REVISOR

H2169-3

JC

This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES EIGHTY-SEVENTH SESSION H. F. No. 2169

02/09/2012	Authored by Beard, Nelson, Hoppe, Gunther, Vogel and others
	The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Government Operations and Elections
02/29/2012	Adoption of Report: Pass as Amended and re-referred to State Government Finance
03/01/2012	Adoption of Report: Pass as Amended and re-referred to Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Policy and Finance
	By motion, recalled and re-referred to State Government Finance
03/07/2012	Adoption of Report: Pass as Amended and re-referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2	relating to state government; providing methods for certain review of, and
1.3	reporting on, agency rules; amending Minnesota Statutes 2010, sections
1.4	3.842, subdivision 4a; 14.05, subdivision 1, by adding a subdivision; 14.116;
1.5	14.131; 14.388, subdivision 2; 14.389, subdivision 2; Minnesota Statutes 2011
1.6	Supplement, sections 3D.06; 3D.10; 3D.11.
1.7	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.8	Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 3.842, subdivision 4a, is amended to read:
1.9	Subd. 4a. Objections to rules or proposed rules. (a) For purposes of this

1.10 subdivision, "committee" means the house of representatives policy committee or senate

1.11 policy committee with primary jurisdiction over state governmental operations. The

1.12 commission or a committee may object to a rule <u>or proposed rule</u> as provided in this

1.13 subdivision. If the commission or a committee objects to all or some portion of a rule

1.14 because the commission or committee considers it to be on the grounds that the rule or

1.15 <u>proposed rule: (1) is beyond the procedural or substantive authority delegated to the</u>

agency, including a proposed rule submitted under section 14.15, subdivision 4, or 14.26,

1.17 subdivision 3, paragraph (c); (2) is inconsistent with the enabling statute; (3) is obsolete,

1.18 <u>unnecessary, or duplicative; or (4) fails to meet the requirements of section 14.131. If the</u>

1.19 commission or a committee objects to all or some portion of a rule or proposed rule, the

1.20 commission or committee <u>may shall</u> file that objection in the Office of the Secretary

- 1.21 of State. The filed objection must contain a concise statement of the commission's or
- 1.22 committee's reasons for its action. An objection to a proposed rule submitted by the
- 1.23 commission or a committee under section 14.15, subdivision 4, or 14.26, subdivision
- 1.24 3, paragraph (c), may not be filed before the rule is adopted For a proposed rule, the

HF2169 THIRD ENGROSSMENT

JC

objection must be filed within 30 days of receipt of the notice under section 14.116, 2.1 14.388, or 14.389. 2.2

(b) The secretary of state shall affix to each objection a certification of the date and 2.3 time of its filing and as soon after the objection is filed as practicable shall electronically 2.4 transmit a certified copy of it to the agency issuing the rule in question and to the revisor 2.5 of statutes. The secretary of state shall also maintain a permanent register open to public 2.6 inspection of all objections by the commission or committee. 2.7

(c) The commission or committee shall publish and index an objection filed under 28 this section in the next issue of the State Register. The revisor of statutes shall indicate 2.9 the existence of the objection adjacent to the rule in question when that rule is published 2.10 in Minnesota Rules. 2.11

(d) Within 14 days after the filing of an objection by the commission or committee 2.12 to a rule or proposed rule, the issuing agency shall respond in writing to the objecting 2.13 entity. After receipt of the response, the commission or committee may withdraw or 2.14modify its objection. The commission or committee that files an objection must, as soon 2.15 as practical, provide notice of the objection to the chairs and ranking minority members of 2.16 the legislative policy and budget committees with jurisdiction over the subject matter of 2.17 the rule or proposed rule. 2.18

- (e) After the filing of an objection by the commission or committee that is not 2.19 subsequently withdrawn, the burden is upon the agency in any proceeding for judicial 2.20 review or for enforcement of the rule to establish that the whole or portion of the rule 2.21 objected to is valid. 2.22

(f) The failure of the commission or a committee to object to a rule is not an implied 2.23 legislative authorization of its validity. 2.24

(g) In accordance with sections 14.44 and 14.45, the commission or a committee 2.25 may petition for a declaratory judgment to determine the validity of a rule objected to 2.26 by the commission or committee. The action must be started within two years after an 2.27 objection is filed in the Office of the Secretary of State. 2.28

(h) The commission or a committee may intervene in litigation arising from agency 2.29 action. For purposes of this paragraph, agency action means the whole or part of a rule, or 2.30 the failure to issue a rule. 2.31

Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 3D.06, is amended to read: 2.32

2.33

3D.06 AGENCY REPORT TO COMMISSION.

