SF813 REVISOR SGS S0813-1 1st Engrossment

SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA EIGHTY-NINTH SESSION

A bill for an act

relating to health; preparing for a Minnesota innovation waiver under section 1332 of the Affordable Care Act; developing a health care system that best serves

S.F. No. 813

(SENATE AUTHORS: MARTY, Hoffman, Scalze, Hayden and Carlson)

1.11.2

1.3

DATE	D-PG	OFFICIAL STATUS
02/12/2015	278	Introduction and first reading
		Referred to Health, Human Services and Housing
03/16/2015	879a	Comm report: To pass as amended and re-refer to Finance
04/14/2016	5816	Author added Carlson

1.4	Minnesotans; requiring a cost analysis; appropriating money.
1.5	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.6	Section 1. SECTION 1332 WAIVER COST AND BENEFIT ANALYSIS.
1.7	Subdivision 1. Contract for analysis of proposals. In preparation for a section
1.8	1332 waiver request, the commissioner of management and budget shall contract with the
1.9	University of Minnesota School of Public Health and the Carlson School of Management,
1.10	to conduct an analysis of the costs and benefits of up to three specific proposals that
1.11	seek to create a better health care system which would increase access, affordability, and
1.12	quality of care in comparison to the current system.
1.13	Subd. 2. Plans. After consulting with interested legislators, the commissioner of
1.14	health shall submit to the University of Minnesota the following proposals:
1.15	(1) a free-market insurance-based competition approach;
1.16	(2) a universal health care plan designed to meet the following principles:
1.17	(i) ensure all Minnesotans receive quality health care;
1.18	(ii) cover all necessary care, including all coverage currently required by law,
1.19	complete mental health services, chemical dependency treatment, prescription drugs,
1.20	medical equipment and supplies, dental care, long-term care, and home care services;
1.21	(iii) allow patients to choose their own providers; and
1.22	(iv) use premiums based on ability to pay; and
1.23	(3) a third alternative may be submitted by the commissioner that offers a different
1.24	approach.

Section 1.

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

2.7

2.8

2.9

2.10

2.11

2.12

2.13

2.14

2.15

2.16

2.17

2.18

2.19

2.20

2.21

2.22

2.23

2.24

2.25

2.26

2.27

2.28

2.29

2.30

2.31

2.32

2.33

2.34

2.35

Subd. 3. Proposal analysis. (a) The analysis of each proposal must measure the
impact on total public and private health care spending in Minnesota that would result
from each proposal. "Total public and private health care spending" means spending on al
medical care, including dental care, prescription drugs, medical equipment and supplies,
complete mental health services, chemical dependency treatment, long-term care, and
home care services as well as all of the costs for administering, delivering, and paying for
the care. The analysis of total health care spending shall include whether there are saving
or additional costs compared to the existing system due to:
(1) increased or reduced insurance, billing, underwriting, marketing, and other
administrative functions;
(2) timely and appropriate use of medical care;
(3) market-driven or negotiated prices on medical services and products, including
pharmaceuticals;
(4) shortages or excess capacity of medical facilities and equipment;
(5) increased or decreased utilization, better health outcomes, increased wellness
due to prevention, early intervention, and health-promoting activities;
(6) payment reforms;
(7) coordination of care; and
(8) non-health care impacts on state and local expenditures such as reduced
out-of-home placement or crime costs due to mental health or chemical dependency
coverage.
(b) The analysis must also estimate for each proposal job losses or gains in health
care and elsewhere in the economy due to implementation of the reforms.
(c) The analysts shall work with the authors of each proposal to gain understanding
or clarification of the specifics of each proposal. The analysis shall assume that the
provisions in each proposal are not preempted by federal law or that the federal
government gives a waiver to the preemption.
(d) The proposals must be submitted to the University of Minnesota analysts
within 30 days after final enactment of this legislation. The analysis shall be completed
by August 1, 2016.
Sec. 2. APPROPRIATION.
\$ is appropriated in fiscal year 2015 from the general fund to the commissioner
of management and budget to contract with the University of Minnesota to conduct
an economic analysis of costs and benefits of section 1332 waiver health care system
proposals specified in section 1.

Sec. 2. 2

SF813 REVISOR SGS S0813-1 1st Engrossment

- 3.1 Sec. 3. **EFFECTIVE DATE.**
- Sections 1 and 2 are effective the day following final enactment.

Sec. 3. 3