

**SENATE**  
**STATE OF MINNESOTA**  
**EIGHTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATURE**      **S.F. No. 55**

(SENATE AUTHORS: HANN, Daley, Bonoff, Chamberlain and Olson)

DATE	D-PG	OFFICIAL STATUS
01/18/2011	58	Introduction and first reading Referred to Education
01/27/2011	108 114	Comm report: To pass, Consent Calendar Second reading
02/02/2011	160	Consent Calendar: Third reading Passed
05/03/2011	1612	Returned from House with amendment
	1612	Laid on table
05/19/2011	2925	Taken from table Senate not concur, conference committee of 3 requested
05/20/2011	3030	Senate conferees Hann; Olson; Bonoff
05/21/2011	3041	House conferees Woodard; Kelly; Slocum

A bill for an act

relating to education; modifying charter authorizer approval deadline; amending  
Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 124D.10, subdivision 3.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 124D.10, subdivision 3, is amended to  
read:

Subd. 3. **Authorizer.** (a) For purposes of this section, the terms defined in this  
subdivision have the meanings given them.

"Application" to receive approval as an authorizer means the proposal an eligible  
authorizer submits to the commissioner under paragraph (c) before that authorizer is able  
to submit any affidavit to charter to a school.

"Application" under subdivision 4 means the charter school business plan a  
school developer submits to an authorizer for approval to establish a charter school that  
documents the school developer's mission statement, school purposes, program design,  
financial plan, governance and management structure, and background and experience,  
plus any other information the authorizer requests. The application also shall include a  
"statement of assurances" of legal compliance prescribed by the commissioner.

"Affidavit" means a written statement the authorizer submits to the commissioner  
for approval to establish a charter school under subdivision 4 attesting to its review and  
approval process before chartering a school.

"Affidavit" means the form an authorizer submits to the commissioner that is a  
precondition to a charter school organizing an affiliated nonprofit building corporation  
under subdivision 17a.

(b) The following organizations may authorize one or more charter schools:

**S.F. No. 55, as introduced - 87th Legislative Session (2011-2012) [11-0790]**

2.1 (1) a school board; intermediate school district school board; education district  
2.2 organized under sections 123A.15 to 123A.19;

2.3 (2) a charitable organization under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code  
2.4 of 1986, excluding a nonpublic sectarian or religious institution, any person other than a  
2.5 natural person that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls,  
2.6 is controlled by, or is under common control with the nonpublic sectarian or religious  
2.7 institution, and any other charitable organization under this clause that in the federal IRS  
2.8 Form 1023, Part IV, describes activities indicating a religious purpose, that:

2.9 (i) is a member of the Minnesota Council of Nonprofits or the Minnesota Council on  
2.10 Foundations;

2.11 (ii) is registered with the attorney general's office;

2.12 (iii) reports an end-of-year fund balance of at least \$2,000,000; and

2.13 (iv) is incorporated in the state of Minnesota;

2.14 (3) a Minnesota private college, notwithstanding clause (2), that grants two- or  
2.15 four-year degrees and is registered with the Minnesota Office of Higher Education under  
2.16 chapter 136A; community college, state university, or technical college governed by the  
2.17 Board of Trustees of the Minnesota State Colleges and Universities; or the University of  
2.18 Minnesota; or

2.19 (4) a nonprofit corporation subject to chapter 317A, described in section 317A.905,  
2.20 and exempt from federal income tax under section 501(c)(6) of the Internal Revenue Code  
2.21 of 1986, may authorize one or more charter schools if the charter school has operated  
2.22 for at least three years under a different authorizer and if the nonprofit corporation has  
2.23 existed for at least 25 years.

2.24 (5) no more than three single-purpose authorizers that are charitable, nonsectarian  
2.25 organizations formed under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and  
2.26 incorporated in the state of Minnesota whose sole purpose is to charter schools. Eligible  
2.27 organizations interested in being approved as an authorizer under this paragraph must  
2.28 submit a proposal to the commissioner that includes the provisions of paragraph (c) and  
2.29 a five-year financial plan. Such authorizers shall consider and approve applications  
2.30 using the criteria provided in subdivision 4 and shall not limit the applications it solicits,  
2.31 considers, or approves to any single curriculum, learning program, or method.

