JRM/NB

SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA

NINETY-FIRST SESSION

S.F. No. 3380

(SENATE AUTHORS: JENSEN, Eken, Hayden, Franzen and Cwodzinski)DATED-PGOFFICIAL STATUS02/20/20204841Introduction and first reading
Referred to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2	relating to elections; authorizing jurisdictions to adopt ranked-choice voting;
1.3	establishing procedures for adoption, implementation, and use of ranked-choice
1.4	voting; allowing municipalities to use electronic voting systems with a reallocation
1.5	feature; authorizing rulemaking; amending Minnesota Statutes 2018, sections
1.6	205.13, subdivision 2; 206.58, subdivision 1; 206.83; 206.89, subdivisions 2, 3;
1.7	proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 206; proposing coding
1.8	for new law as Minnesota Statutes, chapter 204E.
1.9	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.10	Section 1. [204E.01] APPLICABILITY.
1.11	This chapter applies to all elections expressly authorized by law to use ranked-choice
1.12	voting. All other provisions of the Minnesota Election Law also apply, to the extent they
1.13	are not inconsistent with this chapter.
1.14	Sec. 2. [204E.02] DEFINITIONS.
1.14	
1.15	Subdivision 1. Scope. The definitions in this section apply to this chapter.
1.16	Subd. 2. Batch elimination. "Batch elimination" means a simultaneous defeat of multiple
1.17	continuing candidates that have no mathematical chance of being elected.
	0
1.18	Subd. 3. Cast vote record. "Cast vote record" means the tabulatable record of all votes
1.19	produced by a single voter in one voting session.
,	
1.20	Subd. 4. Duplicate ranking. "Duplicate ranking" means a voter has ranked the same
1.21	candidate at multiple rankings for the office being counted.
1.21	- montapre runnings for the onlice come counted.
1.22	Subd. 5. Exhausted ballot. "Exhausted ballot" means a ballot that can no longer be

advanced under the procedures in section 204E.06.

	01/2//20	KL VISOK	JKW/IND	20-0370	as introduced
2.1	<u>Subd. 6.</u> Hi	ghest continuin	g ranking. "High	est continuing ranking" n	neans the ranking
2.2	on a voter's bal	lot with the lowe	est numerical valu	e for a continuing candi	late.
2.3	<u>Subd. 7.</u> Lo	ocal election offi	cial. "Local elect	on official" means the pr	incipal officer in
2.4	the jurisdiction	charged with du	ties relating to el	ections.	
2.5	<u>Subd. 8.</u> M	athematically in	npossible to be e	lected. "Mathematically	impossible to be
2.6	elected" means	either:			
2.7	(1) the cand	lidate cannot be	elected because th	ne candidate's current vot	e total plus all
2.8	votes that could	d possibly be trai	nsferred to the car	ndidate in future rounds	from candidates
2.9	with fewer vot	es or an equal nu	mber of votes and	d surplus votes would no	t be enough to
2.10	surpass the can	didate with the r	next higher curren	t vote total; or	
2.11	(2) the cano	lidate has a lowe	r current vote tota	al than a candidate who is	s described by
2.12	clause (1).				
2.13	Subd. 9. Ov	vervote. "Overvo	ote" means a vote	r has ranked more than o	ne candidate at
2.14	the same ranking	ng.			
2.15	<u>Subd. 10.</u> P	artially defectiv	v e ballot. "Partial	ly defective ballot" mean	s a ballot that is
2.16	defective to the	e extent that the el	lection judges are	unable to determine the v	oter's intent with
2.17	respect to the c	office being coun	ted.		
2.18	<u>Subd. 11.</u>	anked-choice v	oting. "Ranked-c	hoice voting" means an e	election method
2.19	in which voters	s rank candidates	for an office in c	order of their preference,	with each vote
2.20	counting for the	e highest-ranked	continuing candio	date on each ballot until t	hat candidate has
2.21	been elected or	defeated by the	method establish	ed in this chapter.	
2.22	Subd. 12. R	Ranked-choice v	oting tabulation	center. "Ranked-choice"	voting tabulation
2.23	center" means	the place selected	d for the automati	c or manual processing a	and tabulation of
2.24	ballots.				
2.25	<u>Subd. 13.</u>	Ranking. "Ranking"	ng" means the nu	nber assigned by a voter	to a candidate to
2.26	express the vot	er's preference fo	r that candidate. R	anking number one is the	highest ranking.
2.27	A ranking of lo	ower numerical v	alue indicates a g	reater preference for a ca	indidate than a
2.28	ranking of high	ner numerical val	ue.		
2.29	<u>Subd. 14.</u>	Round. "Round":	means an instance	e of the sequence of voting	g tabulation steps
2.30	established in s	section 204E.06.			
2.31	<u>Subd. 15.</u> S	kipped ranking	. "Skipped rankin	g" means a voter has left	a ranking blank
2.32	and ranks a car	ndidate at a subse	equent ranking.		

