- 1 Department of Commerce
- 2
- 3 Adopted Amendments to Rules Relating to Workers' Compensation
- 4 Competitive Rating

5

- 6 Rules as Adopted
- 7 4 MCAR S 1.9140 Definitions.
- 8 A. Applicability. For the purposes of 4 MCAR SS
- 9 1.9140-1.9147, the terms defined in this rule have the meanings
- 10 given them.
- B. [Unchanged.]
- 12 C. Commissioner. "Commissioner" means the commissioner of
- 13 commerce.
- 14 D.-K. [Unchanged.]
- 15 L. Rating plan. "Rating plan" means the same as it is
- 16 defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 79.52, subdivision 15.
- 17 4 MCAR S 1.9141 Licensing of data service organizations.
- 18 A. Application information. A data service organization
- 19 shall apply to the commissioner for a license. The rating
- 20 association shall submit an application to be licensed as a data
- 21 service organization by July 1, 1983. An application to be a
- 22 data service organization shall include all information required
- 23 by Minnesota Statutes, section 79.62. In addition, the
- 24 application shall include:
- 25 l. [Unchanged.]
- 2. a plan for data collection and analysis, and other
- 27 activities of the data service organization, including:
- 28 a.-d. [Unchanged.]
- e. a plan for the collection of any other data not
- 30 prohibited in d. and a description of these data;
- 31 f.-j. [Unchanged.]
- 32 B. [Unchanged.]
- 33 C. Amendments to application.
- 1. A data service organization which has applied for a
- 35 license must notify the commissioner of every change in the plan

- 1 of operation on which its application was based. Any amendment
- 2 to a document filed under this paragraph is effective 30 days
- 3 after filing unless disapproved by the commissioner.
- 4 2. A data service organization must file with the
- 5 commissioner every proposed change in the uniform classification
- 6 system, the uniform statistical plan, or associated manual
- 7 rules. Any change must be approved by the commissioner who
- 8 shall also establish an effective date for the change. If a
- 9 change is ordered by the commissioner, it must be used by every
- 10 workers' compensation insurer in reporting data to the data
- ll service organization of which it is a member.
- 12 D. Granting of license.
- 13 1.-2. [Unchanged.]
- 14 4 MCAR S 1.9143 Ratemaking report.
- 15 A. [Unchanged.]
- 16 B. Contents of ratemaking report.
- 17 l. [Unchanged.]
- 18 2. The ratemaking report shall be disseminated to all
- 19 members of the data service organization. In addition, the data
- 20 service organization and the commissioner shall each make a copy
- 21 of the ratemaking report available for public inspection during
- 22 normal working hours.
- 23 C. Use of ratemaking report.
- 1. After the ratemaking report has been filed with the
- 25 commissioner, insurers may develop and use rates based upon the
- 26 pure premium base rates contained in the report. Effective
- 27 January 1, 1984, insurers may also develop and use rates based
- 28 upon any reasonable factors which are not inconsistent with
- 29 Minnesota Statutes, sections 79.50 to 79.63.
- 30 2.-5. [Unchanged.]
- 31 D. Review by commissioner.
- 32 l. If the commissioner finds upon review that the
- 33 ratemaking report is not as prescribed, then the commissioner
- 34 shall issue an order specifying in which respects it fails to
- 35 meet the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, section 79.61 and 4
- 36 MCAR S 1.9143, and stating a reasonable period within which the

- 1 defects shall be corrected.
- 2 2. The data service organization shall be given a hearing
- 3 to review the commissioner's order upon a written request made
- 4 within 30 days after the order.
- 5 4 MCAR S 1.9144 Uniform data base.
- 6 A. Uniform classification and statistical plan.
- 7 l. The commissioner shall approve a uniform
- 8 classification system, a uniform statistical plan, and manual
- 9 rules related to the classification system and the statistical
- 10 plan. Every workers' compensation insurer must report its data
- ll in accordance with the approved uniform plans and rules.
- 12 2. No insurer shall agree with any other insurer or with
- 13 any data service organization to adhere to manual rules which
- 14 are not reasonably related to the recording and reporting of
- 15 data pursuant to the uniform classification system or the
- 16 uniform statistical plan.
- B. Amendments to the uniform classification or statistical
- 18 plans. Any data service organization may file with the
- 19 commissioner a petition to change the uniform classification
- 20 system or the uniform statistical plan. Any change must be
- 21 approved by the commissioner who shall also establish an
- 22 effective date for the change. If a change is ordered by the
- 23 commissioner, it must be used by every workers' compensation
- 24 insurer in reporting data to the data service organization of
- 25 which it is a member.
- 26 C. Insurer variations. An insurer may develop variations of
- 27 the uniform classification system upon which a rate may be
- 28 made. A variation must be filed with the commissioner 30 days
- 29 prior to its use. The commissioner shall disapprove variations
- 30 if the insurer fails to demonstrate that the data produced by
- 31 the variation can be reported consistent with the uniform
- 32 statistical plan and classification system.
- 33 4 MCAR S 1.9145 Monitoring competition.
- 34 A. Information and analysis. In determining whether a
- 35 competitive market exists, the commissioner shall monitor the

- 1 degree of competition in this state. In doing so, the
- 2 commissioner shall utilize existing relevant information,
- 3 analytical systems, and other sources, or cause or participate
- 4 in the development of new relevant information and analytical
- 5 systems. The commissioner shall require insurers to provide
- 6 additional data or reports as necessary to develop new
- 7 information systems.
- 8 B. Criteria. In determining whether a reasonable amount of
- 9 competition exists, the commissioner shall consider the criteria
- 10 listed in 1.-6.
- l. Premium and loss experience which includes, but is not
- 12 limited to, consideration of movement in premium and losses over
- 13 time, changes in premium relative to losses, and comparisons
- 14 with other states.
- 2. Ease of entry which includes, but is not limited to,
- 16 consideration of barriers to entry and the number of firms
- 17 entering and exiting from the market.
- 3. Market share which includes, but is not limited to,
- 19 consideration of the number, size, and dispersion of firms
- 20 writing workers' compensation insurance.
- 21 4. Class rates which include, but are not limited to,
- 22 consideration of comparison of changes in rates with changes in
- 23 costs, variation in rates, and frequency of rate changes.
- 5. Residual market which includes, but is not limited to,
- 25 change in size, percent of total market, and composition of the
- 26 residual market.
- 6. Any other reasonable criteria if they are enumerated
- 28 in the commissioner's eventual determination.
- 29 4 MCAR S 1.9146 Commissioner review of rate filings.
- 30 A. Rating criteria. In determining whether rates and rating
- 31 plans comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 79.55 and 4 MCAR S
- 32 1.9143 C., the commissioner shall consider the criteria in 1.-3.
- Loss experience and other rate factors. Past and
- 34 prospective loss and expense experience within and outside of
- 35 Minnesota, catastrophe hazards and contingencies, events or
- 36 trends within and outside of the state, loadings for leveling

- 1 premium rates over time or for dividends or savings to be
- 2 allowed or returned by insurers to their policyholders, members,
- 3 or subscribers, and any other relevant factors if they are
- 4 enumerated in the commissioner's eventual determination.
- 5 2. Expenses. The expense provisions included in the
- 6 rates to be used by an insurer shall reflect the operating
- 7 methods of the insurer and, so far as it is credible, its own
- 8 actual and anticipated expense experience.
- 9 3. Profits. The rates may contain provision for
- 10 contingencies and an allowance permitting a reasonable profit.
- 11 In determining the reasonableness of profit, consideration shall
- 12 be given to all investment income attributable to premiums and
- 13 the reserves associated with those premiums.
- 14 B. Experience rating plans. An insurer may use the
- 15 experience rating plan developed by the data service
- 16 organization of which it is a member. An insurer may also
- 17 develop and use its own experience rating plan. Any experience
- 18 rating plan is subject to the conditions in 1.-3.
- 19 l. If a claim is settled between a normal valuation date
- 20 and the next rating effective date and if the settlement results
- 21 in an aggravated inequity, then the experience modification
- 22 factor must be revised if requested by either the insurer or the
- 23 insured. An aggravated inequity includes, but is not limited
- 24 to, the following situations:
- 25 a. the expected loss for the insured is less than
- \$50,000\$ and the primary value of the claim has changed by more
- 27 than \$2,500; or
- b. the expected loss for the insured is greater than
- 29 \$50,000 and either the primary value of the loss has changed by
- 30 more than five percent of the expected loss or the total value
- 31 of the claim has changed by more than \$50,000.
- Each insurer or the data service organization to which
- 33 it belongs must annually provide the following loss information
- 34 to each insured eligible for experience rating:
- a. the insured's experience modification factor;
- 36 b. the payrolls and incurred losses used to calculate

- 1 the experience modification factor; and
- 2 c. whom to contact if the insured desires more
- 3 information.
- 4 3. The forms for providing this information may be
- 5 developed by either the insurer or by the data service
- 6 organization to which the insurer belongs. The forms must be
- 7 filed as part of the experience rating plan.
- 8 C. Schedule rating plans. The maximum credit and maximum
- 9 debit which can be developed by schedule rating shall be
- 10 determined by the commissioner and shall be no more than 25
- 11 percent of manual premium, after application of any experience
- 12 modification.
- D. Failure to comply.
- 1. If the commissioner finds upon review of the insurer's
- 15 rate filing that the rates or rating plans do not comply with
- 16 the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, sections 79.55 to 79.61
- 17 and 4 MCAR SS 1.9140-1.9147, or that the filing lacks the
- 18 necessary information to determine whether the rates comply with
- 19 the cited statutes and rules, then the commissioner shall notify
- 20 the insurer in what respects the rates or rating plans fail to
- 21 comply and specify a reasonable period within which the defects
- 22 shall be corrected.
- 23 2. If the insurer fails to correct the specified defects
- 24 within the time period specified, the insurer is in violation of
- 25 Minnesota Statutes, section 79.56 and subject to a fine as
- 26 provided in subdivision 3.
- 27 4 MCAR S 1.9147 Policy forms.
- 28 Workers' compensation insurance must be written using
- 29 policy forms filed by the data service organization of which the
- 30 insurer is a member except that if the insurer files a rating
- 31 plan requiring a policy provision or endorsement for which the
- 32 data service organization has made no usable filing, then the
- 33 insurer may file its own policy forms needed to implement its
- 34 rating plans.