

FORM 117 - PETITION FOR REVIEW OF DECISION OF COURT OF APPEALS

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
IN SUPREME COURT

CASE TITLE:

Petitioner,

PETITION FOR REVIEW OF DECISION  
OF COURT OF APPEALS

vs.

APPELLATE COURT  
CASE NUMBER:

Respondent.

DATE OF FILING OF COURT  
OF APPEALS DECISION:

TO: The Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota:

The petitioner (name) requests Supreme Court review of the above-entitled decision of the Court of Appeals upon the following grounds:

1. Statement of legal issues and their resolution by the Court of Appeals.
2. Statement of the criteria of the rule relied upon to support the petition.
3. Statement of the case (facts and procedural history).

(The statement should be a concise summary because the decisions of the lower courts must be attached.)

4. A brief argument in support of petition.

(The petitioner shall identify and address the critical portion of the Court of Appeals decision and discuss the likelihood of success on the merits.)

For these reasons, the petitioner seeks an order granting review of the decision of the Court of Appeals.

DATED:

NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND ATTORNEY  
REGISTRATION LICENSE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY(S) FOR PETITIONER

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE

Appendix

(The content requirements of the petition are found in RCAP 117. The rule emphasizes that Supreme Court review is discretionary. The decisions of the Court of Appeals and trial court or agency must

# MINNESOTA COURT RULES

## APPELLATE PROCEDURE

2

be attached as an appendix. The petition should not exceed 5 typewritten pages, exclusive of appendix.)

(Amended effective for appeals taken on or after January 1, 1992; amended effective March 1, 2001.)