Before September 1 of the odd-numbered year before the year in which a state agency 2.34 is subject to sunset review, the agency commissioner shall report to the commission: 2.35

3.1	(1) information regarding the application to the agency of the criteria in section
3.2	3D.10;
3.3	(2) a priority-based budget for the agency;
3.4	(3) an inventory of all boards, commissions, committees, and other entities related
3.5	to the agency; and
3.6	(4) a list of all rules promulgated by the state agency, and the following information
3.7	for each rule: (i) the statutory authority; (ii) the statement of need and reasonableness of
3.8	the rule; (iii) an assessment of any differences between the proposed rule and existing
3.9	federal regulations and a specific analysis of the need and reasonableness of each
3.10	difference; (iv) a list and brief rationale for rules that the agency believes should remain in
3.11	effect; and (v) any changes that would improve the agency's ability to meet the regulatory
3.12	objectives prescribed by the legislature, while reducing any unnecessary burdens on
3.13	regulated parties, including any summary of the means to better coordinate rulemaking
3.14	between state agencies and other local, state, and federal agencies; and
3.15	(5) any other information that the agency commissioner considers appropriate or that
3.16	is requested by the commission, including, upon request of the commission, all or portions
3.17	of rulemaking records maintained by the agency under section 14.365.
3.18	The September 1 deadline in this section does not apply in 2011.
3.19	Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 3D.10, is amended to read:
3.19 3.20	Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 3D.10, is amended to read: 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW.
3.20	3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW.
3.20 3.21	3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining
3.203.213.22	3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory
3.203.213.223.23	3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 	3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees:
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 	3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 3.26 	 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee operates;
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 3.26 3.27 	 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee operates; (2) an identification of the mission, goals, and objectives intended for the agency or
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 3.26 3.27 3.28 	 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee operates; (2) an identification of the mission, goals, and objectives intended for the agency or advisory committee
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 3.26 3.27 3.28 3.29 	 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee operates; (2) an identification of the mission, goals, and objectives intended for the agency or advisory committee and of the problem or need that the agency or advisory committee was intended to address and the extent to which the mission, goals, and objectives have
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 3.26 3.27 3.28 3.29 3.30 	 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee operates; (2) an identification of the mission, goals, and objectives intended for the agency or advisory committee and of the problem or need that the agency or advisory committee was intended to address and the extent to which the mission, goals, and objectives have been achieved and the problem or need has been addressed;
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 3.26 3.27 3.28 3.29 3.30 3.31 	 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee operates; (2) an identification of the mission, goals, and objectives intended for the agency or advisory committee was intended to address and the extent to which the mission, goals, and objectives have been achieved and the problem or need has been addressed; (3) an identification of any activities of the agency in addition to those granted by
 3.20 3.21 3.22 3.23 3.24 3.25 3.26 3.27 3.28 3.29 3.30 3.31 3.32 	 3D.10 CRITERIA FOR REVIEW. The commission and its staff shall consider the following criteria in determining whether a public need exists for the continuation of a state agency or its advisory committees or for the performance of the functions of the agency or its advisory committees: (1) the efficiency and effectiveness with which the agency or the advisory committee operates; (2) an identification of the mission, goals, and objectives intended for the agency or advisory committee and of the problem or need that the agency or advisory committee was intended to address and the extent to which the mission, goals, and objectives have been achieved and the problem or need has been addressed; (3) an identification of any activities of the agency in addition to those granted by statute and of the authority for those activities and the extent to which those activities

Sec. 3.

