric companies, 11.25 percent; gas
32 distribution companies, 11.50 percent; and pipeline companies,
33 11.75 percent. The income indicator of value computed in
34 accordance with this subpart will be weighted for each class of
35 utility company as follows: electric companies, 15 percent; gas
36 distribution companies, 25 percent; and pipeline companies, 25
37 percent.

38 The following example illustrates how the income indicator
39 of value would be computed for a gas distribution company:

40		1982	1983	1984
41				
42	1. Net Operating Income \$	596,160	\$ 488,911	\$ 579,600
43	2. Capitalized Income			

1	@ 11.5%	5,184,000	4,251,400	5,040,000
2				
3	3. Weighting Factor	25 percent	35 percent	40 percent
4	4. Weighted Capitalized	1,296,000	1,488,000	2,016,000
5	Income			
6	5. Total Income			
7	Indicator of Value			4,800,000
8				

9 Subp. 5. and 6. [Unchanged.]

10 Subp. 7. Obsolescence allowances. The commissioner shall
 11 adjust the value calculated under this part through the use of
 12 an obsolescence allowance. This allowance is intended to be
 13 used in order to recognize the effect the curtailment or
 14 termination of a pipeline's source of supply may have on its
 15 value. This allowance must be applied for each year at the time
 16 the utility files its Minnesota Department of Revenue Annual
 17 Utility Report. The utility's eligibility for this allowance
 18 will be based on the relevant facts for the specific valuation
 19 year. The application of an obsolescence allowance in any
 20 previous year shall have no bearing on the use of the allowance
 21 for a subsequent year. In order for a pipeline or a gas
 22 distribution company to be eligible for this allowance it must
 23 meet certain criteria or standards listed below. It is
 24 mandatory that standards in items A, B, and C be met by the
 25 utility. It is highly desirable that standards in items D and E
 26 also be met.

27 A. The utility shall demonstrate that its source of
 28 supply for gas or oil will be terminated within the next ten
 29 years.

30 B. The utility shall be at, or above, the maximum
 31 depreciation allowance specified by subpart 3.

32 C. The utility shall have made application to the
 33 appropriate regulatory agency for increased depreciation
 34 allowances, and the application shall not have been denied or
 35 rejected.

36 D. The utility must not have made any major capital
 37 expenditures within the last three years.

38 E. The utility must not have sold any long-term bonds
 39 or signed any long-term notes within the last three years.

40 If the utility has made major capital expenditures or

1 entered into long-term debt obligations within the last three
 2 years, a satisfactory explanation of the rationale for these
 3 actions shall be made to the commissioner before an allowance
 4 for obsolescence will be granted.

5 The obsolescence allowances which may be applied to the
 6 utility's value will be calculated in the following manner:

7 (1) Method 1. A five-year average of the
 8 utility's annual throughput will be calculated. The throughput
 9 for the assessment year will be compared to this average and a
 10 percentage calculated. This percentage will be applied to the
 11 cost indicator of value calculated under subpart 3 in order to
 12 adjust the indicator for obsolescence. The adjusted cost
 13 indicator of value will be used in the calculation of the unit
 14 value under subpart 5. The following is an example of this
 15 procedure:

16		Throughput	
17	Year	in Barrels	
18			
19	1979	1,200,000	
20	1980	1,300,000	
21	1981	1,150,000	
22	1982	1,100,000	
23	1983	1,050,000	
24		5,800,000	Total
25		1,160,000	Average Throughput
26	1. 1984 Throughput		1,000,000 Barrels
27	2. Percent of 1984 Throughput to		
28	Five-Year Average Throughput		86%
29	3. Cost Indicator of Value		\$6,300,000
30	4. Cost Indicator Adjusted		
31	for Obsolescence		5,418,000
32			

33 (2) Method 2. The book depreciation shown on the
 34 books and accounts of the utility will be compared to the
 35 depreciation allowed by subpart 3. If the book depreciation
 36 exceeds the maximum depreciation allowance, 50 percent of the
 37 excess depreciation will be used in the calculation of the cost
 38 indicator of value. An example of this calculation is as
 39 follows:

40	1. Book Depreciation	\$ 6,000,000
41	2. Maximum Allowable Depreciation	5,000,000
42	3. Excess Depreciation	1,000,000
43	4. 50% of Excess Depreciation	500,000
44	5. Utility Plant	11,000,000
45	6. Construction Work in Progress	50,000
46	7. Total Plant	11,050,000
47	8. Nondepreciable Plant (Land, CWIP)	1,050,000
48	9. Depreciable Plant	10,000,000
49	10. Depreciation (Maximum 50%)	5,000,000

1 11. Obsolescence Allowance 500,000
 2 12. Cost Indicator of Value 5,550,000
 3

4 (3) Method 3. The income indicator of value
 5 computed in accordance with subpart 4 will be calculated by
 6 capitalizing the utility's three-year weighted net operating
 7 earnings for a specific term of years rather than into
 8 perpetuity. The term of years to be used will be the number of
 9 years remaining until the expected expiration of the utility's
 10 source of supply for product (oil, gas), or the number of years
 11 remaining until the utility's major assets (pipeline, pump
 12 stations, storage tanks, and similar assets) are fully
 13 depreciated, whichever is greater. An example of this
 14 capitalization process is as follows:

	1982	1983	1984
15 1. Net Operating Earnings	\$1,320,000	\$1,000,000	\$800,000
16 2. Weighting	25%	35%	40%
17 3. Weighted Net Operating			
18 Earnings	\$330,000	\$350,000	\$320,000
19 4. Total Weighted Net		\$1,000,000	
20 Operating Earnings			
21 5. Terms of years until			
22 major assets are fully			
23 depreciated			8
24 6. Capitalization rate pursuant			
25 to subpart 4			11.75%
26 7. Capitalization rate			
27 converted to term of 8 years			19.9548%
28 8. Capitalized Income/Income			
29 Indicator of Value			\$5,011,325
30			
31			
32			

33 The commissioner shall apply to the valuation process
 34 whichever of the three obsolescence methods is most appropriate
 35 in order to equitably recognize the effect of obsolescence on
 36 the utility's value.

37 Subp. 8. [Unchanged.]