2.32 (c) An eligible authorizer under this subdivision must apply to the commissioner for  
2.33 approval as an authorizer before submitting any affidavit to the commissioner to charter  
2.34 a school. The application for approval as a charter school authorizer must demonstrate  
2.35 the applicant's ability to implement the procedures and satisfy the criteria for chartering a  
2.36 school under this section. The commissioner must approve or disapprove an application

**S.F. No. 55, as introduced - 87th Legislative Session (2011-2012) [11-0790]**

3.1 within 60 business days of the application deadline. If the commissioner disapproves  
3.2 the application, the commissioner must notify the applicant of the deficiencies and the  
3.3 applicant then has 20 business days to address the deficiencies to the commissioner's  
3.4 satisfaction. Failing to address the deficiencies to the commissioner's satisfaction makes  
3.5 an applicant ineligible to be an authorizer. The commissioner, in establishing criteria for  
3.6 approval, must consider the applicant's:

- 3.7 (1) capacity and infrastructure;
- 3.8 (2) application criteria and process;
- 3.9 (3) contracting process;
- 3.10 (4) ongoing oversight and evaluation processes; and
- 3.11 (5) renewal criteria and processes.

3.12 (d) The affidavit to be submitted to and evaluated by the commissioner must include  
3.13 at least the following:

3.14 (1) how chartering schools is a way for the organization to carry out its mission;

3.15 (2) a description of the capacity of the organization to serve as an authorizer,  
3.16 including the personnel who will perform the authorizing duties, their qualifications, the  
3.17 amount of time they will be assigned to this responsibility, and the financial resources  
3.18 allocated by the organization to this responsibility;

3.19 (3) a description of the application and review process the authorizer will use to make  
3.20 decisions regarding the granting of charters, which will include at least the following:

3.21 (i) how the statutory purposes defined in subdivision 1 are addressed;

3.22 (ii) the mission, goals, program model, and student performance expectations;

3.23 (iii) an evaluation plan for the school that includes criteria for evaluating educational,  
3.24 organizational, and fiscal plans;

3.25 (iv) the school's governance plan;

3.26 (v) the financial management plan; and

3.27 (vi) the administration and operations plan;

3.28 (4) a description of the type of contract it will arrange with the schools it charters  
3.29 that meets the provisions of subdivision 6 and defines the rights and responsibilities of the  
3.30 charter school for governing its educational program, controlling its funds, and making  
3.31 school management decisions;

3.32 (5) the process to be used for providing ongoing oversight of the school consistent  
3.33 with the contract expectations specified in clause (4) that assures that the schools chartered  
3.34 are complying with both the provisions of applicable law and rules, and with the contract;

3.35 (6) the process for making decisions regarding the renewal or termination of  
3.36 the school's charter based on evidence that demonstrates the academic, organizational,

**S.F. No. 55, as introduced - 87th Legislative Session (2011-2012) [11-0790]**

4.1 and financial competency of the school, including its success in increasing student  
4.2 achievement and meeting the goals of the charter school agreement; and

4.3 (7) an assurance specifying that the organization is committed to serving as an  
4.4 authorizer for the full five-year term.

4.5 A disapproved applicant under this paragraph may resubmit an application during a  
4.6 future application period.

4.7 (e) The authorizer must participate in department-approved training.

4.8 (f) An authorizer that chartered a school before August 1, 2009, must apply by June  
4.9 30, ~~2011~~ 2012, to the commissioner for approval, under paragraph (c), to continue as an  
4.10 authorizer under this section. For purposes of this paragraph, an authorizer that fails to  
4.11 submit a timely application is ineligible to charter a school.

4.12 (g) The commissioner shall review an authorizer's performance every five years in  
4.13 a manner and form determined by the commissioner and may review an authorizer's  
4.14 performance more frequently at the commissioner's own initiative or at the request of a  
4.15 charter school operator, charter school board member, or other interested party. The  
4.16 commissioner, after completing the review, shall transmit a report with findings to the  
4.17 authorizer. If, consistent with this section, the commissioner finds that an authorizer  
4.18 has not fulfilled the requirements of this section, the commissioner may subject the  
4.19 authorizer to corrective action, which may include terminating the contract with the  
4.20 charter school board of directors of a school it chartered. The commissioner must notify  
4.21 the authorizer in writing of any findings that may subject the authorizer to corrective  
4.22 action and the authorizer then has 15 business days to request an informal hearing before  
4.23 the commissioner takes corrective action.

4.24 (h) The commissioner may at any time take corrective action against an authorizer,  
4.25 including terminating an authorizer's ability to charter a school for:

4.26 (1) failing to demonstrate the criteria under paragraph (c) under which the  
4.27 commissioner approved the authorizer;

4.28 (2) violating a term of the chartering contract between the authorizer and the charter  
4.29 school board of directors; or

4.30 (3) unsatisfactory performance as an approved authorizer.

4.31 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment.