KRB/JO

SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA NINETY-THIRD SESSION

S.F. No. 4677

(SENATE AUTHORS: HAWJ, Fateh, McEwen and Pha)							
DATE	D-PG						
03/07/2024	12044	Introduction and first reading					
04/15/2024	13901	Referred to Transportation Author added Pha					

OFFICIAL STATUS

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2 1.3	relating to transportation; requiring alternative design analysis of major highway projects; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 161.
1.4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.5	Section 1. [161.1611] COMMUNITY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS.
1.6	Subdivision 1. Alternative study required. (a) The requirements in this section apply
1.7	to all federally funded transportation projects involving the construction, reconstruction,
1.8	rehabilitation, or resurfacing of a highway. The requirements of this section do not apply
1.9	to a maintenance resurfacing project.
1.10	(b) The commissioner must study, in accordance with National Environmental Policy
1.11	Act requirements for studying design alternatives, an alternative design that has been
1.12	approved by the policy advisory committee as provided in subdivision 2, paragraph (b),
1.13	before developing transportation projects involving the construction, reconstruction,
1.14	rehabilitation, or resurfacing of a highway.
1.15	Subd. 2. Policy advisory committee; members. (a) The commissioner must form a
1.16	policy advisory committee composed of elected and appointed local officials from impacted
1.17	project areas to approve or disapprove alternative designs that involve construction,
1.18	reconstruction, rehabilitation, or resurfacing of a highway. The policy advisory committee
1.19	convened under this subdivision must have an odd number of members. The policy advisory
1.20	committee convened by the commissioner must contain:
1.21	(1) the county commissioner of the county where the impacted project area is located;
1.22	(2) the two members of the legislature representing the impacted project area;

1

	02/28/24	REVISOR	KRB/JO	24-07276	as introduced
2.1	(3) the n	nayor of the city or	town of the impac	ted project area; and	
2.2	<u>(</u> 4) at lea	st three but no mor	e than five member	s of a city council of the	e impacted project
2.3	area.				
2.4	<u>(b)</u> The c	commissioner must	develop, in accord	ance with National Env	ironmental Policy
2.5	Act requiren	nents, design altern	atives for highway	projects and submit the	design alternatives
2.6	for approval	l to the policy advi	sory committee est	ablished in paragraph (a). The policy
2.7	advisory con	mmittee must appro	ove by a two-thirds	vote a preferred alterna	tive design before
2.8	the commiss	sioner:			
2.9	<u>(1) selec</u>	ts a preferred alter	native design;		
2.10	<u>(2) initia</u>	tes the final layout	approval process as	described in sections 16	51.162 to 161.167;
2.11	or				
2.12	<u>(3) begin</u>	ns project construct	tion.		
2.13	EFFEC	TIVE DATE. This	section is effective	e the day following fina	al enactment.