01/27/20

REVISOR

JRM/NB

20-6370

as introduced

	01/27/20	REVISOR	JRM/NB	20-6370	as introduced
3.1	Subd. 16	. Surplus. "Surplu	s" means the total	I number of votes cast for	r an elected
3.2	candidate in	excess of the three	shold.		
3.3	Subd. 17	. Surplus fraction	of a vote. "Surpl	us fraction of a vote" mea	ns the proportion
3.4				insferred. The surplus frac	
3.5	by dividing t	the surplus by the	total votes cast for	r the elected candidate, cardidate, card	alculated to four
3.6	decimal plac	es, ignoring any re	emainder.		
3.7	<u>Subd. 18</u>	. Threshold. "Three	eshold" means the	number of votes sufficie	nt for a candidate
3.8	to be elected	. In any given elec	tion, the threshold	d equals the total votes co	ounted in the first
3.9	round after re	emoving defective	ballots, divided by	y the sum of one plus the	number of offices
3.10	to be filled a	nd adding one to t	he quotient, disreg	garding any fractions.	
3.11	Subd. 19.	<u>Transfer value.</u> "	"Transfer value" m	eans the fraction of a vote	that a transferred
3.12	ballot will co	ontribute to the nex	kt ranked continui	ng candidate on that ball	ot. The transfer
3.13	value of a vo	te cast for an elected	ed candidate is cal	culated by multiplying th	e surplus fraction
3.14	of each vote	by its current value	e, calculated to for	ur decimal places, ignorir	ig any remainder.
3.15	The transfer	value of a vote cas	st for a defeated c	andidate is the same as it	s current value.
3.16	<u>Subd. 20</u>	. Transferable vo	te. "Transferable	vote" means a vote or a fi	raction of a vote
3.17	for a candida	ate who has been e	other elected or de	efeated.	
3.18	Subd. 21	<u>. Totally defective</u>	e ballot. "Totally o	defective ballot" means a	ballot that is
3.19	defective to	the extent that elec	tion judges are un	able to determine the vot	er's intent for any
3.20	office on the	ballot.			
3.21	<u>Subd. 22</u>	. Undervote. "Und	dervote" means a	voter did not rank any ca	ndidates for an
3.22	office.				
3.23	Sec. 3. [20	4E.03] AUTHOR	IZATION TO A	DOPT RANKED-CHO	ICE VOTING;
3.24	IMPLEME	NTATION.			
3.25	<u>(a) The fo</u>	ollowing political s	ubdivisions may a	dopt, in the manner provid	led in this section,
3.26	ranked-choic	e voting as a meth	od of voting for lo	cal offices within the poli	tical subdivision:
3.27	<u>(1) home</u>	rule charter or sta	tutory cities;		
3.28	<u>(2)</u> count	ies;			
3.29	<u>(3) towns</u>	ships; and			
3.30	<u>(4) schoo</u>	ol districts.			

01/27/20	REVISOR	JRM/NB	20-6370	as introduced
----------	---------	--------	---------	---------------

(b) A jurisdiction that adopts ranked-choice voting may do so by adopting an ordinance
or resolution or by a ballot question presented to the voters. The ranked-choice voting
method may be repealed by one of the same methods provided for adoption.
(c) A home rule charter jurisdiction that adopts a ranked-choice voting system in its
charter may adopt this chapter by reference in an ordinance, but is not required to do so.
Nothing in this chapter prevents a home rule charter jurisdiction from adopting another
voting method in its charter.
(d) Ranked-choice voting must only be used to elect local offices at a general or special
election, or at a primary election which serves as a party-nominating election for a partisan
office. A primary election must not be held for any nonpartisan offices that are elected using
ranked-choice voting.
(e) A jurisdiction that adopts the use of ranked-choice voting in local elections must do
so no later than 30 days before the first day for filing affidavits of candidacy for the office
for which ranked-choice voting is to be used as the method of election.
(f) Repeal of ranked-choice voting must be no later than 30 days before the first day for
filing affidavits of candidacy for offices for which ranked-choice voting is used as the
method of election.
(g) The local election official must notify the secretary of state and, if applicable, the
county auditor within 30 days following adoption or repeal of ranked-choice voting.
Sec. 4. [204E.04] BALLOTS.
Subdivision 1. Ballot format. (a) If there are three or more qualified candidates, a ballot
must allow a voter to rank at least three candidates for each office in order of preference
and must also allow the voter to add write-in candidates.
(b) A ballot must:
(1) include instructions to voters that clearly indicate how to mark the ballot;
(2) include instructions to voters that clearly indicate how to rank candidates in order
of the voter's preference; and
(3) indicate the number of seats to be elected for each office.
(c) A jurisdiction may use ballots compatible with alphanumeric character recognition
voting equipment.

5.1	Subd. 2. Mixed-election method ballots. If elections are held in which ranked-choice
5.2	voting is used in addition to other methods of voting, the ranked-choice voting and
5.3	non-ranked-choice voting elections must be on the same ballot card if possible, with
5.4	ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting portions clearly separated on the ballot
5.5	card. A separate ballot card may be used if necessary. A jurisdiction may deviate from the
5.6	standard ballot order of offices to allow separation of ranked-choice voting and
5.7	non-ranked-choice voting elections.
5.8	Subd. 3. Ballot format rules. The local election official must establish administrative
5.9	rules for ballot format after a voting mechanism has been selected, consistent with this
5.10	section.
5.11	Sec. 5. [204E.05] RANKED-CHOICE VOTING TABULATION CENTER.
5.12	Subdivision 1. Tabulation of votes; generally. The local election official must designate
5.13	one location to serve as the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. If the tabulation includes
5.14	a manual count of physical ballots, the center must be accessible to the public for the purpose
5.15	of observing the vote tabulation. Tabulation of votes must be conducted as described in
5.16	section 204E.06.
5.17	Subd. 2. Precinct tabulation. When the hours for voting have ended and all voting has
5.18	concluded, the election judges in each precinct must record and publicly declare the number
5.19	of first choices cast for each candidate in that precinct. The election judges must then securely
5.20	transfer all electronic voting data and ballots from the precinct to the ranked-choice voting
5.21	tabulation center designated under this section. Upon receipt at the ranked-choice voting
5.22	tabulation center, all electronic voting data and ballots must be secured.
5.23	Subd. 3. Notice of recess in count. In the event the tabulation of the ballots is conducted
5.24	manually, at any time following receipt of materials under subdivision 1, the local election
5.25	official may declare a recess. Notice of the recess must include the date, time, and location
5.26	at which the process of recording and tabulating votes will resume and the reason for the
5.27	recess. Notice must be posted on the city's official bulletin board and on the door of the
5.28	ranked-choice voting tabulation center.
5.29	Subd. 4. Recording write-in votes. At a time set by the local election official, the
5.30	election judges shall convene at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center to examine
5.31	ballots on which voters have indicated a write-in choice, and record the names and number
5.32	of votes received by each write-in candidate. In the event that votes cast for the write-in
5.33	category are not eliminated as provided in section 204E.06, the results must be entered into
5.34	the ranked-choice voting tabulation software.

