SS/SQ

## SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA NINETY-SECOND SESSION

## S.F. No. 2082

| (SENATE AUTH | HORS: CHAI | MPION)  |
|--------------|------------|---|
| DATE         | D-PG       | OFFICIAL STATUS   |
| 03/15/2021   | 911        | Introduction and first reading                          |
|              |            | Referred to Jobs and Economic Growth Finance and Policy |

| 1.1        | A bill for an act   |
|------------|---|
| 1.2<br>1.3 | relating to economic development; requiring a report; appropriating money for a small business support program. |
| 1.4        | BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:   |
| 1.5        | Section 1. SMALL BUSINESS SUPPORT PROGRAM.  |
| 1.6        | Subdivision 1. Analysis of small business programs. \$ in fiscal year 2022 and                                  |
| 1.7        | \$ in fiscal year 2023 are appropriated from the general fund to the commissioner of                            |
| 1.8        | employment and economic development to conduct a study of the effectiveness and impact                          |
| 1.9        | of small business support programs administered or funded by the department. The study                          |
| 1.10       | must assess how the state can most effectively support small business development and                           |
| 1.11       | growth into the future, especially for BIPOC and women entrepreneurs and small business                         |
| 1.12       | owners. The study must examine money spent by the department in fiscal years 2018 to                            |
| 1.13       | 2021, and examine loans, grants, and consulting services provided to support entrepreneurs                      |
| 1.14       | and small businesses with fewer than 300 employees. In addition, the study must include                         |
| 1.15       | an examination of approaches used around the country to identify best practices and                             |
| 1.16       | approaches that should be considered in the state.  |
| 1.17       | Subd. 2. Quantitative aspects. The study must include the following quantitative data:                          |
| 1.18       | (1) the number of businesses that received assistance;  |
| 1.19       | (2) the demographics of business owners receiving assistance;   |
| 1.20       | (3) the size of businesses;   |
| 1.21       | (4) the type and value of assistance, including grants, loans, technical assistance, education                  |
| 1.22       | about starting a business, business planning, insurance, taxes, and local and state regulations;                |

Section 1.

1

|      | 02/22/21   | REVISOR                   | SS/SQ              | 21-03113                   | as introduced      |  |  |  |  |
|------|--|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2.1  | (5) the amount of money spent on administration;   |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2  | (6) for below market rate loans, whether businesses would have qualified for capital on      |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.3  | the private man  | the private market; and   |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.4  | (7) information about the eligibility criteria and application requirements.                 |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5  | Subd. 3. Qualitative aspects. (a) The study must include the following qualitative data:     |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.6  | (1) intervie   | ws, surveys, or fo        | ocus groups with   | business owners who rec    | ceived assistance  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.7  | from business support programs about their experience applying for the assistance and the    |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.8  | 8 impact of the assistance as to what worked well, what was challenging, and what could be   |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.9  | 2.9 <u>improved;</u>   |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.10 | (2) intervie   | ws, surveys, or fo        | ocus groups with   | applicants who did not re  | eceive assistance  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.11 | and entrepreneurs or business owners who did not apply for business support programs         |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.12 | about their experience applying and why they did not apply, respectively; and                |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.13 | (3) interview  | ws, surveys, or foc       | cus groups with p  | ublic and nonprofit progra | um administrators  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.14 | to identify ways in which the programs work well, barriers to accessing the programs, and    |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.15 | what could be  | improved.                 |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.16 | (b) The stu  | dy must oversamp          | ole entrepreneurs  | and small business own     | ers from BIPOC     |  |  |  |  |
| 2.17 | communities a  | nd who are wome           | en and program a   | dministrators who focus    | on serving these   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.18 | communities.   |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.19 | <u>Subd. 4.</u> <b>Re</b>  | port and recomm           | endations. The c   | commissioner of employm    | ent and economic   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.20 | development n  | ust submit a repo         | ort on the study a | nd make recommendatio      | ns for how the     |  |  |  |  |
| 2.21 | state can maximize the effectiveness of investments in small business supports, particularly |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.22 | for BIPOC and women entrepreneurs, by January 15, 2023, to the chairs and ranking minority   |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.23 | members of the   | committees in th          | e senate and hous  | se of representatives with | jurisdiction over  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.24 | jobs and econo   | mic development           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.25 | <u>Subd. 5.</u> Ac   | lvisory group. <u>(</u> a | ) To complete th   | e study and report under   | this section, the  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.26 | commissioner   | of employment an          | d economic deve    | elopment must consult wi   | th a group of four |  |  |  |  |
| 2.27 | public or nonp   | rofit program adn         | ninistrators and a | t least eight small busine | esses owners       |  |  |  |  |
| 2.28 | appointed by N   | Iain Street Allian        | ce on:             |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.29 | (1) the deve   | elopment of the qu        | ualitative data co | llection tools;            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.30 | (2) the methods and sample for qualitative data collection; and                              |                           |                    |                            |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.31 | (3) the reco   | mmendations to t          | the legislature un | der subdivision 3.         |                    |  |  |  |  |

| 02/22/21 | REVISOR | SS/SQ | 21-03113 | as introduced |
|----------|---------|-------|----------|---------------|
|          |         |       |          |               |
|          |         |       |          |               |

- 3.1 (b) Of the business owners advising the department, at least half must identify as BIPOC,
- 3.2 <u>at least half as women, and all owners must own a business in economically disadvantaged</u>
- 3.3 areas of Minneapolis, St. Paul, or outside of the seven-county metropolitan area.