This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A bill for an act

relating to transit; requiring a cost-benefit analysis for proposed guideways;

NINETY-SECOND SESSION

H. F. No. 4362

03/17/2022

1.1

1.2

Authored by Petersburg
The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Transportation Finance and Policy

1.3	473.
1.5	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.6	Section 1. [473.4486] GUIDEWAY COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.
1.7	Subdivision 1. Definitions. (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms have
1.8	the meanings given.
1.9	(b) "Commissioner" means the commissioner of transportation.
1.10	(c) "Project options" means the proposed guideway and each alternative identified
1.11	pursuant to subdivision 2, paragraph (b).
1.12	Subd. 2. Analysis required. (a) Prior to requesting state or federal funds for a guideway
1.13	project, the commissioner and the Metropolitan Council must jointly perform a cost-benefit
1.14	analysis as described by this section. The commissioner must post the final analysis on the
1.15	Department of Transportation website. The chair of the Metropolitan Council must post the
1.16	final analysis on the council's website. The commissioner and the chair must jointly submit
1.17	a copy of the final report to the legislative auditor and to the chairs and ranking minority
1.18	members of legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy.
1.19	(b) The commissioner and the Metropolitan Council must determine alternatives that
1.20	would serve substantially the same area as the proposed guideway but would provide service
1.21	in a different manner. At a minimum, the following alternatives must be included: an arterial

Section 1. 1

03/09/22	REVISOR	KRB/KA	22-07086
(12/110/:3:3	067/15/10		777 117/1196
U 1/U 7/ /./.	18 17 8 18 8 18	IN IN IN IN IN IN	/./.=U/U/00

2.1	bus rapid transit line, a regular route bus service line, and a nontransit option that expands
2.2	capacity of the road.
2.3	(c) At a minimum, the analysis must include the following information:
2.4	(1) for guideway and busway project options, the estimated ridership numbers;
2.5	(2) for the capacity expansion option, the number of additional vehicles accommodated
2.6	by the expansion;
2.7	(3) for each project option, an estimate of the increase or decrease of the number of
2.8	vehicles on the road;
2.9	(4) the amount of revenue derived from or attributable to each project option, including
2.10	but not limited to fares, tax on gasoline, and motor vehicle sales tax;
2.11	(5) for each project option, the estimated ongoing maintenance costs, which entity will
2.12	pay for the costs, and the percentage of the costs to be paid by each entity;
2.13	(6) for each project option, the estimated future capital costs, which entity will pay for
2.14	the costs, and the percentage of the costs to be paid by each entity;
2.15	(7) the estimated economic benefit attributable to each project option, including but not
2.16	limited to new or expanded housing units or businesses, increased freight movement, and
2.17	reduction of supply chain issues;
2.18	(8) for each project option, the estimated timeline for construction, road closures, and
2.19	detours and an estimate on how that affects the surrounding areas;
2.20	(9) for each project option, an estimate of whether vehicle collisions will increase or
2.21	decrease due to a change in the projected number of vehicles on the road;
2.22	(10) for each project option, an analysis of whether each project option could be altered
2.23	or stopped once construction is started and the estimated costs related to alteration or
2.24	stopping;
2.25	(11) for each project option, travel time along the route from end to end and for various
2.26	points of interest in between, including time spent waiting for transit, changing modes of
2.27	transportation, and other time spent directly related to travel but not inside of a vehicle;
2.28	(12) for busway and guideway project options, how travel time for vehicles would be
2.29	affected by any estimated reduction in vehicle traffic; and
2.30	(13) for each project option, the estimated increase or decrease in carbon emissions or
2.31	other environmental pollutants.

Section 1. 2

03/09/22	REVISOR	TZDD /TZ A	22-07086
12/110/:1:1	DEVISIO	KRB/KA	777 117/1196

- 3.1 The analysis must also determine how many miles of arterial bus rapid transit, regular route
- 3.2 bus service, or congestion mitigation construction could be funded for the amount proposed
- 3.3 <u>to be spent on the guideway.</u>
- 3.4 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment and
- 3.5 applies to all guideways seeking state or federal funding on or after that date.

Section 1. 3