	01/27/20	REVISOR	JRM/NB	20-6370	as introduced
6.1	Subd. 5.	Ranked-choice v	ote tabulation. Af	er all votes have been re	ecorded, and at a
6.2				of tabulating votes cast	
6.3				in. The counting must c	
6.4				ubject to subdivision 3.	
	12		, , ,		
6.5	Sec. 6. [20	4E.06] TABULA	FION OF VOTES	<u>.</u>	
6.6	(a) Tabul	ation of votes mus	st proceed in round	s for each office to be co	ounted. The
6.7	threshold m	ust be calculated a	nd publicly declare	d. Each round must prod	ceed sequentially
6.8	as follows:				
6.9	(1) the n	umber of votes cas	st for each candidat	e for the current round r	nust be counted.
6.10	If the number	er of candidates wh	ose vote totals equ	al or exceed the threshol	d are equal to the
6.11	number of se	eats to be filled, the	ose candidates who	are continuing candidate	es are elected and
6.12	the tabulatio	n is complete. If t	he number of candi	dates whose vote totals	are equal to or
6.13	greater than	the threshold is no	t equal to the numb	er of seats to be filled, a r	new round begins
6.14	and the tabu	lation must contin	ue as provided in th	ne remainder of this para	agraph;
6.15	(2) surpl	us votes for any ca	undidates whose vo	te totals are equal to or g	preater than the
6.16	<u> </u>	ust be calculated;			<u></u>
(17	(2) after				di dataa faarrikaan
6.17	<u> </u>	2		ot yet transferred, all can	
6.18		*		t be defeated by batch el	
6.19				each ballot's next-ranke	
6.20				eginning with clause (2).	
6.21	can be delea		y, the tabulation m	ust continue as described	<u>1 m clause (4);</u>
6.22	(4) the tr	ansfer value of eac	ch vote cast for an	elected candidate must b	e transferred to
6.23	the next con	tinuing candidate	on that ballot. Of th	ne candidates whose vote	e totals reach or
6.24	exceed the t	hreshold, the cand	idate with the large	st surplus is declared ele	ected and that
6.25	candidate's s	surplus is transferre	ed. A tie between t	wo or more candidates n	nust immediately
6.26	and publicly	be resolved by lo	t by the local electi	on official at the tabulat	ion center. The
6.27	surplus of th	e candidate chose	n by lot must be tra	insferred before other tra	ansfers are made.
6.28	The result of	f the tie resolution	must be recorded a	and reused in the event o	of a recount. If no
6.29	candidate ha	as a surplus, the tab	oulation must conti	nue as described in claus	se (5); otherwise,
6.30	the tabulatio	n process must rei	terate beginning w	ith clause (2);	
6.31	(5) if the	re are no transfera	ble surplus votes, t	he candidate with the fe	west votes is
6.32	defeated. Vo	tes for the defeate	d candidate must b	e transferred to each bal	lot's next-ranked
(22	aantinuina	andidate Ties hat	waan aandidataa w	ith the fewest votes mus	the decided by

01/27/20	REVISOR	JRM/NB	20-6370	as introduced
----------	---------	--------	---------	---------------

