in alternative formats upon request

This Document can be made available

REVISOR

16-5412

State of Minnesota

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2409 H. F. No.

## EIGHTY-NINTH SESSION

03/08/2016 Authored by Hansen, Bly, Fischer and Slocum The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources Policy and Finance

A bill for an act 1.1 relating to waters; requiring reporting of fish kills and development of protocol 1.2 for state response; appropriating money; proposing coding for new law in 1.3 Minnesota Statutes, chapter 103G. 1.4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA: 1.5 Section 1. [103G.216] REPORTING FISH KILLS IN PUBLIC WATERS. 1.6 Subdivision 1. Definition. For the purposes of this section, "fish kill" means an 1.7 incident resulting in the death of 100 or more fish within an area one-half square mile 1.8 or less in public waters. 1.9 Subd. 2. Reporting requirement. A person who observes a fish kill in public 1.10 waters must report the location of the fish kill to the Office of Emergency Response in 1 11 the Department of Public Safety within four hours of first observing the fish kill. The 1.12 Office of Emergency Response must alert the Departments of Natural Resources and 1.13 Health and the Pollution Control Agency of the location of the fish kill within one hour 1.14 of being notified of the fish kill. 1.15 Sec. 2. DEVELOPMENT OF FISH KILL RESPONSE PROTOCOL. 1 16 1.17 Subdivision 1. Development of protocol. The commissioner of health, in consultation with the commissioners of the Pollution Control Agency, natural resources, 1.18 and agriculture and the head of the University of Minnesota's Department of Fisheries, 1.19 Wildlife, and Conservation Biology Department, shall, by October 1, 2016, develop a 1.20 protocol consisting of steps that state agencies responding to notification of a fish kill, 1.21 as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 103G.216, must take to ascertain on the basis 1.22

1

	01/25/16	REVISOR	CKM/JC	16-5412	
2.1	of sound scientific evidence the factors contributing to the fish kill. The protocol must				
2.2	address the following factors:				
2.3	(1) the number and species of fish and other aquatic creatures sampled from the body				
2.4	of water in which the fish kill occurred;				
2.5	(2) the locations from which samples described in clause (1) should be taken;				
2.6	(3) the number and location of water samples taken from the body of water in which				
2.7	the fish kill occurred;				
2.8	(4) the number and location of soil samples taken to ascertain whether contaminants				
2.9	traveled overland to reach the body of water in which the fish kill occurred;				
2.10	(5) sampling other materials located near the area of the fish kill, including, but not				
2.11	limited to, vegetation and manure, that may indicate the presence of contaminants that				
2.12	may have contributed to the fish kill;				
2.13	(6) developing a comprehensive list of contaminants, including degradation				
2.14	products, for which the materials sampled in clauses (3) to (5) should be tested;				
2.15	(7) the appropriate concentration	on limits to be used in	testing samples for the	presence	
2.16	of contaminants, allowing for the possibility that the fish kill may have resulted from				
2.17	the interaction of two or more contained	minants present at co	ncentrations below the	level	
2.18	associated with toxic effects resulting from exposure to each individual chemical;				
2.19	(8) proper handling, storage, an	nd treatment necessar	y to preserve the integr	ity of the	
2.20	samples described in this subdivision	n in order to maximiz	e the information the sa	amples	
2.21	can yield regarding the cause of the	fish kill <u>;</u>			
2.22	(9) the organs and other parts of	of the fish and other a	quatic creatures that sh	ould be	
2.23	analyzed to maximize the information the samples can yield regarding the cause of the				
2.24	fish kill;				
2.25	(10) the need to take the sample	es described in this s	abdivision as soon as p	ossible	
2.26	after the fish kill occurs; and				
2.27	(11) any other factors the parti	es deem relevant in d	etermining the cause of	f the	
2.28	<u>fish kill.</u>				
2.29	Subd. 2. Review of protocol.	(a) The commission	er shall submit the prot	ocol	
2.30	developed under subdivision 1 to an	administrative law j	udge for review upon i	ts	
2.31	completion. The parties that develop	ed the protocol shall a	also separately submit c	omments	
2.32	to the administrative law judge addre	essing the reasonable	ness of the protocol, the	e level	
2.33	and certainty of the scientific suppor	t underlying the elem	ents of the protocol, ar	ıd any	
2.34	portions of the protocol with which t	he parties disagree or	would prefer to see mo	odified.	
2.35	(b) The administrative law jud	ge shall review the pr	otocol and the accompa	anying	
2.36	comments and shall determine wheth	ner the protocol adequ	ately provides a scient	ifically	

2

01/25/16

3.1	sound basis for ascertaining the cause of a fish kill. The administrative law judge shall,
3.2	within 90 days of receiving the protocol for review, issue a report stating findings of
3.3	fact, conclusions, and recommendations. The administrative law judge may reject all or
3.4	portions of the protocol and must submit the reasons for the rejection in writing to the
3.5	parties who developed the protocol. The parties must, within 45 days of receipt of the
3.6	administrative law judge's rejection of all or part of the protocol, submit a revised protocol
3.7	to the administrative law judge for review and approval.
3.8	Subd. 3. Implementation. Once the protocol has been approved by an administrative
3.9	law judge, state agencies must follow the protocol when responding to a fish kill.
3.10	Subd. 4. Updating protocol. The parties named in subdivision 1 shall review
3.11	and update the protocol every five years. The updated protocol must be reviewed and
3.12	approved by an administrative law judge according to the procedures in this section.
3.13	Sec. 3. APPROPRIATION.
3.14	\$ in fiscal year 2017 is appropriated from the clean water fund to the Board of
3.15	Regents of the University of Minnesota for the Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and
3.16	Conservation Biology to develop a protocol for the state response to fish kills according to

3.17 <u>section 2.</u>