21-03654

## SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA NINETY-SECOND SESSION

## S.F. No. 2200

(SENATE AUTH	HORS: HOW	Е)
DATE	D-PG	OFFICIAL STATUS
03/22/2021	1110	Introduction and first reading
		Referred to Transportation Finance and Policy

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2 1.3	relating to transportation; establishing a work zone safety pilot program; requiring reporting; appropriating money.
1.4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.5	Section 1. WORK ZONE SAFETY PILOT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT.
1.6	Subdivision 1. Definitions. (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms have
1.7	the meanings given.
1.8	(b) "Automated enforcement system" means an electronic system of cameras or other
1.9	sensors that is designed to automatically produce recorded images of a motor vehicle operated
1.10	in violation of traffic regulations under Minnesota Statutes, chapter 169.
1.11	(c) "Commissioner" means the commissioner of transportation.
1.12	(d) "Commissioners" means the commissioner of transportation as the lead in coordination
1.13	with the commissioner of public safety.
1.14	(e) "Work zone" has the meaning given in Minnesota Statutes, section 169.011,
1.15	subdivision 95.
1.16	Subd. 2. Pilot program development and schedule. (a) The commissioner of
1.17	transportation, in coordination with the commissioner of public safety, must commence
1.18	development of a pilot program to enforce speeding violations through the use of automated
1.19	enforcement systems in work zones and in locations where work is performed within the
1.20	highway right-of-way.

1

(b) To the extent that existing legal authority in conjunction with any law enacted in the
2022 legislative session enables the implementation plan under subdivision 5, or a comparable
plan, the commissioners must:
(1) in the 2022 construction season, commence field operations to use automated
enforcement systems;
(2) annually through at least 2024, undertake field operations; and
(3) no later than in 2026, conclude field operations.
Subd. 3. Advisory group. (a) The commissioners must establish and collaborate with
an advisory group to perform the work zone speed management assessment under subdivision
4 and develop the implementation plan under subdivision 5.
(b) The advisory group must include representatives from appropriate labor organizations,
contractors who operate in highway rights-of-way, law enforcement agencies, traffic safety
organizations, traffic safety research entities, the League of Minnesota Cities, and the
Association of Minnesota Counties.
Subd. 4. Work zone speed management assessment. (a) The commissioners must
perform a work zone speed management assessment.
(b) At a minimum, the assessment must:
(1) evaluate existing legal authority for strategies, practices, and methods to reduce
vehicle speeds and enhance worker safety in work zones, which may include but is not
limited to use of traffic control devices, use of barriers, traffic control design modifications,
and speed enforcement actions;
(2) propose a process for contractors operating in a work zone that:
(i) allows contractors to request modifications to a project's traffic control plan, in order
to reduce vehicle speeds or improve worker safety in a work zone; and
(ii) establishes standards for appeals; and
(3) make recommendations for immediate changes in work zone safety, to be implemented
starting with the remainder of the 2021 construction season.
(c) By July 1, 2021, the commissioners must complete the assessment and submit it to
the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction
over transportation policy and finance.

	03/10/21	REVISOR	KRB/KR	21-03654	as introduced		
3.1	<u>Subd. 5.</u> In	plementation p	lan. (a) The com	nissioners must develop ar	n implementation		
3.2	plan for the pilot program.						
3.3	<u>(b)</u> At a mi	(b) At a minimum, the implementation plan must:					
3.4	<u>(1) set prog</u>	(1) set program design, requirements, and procedures;					
3.5	(2) determine any legal barriers and other considerations to address prior to						
3.6	implementation	implementation and propose law changes and actions to address identified issues;					
3.7	(3) identify	resources that a	re needed and ava	ailable to implement the p	ilot program and		
3.8	propose metho	ods to address any	y resource gaps;				
3.9	(4) determine how automated enforcement will augment ongoing traffic and speed						
3.10	compliance eff	forts;					
3.11	(5) identify	and assess locat	tions for automate	ed enforcement, which mu	ust include work		
3.12	zones and othe	r locations wher	e work is schedu	led to be performed within	n the highway		
3.13	right-of-way;						
3.14	(6) specify	how the general	public will be no	tified about automated sp	eed enforcement		
3.15	activities prior	to and during us	se of automated e	nforcement equipment;			
3.16	(7) propose	a fine or assessr	nent and the disp	osition of revenue, for vio	lations identified		
3.17	by automated e	enforcement syst	tems; and				
3.18	(8) analyze	performance me	easures for evaluation	tion of traffic safety impac	ts under the pilot		
3.19	program.						
3.20	<u>(c) By Nov</u>	ember 1, 2021, t	he commissioner	s must complete the imple	ementation plan		
3.21				nembers of the legislative	committees with		
3.22	jurisdiction ov	er transportation	policy and finan	ce.			
3.23	<u>Subd. 6.</u> Ev	v <b>aluation.</b> (a) Th	ne commissioner i	must arrange for independ	ent evaluation of		
3.24	pilot program	operations and ir	npacts. The evalu	ation must be performed	by a nonprofit		
3.25	transportation	research entity fi	rom outside the E	Departments of Transporta	tion and Public		
3.26	Safety.						
3.27	<u>(b) At a mi</u>	nimum, the eval	uation must:				
3.28	(1) analyze	the effectiveness	of automated enfo	orcement systems in lower	ing travel speeds,		
3.29	reducing speed	differentials, and	l meeting any othe	er safety or performance mo	easures identified		
3.30	in the impleme	entation plan;					

	03/10/21	REVISOR	KRB/KR	21-03654	as introduced
			0.07		
4.1	(2) perform	statistical analy	sis of traffic speed	ls, intrusions, crashes, nea	r miss incidents,
4.2	injuries, and fa	talities;			
4.3	(3) identify	any changes in	traffic congestion	attributable to automated	enforcement
4.4	systems; and				
4.5	(4) analyze	financial impac	ts of (i) the pilot p	program, and (ii) potential	ongoing
4.6	implementation	n of automated e	enforcement system	ms.	
4.7	(c) Prelimin	ary evaluations	must be complete	d by November 1 annuall	y in each year of
4.8	pilot program f	ield operations.	The final evaluation	ion must be completed by	November 1 in
4.9	the concluding	year of pilot pro	gram field operati	ons. Upon completion of e	each preliminary
4.10	evaluation and	the final evaluation	tion, the commiss	ioner must submit a copy	to the chairs and
4.11	ranking minorit	y members of th	e legislative comm	nittees with jurisdiction ov	er transportation
4.12	policy and fina	nce.			
4.13	<u>Subd. 7.</u> Ex	piration. The p	ilot program unde	er this section expires on I	December 31,
4.14	<u>2026.</u>				
4.15	EFFECTIV	<b>VE DATE.</b> <u>This</u>	section is effective	ve the day following final	enactment.
4.16	Sec. 2. <u>WOR</u>	K ZONE SAF	ETY PILOT PRO	OGRAM; APPROPRIA	<u>FION.</u>
4.17	\$20,000 in 1	fiscal year 2021	is appropriated fr	om the trunk highway fur	nd to the
4.18	commissioner of	of transportation	for the work zone	safety pilot program unde	er section 1. This
4.19	is a onetime ap	propriation and	is available until	June 30, 2022.	

4.20 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment.