- 1 Department of Commerce
- 2 Insurance Division

3

4 Adopted Rules Governing Workers' Compensation Insurance Rates

5

- 6 Rules as Adopted
- 7 4 MCAR S 1.9140 Definitions.
- 8 A. Applicability. For the purposes of 4 MCAR SS
- 9 1.9140-1.9143, the terms defined in this rule have the meanings
- 10 given them.
- 11 B. Rating Association. "Rating Association" means the
- 12 Workers' Compensation Insurance Insurers Rating Association of
- 13 Minnesota.
- 14 C. Commissioner. "Commissioner" means the commissioner of
- 15 insurance.
- 16 D. Data service organization; organization. "Data service
- 17 organization" or "organization" means the same as it is defined
- 18 in Minnesota Statutes, section 79.52, subdivision 3.
- 19 E. Classification plan; classification. "Classification
- 20 plan" or "classification" means the same as it is defined in
- 21 Minnesota Statutes, section 79.52, subdivision 4.
- 22 F. Rates. "Rates" means the same as it is defined in
- 23 Minnesota Statutes, section 79.52, subdivision 5.
- 24 G. Insurer. "Insurer" means the same as it is defined in
- 25 Minnesota Statutes, section 79.52, subdivision 13.
- 26 H. Pure premium rate. "Pure premium rate" means that
- 27 portion of a rate designated for claim payments.
- 28 I. Pure premium. "Pure premium" means that portion of a
- 29 premium, as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 79.52,
- 30 subdivision 7, designated for claim payments.
- 31 J. Pure premium relativities. "Pure premium relativities"
- 32 means the mathematical relationship of pure premium rates for
- 33 each reporting classification one to another, to a base class or
- 34 classes, or to some common index or indices.
- 35 K. Pure premium base rate schedule. A "pure premium base
- 36 rate schedule" is a set of pure premium rates with no

- l adjustments for trend and with only partial loss development
- 2 reflecting some additional development but not developed to the
- 3 ultimate expected loss level.
- 4 4 MCAR S 1.9141 Licensing of data service organizations.
- 5 A. Application information. A data service organization
- 6 shall apply to the commissioner for a license. The Workers'
- 7 Compensation-Insurance rating association shall submit an
- 8 application to be licensed as a data service organization by
- 9 January July 1, 1983. An application to be a data service
- 10 organization shall include all information required by Minnesota
- 11 Statutes, section 79.62. In addition, the application shall
- 12 include:
- 13 l. the organization's plan of operation including:
- a. the-establishment-of-necessary-facilities a
- 15 description of the applicant's operating premises and computer
- 16 capabilities;
- b. a description of the management and operation of
- 18 the organization, including a description of major staff
- 19 positions and necessary qualifications for the positions;
- 20 c. the-operating-rules-of-the-organization;
- 21 d. a list of members and their responsibilities; and
- d. a procedure by which insureds and any other
- 23 interested party may challenge the action of the data service
- 24 organization; and
- 25 2. a plan for data collection and analysis, and other
- 26 activities of the data service organization, including:
- a. a statistical plan for the collection and reporting
- 28 of exposure base and of loss data from of individual insureds of
- 29 from each member;
- 30 b. a system for the classification of risks to be used
- 31 for reporting by member insurers, in and for calculating pure
- 32 premium relativities, and for all other relevant rate-related or
- 33 data analytic activities it proposes to undertake;
- 34 c. manual rules reasonably related to the recording
- 35 and reporting of data pursuant to the statistical plan and the
- 36 classification system;

- d. data reporting requirements for members and
- 2 monitoring procedures. Expense A data shall-not-be-reported
- 3 service organization may not collect or report expense or profit
- 4 data from its members but may collect loss adjustment costs.
- 5 Premium data may be reported to the extent needed to monitor the
- 6 quality and integrity of the data bases. Following the approval
- 7 of a pure premium base rate schedule, the data service
- 8 organization may require premium data to be reported at that
- 9 level, provided that reporting need not be required of companies
- 10 writing a small volume of Minnesota workers' compensation
- 11 premiums. The criteria for being excused from reporting will be
- 12 determined by the data service organization subject-to-the
- 13 commissioner's-approval;
- 14 d. e. a plan for the collection of any other data not
- 15 prohibited in c. and a description of these data;
- 16 e.  $\underline{f}$ . a plan for and description of the ratemaking
- 17 report required by Minnesota Statutes, section 79.61;
- 18 f. g. plans for disseminating information to members
- 19 of the organization and to the commissioner;
- 20 g. h. plans for audit procedures to ensure that data
- 21 reporting requirements are met by organization members; and
- 22 h. i. a plan for compiling expense data reported to
- 23 the commissioner for development of advisory rates, rating plan
- 24 values, and discount factors; and
- j. a description of any changes from the uniform
- 26 statistical plan, classification system, and related rules which
- 27 are in effect at the time the application is made.
- 28 B. Manuals. There are five manuals currently filed by the
- 29 rating association and in force in Minnesota. The rating
- 30 association must file similar manuals as part of their
- 31 application to become a data service organization. The
- 32 application must include a list of any changes in the current
- 33 manuals.
- 1. The commissioner shall approve a uniform
- 35 classification system and a uniform statistical plan and manual
- 36 rules related to the classification system and the statistical

