Sec. 19. Except as otherwise specifically provided herein, the provisions of this act shall be effective as of the date of enactment of this act.

Sec. 20. Minnesota Statutes 1969, Sections 173.19, 173.31, 173.32, 173.33, 173.34, 173.35, 173.36, 173.37, 173.38, 173.39, 173.40, 173.41, 173.42, 173.43, 173.44, 173.45, 173.46, 173.47, 173.48, 173.49, 173.50, 173.51, 173.52, 173.53 and 173.54 are repealed.

Approved June 7, 1971.

CHAPTER 884—S.F.No.2752

[Coded]

An act relating to pollution control and natural resources; requiring submission by certain persons, corporations and associations of all proposals for the exercise of the power of eminent domain to the commissioner of natural resources for review, comment and recommendations relative to the effect of such proposals on the environment; and denying, under certain circumstances, the exercise of the power of eminent domain by certain persons, corporations and associations.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Minnesota:

Section 1. [117.49] CRUDE OIL PIPELINE COMPANIES; EMINENT DOMAIN; APPROVAL OF PROCEEDINGS BY COM-MISSIONER OF NATURAL RESOURCES. In the event that the right to exercise the power of eminent domain in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 117, is granted by law to any person, corporation or association qualified to do business in the state of Minnesota engaged in or preparing to engage in the business of transporting crude petroleum, oil, their related products and derivatives including liquefied hydrocarbons by pipeline as a common carrier, the right shall not be exercised by such person, corporation, or association until the plans of the project for which the exercise of the power of eminent domain is proposed shall have first been submitted to and approved by the commissioner of natural resources. The plans shall be submitted in sufficient detail so that the commissioner can make a determination as to the impact that the proposed project will have on the environment. The commissioner of natural resources shall make a comprehensive review of such plans and make detailed comments on the effect that such project, if pursued, would

Changes or additions indicated by underline, deletions by strikeout.

have on the environment, including recommendations for changes or alterations, if any, that would be required before such project would be approved by him. Failure of the commissioner to approve or disapprove the plans so submitted within 90 days after submission shall be deemed approval of the plans and the power of eminent domain may thereupon be exercised for such project.

Approved June 7, 1971.

CHAPTER 885—S.F.No.2756

An act relating to the municipal court of the city of Duluth; appointment of court reporters; amending Minnesota Statutes 1969, Section 488A.42, Subdivision 1.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Minnesota:

Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 1969, Section 488A.42, Subdivision 1, is amended to read:

488À.42 DULUTH MUNICIPAL COURT; REPORTERS. Subdivision 1. APPOINTMENT. The judges of said court or a majority of them may employ and appoint-one reporter to make in shorthand writing two competent stenographers as reporters of the court to make a true record or report of the proceedings and evidence taken upon the trials of issues of fact in said court and of all examinations held therein, and, when required by the court or either of the parties to such trial or examination, to transcribe such record and report into longhand. And when, by reason of pressure of business the services of one or more reporters are required in addition to the-reporter reporters regularly appointed as hereinbefore provided, the clerk of said court shall, by direction of the court, procure some competent person or persons to serve as such additional reporter or reporters, and such additional reporter or reporters shall each receive as reasonable compensation therefor the sum of \$20 for each half-day or fraction thereof, or \$30 for a full day, so serving but in no event to exceed that being paid for the court reporters of the district court of St. Louis county.

Approved June 7, 1971.

Changes or additions indicated by underline, deletions by strikeout.