- 7.1 lot, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must
- 7.2 <u>be recorded and reused in the event of a recount. The tabulation process must reiterate</u>
- 7.3 beginning with clause (2); and
- 7.4 (6) the procedures in clauses (2) to (5) must be repeated until the number of candidates
- 7.5 whose vote totals are equal to or exceed the threshold is equal to the number of seats to be
- 7.6 <u>filled</u>, or until the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of offices yet to
- 7.7 be elected. If the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of offices yet to
- 7.8 be elected, the remaining continuing candidates must be declared elected. In the case of a
- 7.9 <u>tie between two continuing candidates, the tie must be decided by lot as provided in section</u>
- 7.10 204C.34, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution
- 7.11 must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.
- 7.12 (b) When a single skipped ranking is encountered on a ballot, that ballot must count
- 7.13 toward the next nonskipped ranking. If any ballot cannot be advanced because no further
- 7.14 candidates are ranked on that ballot, because a voter has skipped more than one ranking, or
- 7.15 because an undervote, overvote, or duplicate ranking is encountered, the ballot must not
- 7.16 count toward any candidate in that round or in subsequent rounds for the office being7.17 counted.
- 7.18 Sec. 7. [204E.07] REPORTING RESULTS.

7.19 (a) Each precinct must print a precinct summary statement, which must include the

- 7.20 <u>number of first choices cast for each candidate in that precinct.</u>
- 7.21 (b) The local election official must provide a summary statement with the following
- 7.22 information: total votes cast; number of undervotes; number of totally defective and spoiled
- 7.23 ballots; threshold calculation; total first choice rankings for all candidates; round-by-round
- 7.24 tabulation results, including simultaneous batch eliminations, surplus transfers if applicable,
- and defeated candidate transfers; and exhausted ballots at each round.
- 7.26 (c) In jurisdictions where ballots are scanned and recorded electronically, the local
- 7.27 <u>election official must provide an electronically available spreadsheet of the cast vote record.</u>
- 7.28 (d) The election abstract must include the information required in the ranked-choice
- voting tabulation center summary statement, with the addition of the number of registered
- voters by precinct, the number of same-day voter registrations, and the number of absentee
- 7.31 <u>voters.</u>

	01/27/20	REVISOR	JRM/NB	20-6370	as introduced
8.1	Sec. 8. [204	4E.08] RECOUN	<u>TS.</u>		
8.2	(a) A canc	lidate defeated in t	he final round of ta	bulation may request a re-	count as provided
8.3	in section 20	4C.36.			
8.4	<u>(b) A can</u>	didate defeated in	the final round of	tabulation when the vote	e difference is
8.5	greater than t	hat provided in se	ction 204C.36 ma	y request a recount at the	candidate's own
8.6	expense. A ca	andidate defeated	in an earlier round	of tabulation may reques	st a recount at the
8.7	candidate's o	wn expense. The o	candidate is respor	sible for all expenses as	sociated with the
8.8	recount, rega	rdless of the vote	difference between	n the candidates in the ro	ound in which the
8.9	requesting ca	ndidate was defea	ted. The requesting	g candidate shall file with	the filing officer
8.10	a bond, cash,	or surety in an an	nount set by the fil	ing officer for the payme	ent of the recount
8.11	expenses. Ex	penses must be de	etermined as provi	ded in section 204C.36,	subdivision 4.
8.12	(c) Rules	adopted by the se	cretary of state une	der section 204C.36 for 1	recounts apply to
8.13	recounts con	ducted under this	section.		
8.14	Sec. 9. [204	4E.09] RULES.			
8.15	The secre	tary of state may	adopt rules necess	ary to implement the req	uirements and
8.16	procedures e	stablished by this	chapter.		
8.17	Sec. 10. Mi	innesota Statutes 2	2018, section 205.	13, subdivision 2, is ame	nded to read:
8.18	Subd. 2. I	Notice of filing da	ites. At least two v	veeks before the first day	to file affidavits
8.19	of candidacy,	, the municipal cle	erk shall publish a	notice stating the first ar	nd last dates on
8.20	which affidav	vits of candidacy 1	nay be filed in the	clerk's office and the clo	osing time for
8.21	filing on the	last day for filing.	The clerk shall po	st a similar notice at leas	st ten days before
8.22	the first day t	to file affidavits of	Candidacy. <u>The no</u>	otice must indicate the m	ethod of election

8.23 to be used for the offices on the ballot. The notice must separately list any office for which
8.24 affidavits of candidacy may be filed to fill the unexpired portion of a term when a special

8.25 election is being held to fill a vacancy as provided in section 412.02, subdivision 2a.

Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.58, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
Subdivision 1. Municipalities. (a) The governing body of a municipality, at a regular
meeting or at a special meeting called for the purpose, may provide for the use of an
electronic voting system in one or more precincts and at all elections in the precincts, subject
to approval by the county auditor. The governing body shall disseminate information to the
public about the use of a new voting system at least 60 days prior to the election and shall
provide for instruction of voters with a demonstration voting system in a public place for

9.1	the six weeks immediately prior to the first election at which the new voting system will be
9.2	used.
9.3	(b) No system may be adopted or used unless it has been approved by the secretary of
9.4	state pursuant to section 206.57.
9.5	(c) The governing body of a municipality may provide for the use of an electronic voting
9.6	system that has been approved by the secretary of state under section 206.57 but includes
9.7	an automatic tabulating equipment reallocation feature that has not been approved by the
9.8	secretary of state if the municipal clerk certifies to the secretary of state, within 30 days
9.9	from the date of adoption under paragraph (a), that the reallocation feature:
9.10	(1) has been certified as required under section 206.57, subdivision 6; and
9.11	(2) meets the municipality's ordinance requirements for electronic voting systems.
9.12	Sec. 12. [206.802] ELECTRONIC VOTING SYSTEMS; PURCHASING.
9.13	Any new voting system purchased for use in Minnesota for the purpose of replacing a
9.14	voting system must have the ability to:
9.15	(1) capture, store, and publicly report ballot data;
9.16	(2) to the extent practicable, produce a single human-readable file for each contest on
9.17	the ballot containing all cast vote records captured for that contest;
9.18	(3) keep data anonymous;
9.19	(4) accept ranked or cumulative voting data under a variety of tabulation rules;
9.20	(5) be programmable to follow all other specifications of the ranked-choice voting system
9.21	as provided in chapter 204E;
9.22	(6) provide a minimum of three rankings for ranked-choice voting elections;
9.23	(7) to the extent practicable, notify voters of the following errors: overvotes, skipped
9.24	rankings, and duplicate rankings in a ranked-choice voting election; and
9.25	(8) be programmable to print a zero tape indicating all rankings for all candidates in a
9.26	ranked-choice voting election.
9.27	EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective upon certification by the secretary of
9.28	state that equipment meeting the standards required by this section is available for purchase
9.29	and implementation.

JRM/NB

10.1

Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.83, is amended to read:

10.2 **206.83 TESTING OF VOTING SYSTEMS.**

(a) Within 14 days before election day, the official in charge of elections shall have the 10.3 voting system tested to ascertain that the system will correctly mark ballots using all methods 10.4 supported by the system, including ranked-choice voting if applicable, and through assistive 10.5 technology, and count the votes cast for all candidates and on all questions. Public notice 10.6 of the time and place of the test must be given at least two days in advance by publication 10.7 once in official newspapers. The test must be observed by at least two election judges, who 10.8 are not of the same major political party, and must be open to representatives of the political 10.9 parties, candidates, the press, and the public. The test must be conducted by (1) processing 10.10 a preaudited group of ballots punched or marked to record a predetermined number of valid 10.11 votes for each candidate and on each question, and must include for each office one or more 10.12 ballot cards which have votes in excess of the number allowed by law in order to test the 10.13 ability of the voting system tabulator and electronic ballot marker to reject those votes; and 10.14 (2) processing an additional test deck of ballots marked using the electronic ballot marker 10.15 10.16 for the precinct, including ballots marked using the electronic ballot display, audio ballot reader, and any assistive voting technology used with the electronic ballot marker. If an 10.17 10.18 election is to be conducted using ranked-choice voting, the equipment must also be tested to ensure that each ranking for each candidate is recorded properly. 10.19

(b) If any error is detected, the cause must be ascertained and corrected and an errorless
 count must be made before the voting system may be used in the election.