- l plan.
- 2 2. The commissioner shall disapprove changes in the
- 3 manuals which would substantially lessen competition or which
- 4 would lead to premiums which are unfairly discriminatory.
- 5 3. Every workers' compensation insurer shall report its
- 6 data in accordance with the Classification Code Manual, and the
- 7 Unit Statistical Plan Manual, and the related rules in the Basic
- 8 Manual. In the current Basic Manual these rules consist of the
- 9 following:
- a. in Part One, Rules I, II, III, IV, V, VIII (A.1,
- 11 A.2a, A.2.b.1, A.2.c-e, B.1, B.2, B.6, C.1, C.2, C.4), IX, XI
- 12 (A, B), XII, XIII, XIV (A-D), XV (A and C);
- b. all of Part Two on classifications; and
- c. in part three, pages 9-17 on classifications; pages
- 15 18-19, Special Rules (IV, V, IX); and pages 20-23.
- 16 4. Insurers may use the premium factors, the experience
- 17 rating plan, or the retrospective rating plans developed by the
- 18 rating association. They may also develop and use their own
- 19 factors and plans. In the current Basic Manual, the rules which
- 20 insurers will not be required to use consist of the following:
- 21 a. in Part One, Rules VI, VII, VIII (A.2.b.2-4, B.3-5,
- 22 C.3) X, XI (C-H), XIV (E-G), XV (B); and
- b. in Part Three, pages 1-8; pages 18-19, Special
- 24 Rules VI and VII; and Appendix B.
- 25 C. Granting of license.
- 1. The commissioner shall issue a license if the
- 27 commissioner finds that:
- a. the applicant meets the requirements of Minnesota
- 29 Statutes, section 79.62 and 4 MCAR S 1.9141 A.;
- b. the applicant demonstrates staff competence and
- 31 technical qualifications necessary to provide the services
- 32 proposed;
- c. the applicant's premises and computer capabilities
- 34 are sufficient to provide the services proposed; and
- d. the applicant's plan for data collection and
- 36 analysis will result in a reliable, credible data base.

```
1
          2. The commissioner shall issue a notice of the
   acceptance or rejection of the application for licensure as a
2
3
   data service organization within 90 days of receipt of a
   complete application.
4
       B.--Progress-reports.--Data-service-organizations-shall
5
    submit-semiannual-progress-reports-to-the-commissioner-on-the
6
   implementation-of-their-organizational-plan---The-report-shall
7
8
   include-progress-made-on-satisfying-the-requirements-of
   Minnesota-Statutes,-sections-79.61-and-79.62-and-requirements-of
9
   4-MCAR-SS-1.9140-1.9143.--The-reports-must-be-submitted
10
   semiannually-between-the-time-of-application-and-January-1,-1986.
11
12
   4-MCAR-S-1.9142-Rate-petitions-and-hearings.
       A.--Manual-rates;-creation-and-adjustment.
13
          1.--A-petition-for-modification-of-the-schedule-of-rates
14
    shall-be-filed-by-the-rating-association-by-January-1,-1983,-for
15
    the-purpose-of-producing-a-schedule-of-manual-rates-by
16
17
    classification --- This-petition-shall-be-considered-in-a-hearing
    conducted-pursuant-to-Minnesota-Statutes,-section-79.071.
18
          2.--After-approval-of-a-schedule-of-manual-rates-by-the
19
    commissioner,-insurers-may-modify-the-rates-by-adjustment-of-the
20
   pure-premium-rates-and-expense-allowances-within-a-range
21
    allowing-for-downward-deviations-that-are-unlimited-and-upward
22
    deviations-of-at-most-15-percent-of-the-approved-rate.
23
24
          3.--Insurers-may-adjust-premiums-by-the-application-of
    discount-factors-as-defined-in-Minnesota-Statutes,-section
25
    79.52,-subdivision-8-and-merit-rating-as-defined-in-Minnesota
26
    Statutes,-section-79.52,-subdivision-9.--Insurers-may-use-their
27
   own-plans-or-plans-developed-by-a-data-service-organization-in
28
29
    which-they-maintain-membership.
          4.--All-data-and-calculations-used-to-develop-actual
30
   premiums-from-the-manual-rates-approved-by-the-commissioner
31
    shall-be-clearly-documented.
32
          5.--An-insurer's-rates-and-rating-plans,-along-with
33
    clearly-documented-justification,-shall-be-filed-with-the
34
    commissioner-30-days-prior-to-use.
35
       B.--Pure-premiums; -creation-and-modification.
36
```

```
1
          1.--A-petition-for-modification-of-the-schedule-of-rates
 2
    shall-be-filed-by-the-rating-association-by-January-1,-1984,-for
 3
    the-purpose-of-producing-a-schedule-of-pure-premium-rates.-These
    rates-must-not-contain-trend-adjustments---A-hearing-conducted
 4
   pursuant-to-Minnesota-Statutes,-section-79.071-must-be-held-on
 5
 6
    the-petition.
          2.--The-petition-must-contain-an-analysis-of-trended-data
 7
 8
    as-specified-in-4-MCAR-S-1-9143-B-1-g---The-analysis-shall-be
    disseminated-to-all-members-of-the-rating-association-when-filed.
 9
          3.--Following-approval-of-a-schedule-of-pure-premium
10
    rates,-insurers-may-calculate-final-rates-by:
11
             a.--the-modification-of-pure-premium-rates-allowing-for
12
13
    downward-deviations-that-are-unlimited-and-upward-deviations-of
    at-most-15-percent-from-the-pure-premium-rates-approved-by-the
14
15
    commissioner;
             b.--application-of-trend-factors-based-on-the-analysis
16
    provided-by-the-rating-association-or-on-their-own
17
    interpretations-of-underlying-data;-or
18
             c---adjustments-to-reflect-the-insurer's-expected
19
    expense-requirements .-- Neither-the-rating-association-nor-any
20
21
    data-service-organization-shall-publish-recommended-expected
    expense-allowances.
22
          4.--Insurers-may-adjust-premiums-by-the-application-of
23
    discount-factors-as-defined-in-Minnesota-Statutes,-section
24
    79.52,-subdivision-8-and-merit-rating-as-defined-in-Minnesota
25
    Statutes,-section-79.52,-subdivision-9.--Insurers-may-use-their
26
    own-plans-or-plans-developed-by-a-data-service-organization-in
27
    which-they-maintain-membership.
28
          5.--All-data-and-calculations-used-by-an-insurer-to
29
    develop-actual-premiums-from-the-pure-premium-rates-shall-be
30
    elearly-documented.
31
          6.--An-insurer's-rates-and-rating-plans,-along-with
32
33
    clearly-documented-justification,-shall-be-filed-with-the
34
    commissioner-30-days-prior-to-use.
       E.--Other-rate-petitions,-hearings.--The-rating-association
35
    or-other-data-service-organizations-may-make-other-filings---All
36
```

- 1 petitions-proposing-rates,-pure-premium-rates,-or-pure-premium
- 2 relativities-for-an-effective-period-prior-to-January-1,-1986,
- 3 shall-be-considered-in-hearings-conducted-pursuant-to-Minnesota
- 4 Statutes,-section-79.071.
- 5 4 MCAR S 1.9143 Ratemaking report.
- 6 A. Data service organizations.
- 7 l. Beginning in 1984, licensed data service organizations
- 8 shall make yearly ratemaking reports to the commissioner.
- 9 Insurers may not make reference to a report in their filings
- 10 until it has been filed with the commissioner.
- 11 2. In 1985 1983 licensed data service organizations must
- 12 prepare a their first ratemaking report in-a-form-prescribed-by
- 13 the-commissioner. The outline of the report should be submitted
- 14 to the commissioner for comment by January-1,-1985 September 1,
- 15 1983. A draft of the report should be submitted to the
- 16 commissioner for comment by July-1,-1985 November 1, 1983. The
- 17 final ratemaking report must be submitted no later than January
- 18 1, 1986 1984.
- 3. After ±985 1984, licensed data service organizations
- 20 must submit an outline of their annual ratemaking report to the
- 21 commissioner for comment by July 1 of each year. A draft of the
- 22 report should be submitted to the commissioner for comment by
- 23 October 1. The final ratemaking report must be submitted no
- 24 later than January 1 of the succeeding year. Interim reports on
- 25 the effect of changes in the law on rates may be submitted at
- 26 any time during a year.
- 27 B. Contents of ratemaking report.
- 28 1. A ratemaking report shall meet all requirements of
- 29 Minnesota taw Statutes, section 79.61, subdivision 1, clause (c)
- 30 and, in addition, may contain information useful to data service
- 31 organization members regarding factors pertinent to Minnesota
- 32 workers' compensation business such as legislative concerns,
- 33 Workers' Compensation Reinsurance Association operations, loss
- 34 control programs, and programs developed by insurers that may be
- 35 of interest and applicability to workers' compensation
- 36 insurers. A ratemaking report shall include:

- a. a compilation of financial data collected under
- 2 Minnesota Statutes, section 79.61 without adjustments for either
- 3 premium or loss development or trend. Financial data must be
- 4 reconcilable to that reported by insureds in their annual
- 5 financial statements to the commissioner;
- 6 b. a compilation of reporting classification data
- 7 collected under Minnesota Statutes, section 79.61 without
- 8 adjustments for either premium development or loss development
- 9 or premium trend or loss trend;
- 10 c. a calculation of factors to adjust reported loss
- ll data to a common development level. The development level is
- 12 subject to approval by the commissioner;
- d. a calculation of factors to reflect any benefit
- 14 level changes mandated by statute or by the courts;
- e. the development of a schedule of pure premium base
- 16 rates using the data reported by insurers and the factors
- 17 calculated in c.;
- 18 f. a schedule of pure premium relativities, based on
- 19 the pure premium base rate schedule;
- g. an analysis and calculation of factors to adjust
- 21 reported premium and loss data to an expected ultimate level.
- 22 The analysis shall be in detail so as to permit insurers to
- 23 select and modify the factors based on their own interpretations
- 24 of underlying data;
- h. an analysis and calculation of trended data to
- 26 reflect future conditions through the use of factors or some
- 27 other method. The analysis shall be in detail so as to permit
- 28 insurers to select and modify the factors or utilize other
- 29 trending methods based on their own interpretations of
- 30 underlying data;
- i. a calculation of any other quantitative factor or
- 32 modifications and a description of any subjective considerations
- 33 reflected in the determination of pure premiums in a manner so
- 34 as to permit insurers to evaluate and modify the factors and
- 35 considerations based on their own interpretations of underlying
- 36 data; and

- j. a calculation of any other quantitative factors
- 2 required to maintain advisory discount factors as defined in
- 3 Minnesota Statutes, section 79.52, subdivision 8 and advisory
- 4 merit rating plans as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section
- 5 79.52, subdivision 9.
- 6 2. The ratemaking report shall be disseminated to all
- 7 members of the data service organization.
- 8 C. Use of ratemaking report.
- 9 l. After the ratemaking report has been filed with the
- 10 commissioner, insurers may develop and use rates based upon the
- 11 pure premium base rates contained in the report. Effective
- 12 January 1,  $\pm 986$   $\pm 1984$ , insurers may also develop and use rates
- 13 based upon any reasonable factors.
- 14 2. If an insurer uses the pure premium base rates
- 15 contained in the ratemaking report, then the insurer may
- 16 calculate rates by:
- a. application of trend factors based on the analysis
- 18 provided by the data service organization or on the insurer's
- 19 own interpretations of underlying data;
- 20 b. application of loss development factors reflecting
- 21 expected development beyond that in the pure premium base rate
- 22 schedule to the ultimate loss level;
- c. application of a factor to reflect the insurer's
- 24 expected expense requirements. -- No-data-service-organization
- 25 shall-publish-recommended-expected-expense-allowances;
- 26 d. application of any other factor based on the
- 27 analysis provided by the data service organization or on the
- 28 insurer's own interpretation of underlying data.
- 29 3. Insurers may adjust premiums by application of
- 30 discount factors as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section
- 31 79.52, subdivision 8 and merit rating as defined in Minnesota
- 32 Statutes, section 79.52, subdivision 9. Insurers may use their
- 33 own plans or plans developed by a data service organization in
- 34 which they maintain membership.
- 35 4. An insurer shall not refuse to write insurance for an
- 36 employer solely because:

1	a. the employer was denied coverage by another
2	insurer, whether by cancellation or nonrenewal or refusal to
3	offer coverage; or
4	b. the employer was insured through the Assigned Risk
5	Plan.
6	5. All data and calculations used to calculate
7	rates from the pure premium base rate schedule shall be clearly
8	documented.
9	5Prior-to-January-1,-1986,-an-insurer's-rates-and
10	rating-plans,-along-with-clearly-documented-justification,-must
11	be-filed-with-the-commissioner-30-days-prior-to-use.
12	
13	Repealer:Rule-4-MCAR-S-1:9142-is-repealed-effective-January-1;
14	1986 <del>.</del>