

## CHAPTER 7017

### MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY MONITORING AND TESTING REQUIREMENTS

7017.2025 OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND  
LIMITATIONS

#### 7017.2025 OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS.

*[For text of subpart 1, see MR]*

**Subp 2 Operating conditions for performance testing.** The performance test shall be conducted at worst case conditions for each air pollutant that is required to be tested unless:

A the applicable requirement or compliance document specifies alternative operating conditions for performance testing;

B the worst case condition is not known or calculable. In this case, worst case conditions shall be assumed to be the maximum achievable process or operating rate of the emissions unit, or

C. the owner or operator of the emission facility elects to conduct the performance test at conditions that are not worst case conditions.

**Subp 3. Compliance demonstrated at tested conditions.** Upon the commissioner's written notice that the emission facility has demonstrated compliance under the conditions of the performance test, the owner or operator of the emission facility shall operate the affected emissions unit as specified in item A, B, C, or D, unless another performance test is conducted at alternative conditions and the commissioner gives written notification that the performance test demonstrated compliance at those conditions. This subpart does not apply to performance test runs for pollutants for which the owner or operator maintains a continuous emission monitor or continuous opacity monitor that meets the requirements of part 7017.1002 to 7017.1220.

A If the owner or operator did not conduct the performance test at worst case conditions as required, or elected to conduct the performance test under alternative conditions under subpart 2, item C, the affected emissions unit shall not be operated at a process rate, operating rate, or regulated operating condition that is closer to the worst case conditions than the actual conditions of the performance test. The owner or operator of the emission facility shall maintain at the emission facility adequate records to demonstrate continuous compliance with these operating condition limitations.

B If the owner or operator conducted the performance test under the conditions specified in subpart 2, item A, the owner or operator shall comply with any operational limitations imposed by the applicable requirement or compliance document.

C. If the owner or operator conducted the performance test at the maximum achievable process or operating rate under subpart 2, item B, the emissions unit may not be operated at a higher process or operating rate than was recorded during the performance test.

D. If the owner or operator conducted the performance test at worst case conditions, no new operating limits are imposed by this part but the owner or operator shall comply with any applicable requirement or compliance document. When the worst case testing condition is defined in terms of an operating rate for the affected emissions units, no new operating rate limit may be imposed by this part if the performance test was conducted at 90 percent or greater of the defined worst case condition.

*[For text of subps 3a to 6, see MR]*

**Statutory Authority:** MS s 116.07

**History:** 18 SR 1412, 23 SR 145, 23 SR 1764

NOTE The text of subparts 2 and 3 was incorrectly printed in Minnesota Rules 1999. The correct text is shown above.