10.22 (c) After the completion of the test, the programs used and ballot cards must be sealed,
 10.23 retained, and disposed of as provided for paper ballots.

10.24 Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.89, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

10.25Subd. 2. Selection for review; notice. At the canvass of the state primary, the county10.26canvassing board in each county must set the date, time, and place for the postelection10.27review of the state general election to be held under this section. In jurisdictions where10.28ranked-choice voting is used, the date, time, and place for postelection review must be set10.29by the local election official at least 30 days before the election. The postelection review10.30must not begin before the 11th day after the state general election and must be complete no10.31later than the 18th day after the state general election.

10.32 At the canvass of the state general election, the county canvassing boards must select10.33 the precincts to be reviewed by lot. The ballots to be reviewed for a precinct include both

20-6370

the ballots counted at the polling place for that precinct and the absentee ballots counted 11.1 centrally by a ballot board for that precinct. The county canvassing board of a county with 11.2 fewer than 50,000 registered voters must conduct a postelection review of a total of at least 11.3 two precincts. The county canvassing board of a county with between 50,000 and 100,000 11.4 registered voters must conduct a review of a total of at least three precincts. The county 11.5 canvassing board of a county with over 100,000 registered voters must conduct a review 11.6 of a total of at least four precincts, or three percent of the total number of precincts in the 11.7 11.8 county, whichever is greater. At least one precinct selected in each county must have had more than 150 votes cast at the general election. 11.9

11.10 The county auditor must notify the secretary of state of the precincts that have been 11.11 chosen for review and the time and place the postelection review for that county will be 11.12 conducted, as soon as the decisions are made. If the selection of precincts has not resulted 11.13 in the selection of at least four precincts in each congressional district, the secretary of state 11.14 may require counties to select by lot additional precincts to meet the congressional district 11.15 requirement. The secretary of state must post this information on the office website.

11.16 Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.89, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. Scope and conduct of review. The county canvassing board shall appoint the 11.17 postelection review official as defined in subdivision 1. The postelection review must be 11.18 11.19 conducted of the votes cast for president or governor; United States senator; and United States representative. In jurisdictions where ranked-choice voting is used, the review must 11.20 also include at least one single-seat ranked-choice voting election and at least one 11.21 multiple-seat ranked-choice voting election, if such an election occurred. A postelection 11.22 review of a ranked-choice voting election must be conducted for elections decided most 11.23 closely in the final round, by percentage. The same requirement applies in jurisdictions 11.24 where ranked-choice voting is used in odd-year elections. The postelection review official 11.25 11.26 may conduct postelection review of the votes cast for additional offices.

The postelection review must be conducted in public at the location where the voted 11.27 11.28 ballots have been securely stored after the state general election or at another location chosen by the county canvassing board. The postelection review official for each precinct selected 11.29 must conduct the postelection review and may be assisted by election judges designated by 11.30 the postelection review official for this purpose. The party balance requirement of section 11.31 204B.19 applies to election judges designated for the review. The postelection review must 11.32 11.33 consist of a manual count of the ballots used in the precincts selected and must be performed in the manner provided by section 204C.21. The postelection review must be conducted in 11.34

01/27/20 RE	EVISOR JRM/NE	3 20-6370	as introduced
-------------	---------------	-----------	---------------

- 12.1 the manner provided for recounts under section 204C.361 to the extent practicable, and
- 12.2 where ranked-choice voting is used, must include testing of the accumulation software using
- 12.3 stored electronic data for those precincts that are not reviewed by manual count. The review
- 12.4 must be completed no later than two days before the meeting of the state canvassing board
- 12.5 to certify the results of the state general election.