- (5) whether less restrictive or alternative methods of performing any function that 4.1 the agency performs could adequately protect or provide service to the public; 4.2 (6) the extent to which the jurisdiction of the agency and the programs administered 4.3 by the agency overlap or duplicate those of other agencies, the extent to which the agency 4.4 coordinates with those agencies, and the extent to which the programs administered by the 4.5 agency can be consolidated with the programs of other state agencies; 4.6 (7) the promptness and effectiveness with which the agency addresses complaints 4.7 concerning entities or other persons affected by the agency, including an assessment of the 48 agency's administrative hearings process; 4.9 (8) an assessment of the agency's rules and rulemaking process and the extent to 4.10 which the agency has encouraged participation by the public in making its rules and 4.11 decisions and the extent to which the public participation has resulted in rules that benefit 4.12 the public; 4.13 (9) an assessment of whether any of the agency's rules: 4.14 (i) are beyond the procedural or substantive authority delegated to the agency; 4.15 (ii) are inconsistent with the enabling statute; 4.16 (iii) are obsolete, unnecessary, or duplicative; or 4.17 (iv) are not justified given the requirements of section 14.131; 4.18 (9) (10) the extent to which the agency has complied with federal and state laws and 4.19 applicable rules regarding equality of employment opportunity and the rights and privacy 4.20 of individuals, and state law and applicable rules of any state agency regarding purchasing 4.21 guidelines and programs for historically underutilized businesses; 4.22 (10) (11) the extent to which the agency issues and enforces rules relating to 4.23 potential conflicts of interest of its employees; 4.24 (11) (12) the extent to which the agency complies with chapter 13 and follows 4.25 records management practices that enable the agency to respond efficiently to requests for 4.26 public information; and 4.27 (12) (13) the effect of federal intervention or loss of federal funds if the agency is 4.28 abolished. 4.29 Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 3D.11, is amended to read: 4.30 **3D.11 RECOMMENDATIONS.** 4.31 (a) In its report on a state agency, the commission shall: 4.32 (1) make recommendations on the abolition, continuation, or reorganization of each 4.33
 - 4.34 affected state agency and its advisory committees and on the need for the performance of
 4.35 the functions of the agency and its advisory committees;

(2) make recommendations on the consolidation, transfer, or reorganization of
programs within state agencies not under review when the programs duplicate functions
performed in agencies under review; and

- 5.4 (3) make recommendations to improve the operations of the agency, its policy body,
 5.5 and its advisory committees, including management recommendations that do not require
 5.6 a change in the agency's enabling statute-; and
- 5.7 (4) make recommendations for the repeal, consolidation, transfer, or amendment of
 5.8 the rules promulgated by the affected state agency.
- (b) The commission shall include the estimated fiscal impact of its recommendations
 and may recommend appropriation levels for certain programs to improve the operations
 of the state agency.
- (c) The commission shall have drafts of legislation prepared to carry out the
 commission's recommendations under this section, including legislation necessary
 to continue the existence of agencies that would otherwise sunset if the commission
 recommends continuation of an agency.
- (d) After the legislature acts on the report under section 3D.09, the commission shall
 present to the legislative auditor the commission's recommendations that do not require
 a statutory change to be put into effect. Subject to the legislative audit commission's
 approval, the legislative auditor may examine the recommendations and include as part
 of the next audit of the agency a report on whether the agency has implemented the
 recommendations and, if so, in what manner.
- Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 14.05, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
 Subdivision 1. Authority to adopt original rules restricted. (a) Each agency shall
 adopt, amend, suspend, or repeal its rules: (1) in accordance with the procedures specified
 in sections 14.001 to 14.69, and; (2) only pursuant to authority expressly delegated by
 state or federal law; (3) only that are necessary to serve the public interest; and (4) in full
 compliance with its duties and obligations.
- 5.28 (b) If a law authorizing rules is repealed, the rules adopted pursuant to that law are 5.29 automatically repealed on the effective date of the law's repeal unless there is another 5.30 law authorizing the rules.
- 5.31 (c) Except as provided in section 14.06, sections 14.001 to 14.69 shall not be
 5.32 authority for an agency to adopt, amend, suspend, or repeal rules.
- 5.33 Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 14.05, is amended by adding a subdivision to5.34 read:

6.1	Subd. 1a. Limitation regarding certain policies, guidelines, and other
6.2	nonbinding interpretive statements. Except as specifically authorized by law, an agency
6.3	shall not seek to enforce against any person a policy, guideline, or other nonbinding
6.4	interpretive statement that meets the definition of a rule if the policy, guideline, or other
6.5	nonbinding interpretive statement has not been adopted as a rule in accordance with
66	this chapter.

- Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 14.116, is amended to read: 6.7
- **14.116 NOTICE TO LEGISLATURE.** 6.8 (a) By January 15 each year, each agency must submit its rulemaking docket 6.9 maintained under section 14.366, and the official rulemaking record required under section 6.10 14.365 for any rule adopted during the preceding calendar year, to the chairs and ranking 6.11 minority members of the legislative policy and budget committees with jurisdiction over 6.12
- the subject matter of the proposed rule. 6.13
- (b) When an agency mails notice of intent to adopt rules under section 14.14 or 6.14 14.22, the agency must send a copy of the same notice and a copy of the statement of need 6.15 and reasonableness to the chairs and ranking minority party members of the legislative 6.16 policy and budget committees with jurisdiction over the subject matter of the proposed 6.17 rules and to the Legislative Coordinating Commission. 6.18
- (c) In addition, if the mailing of the notice is within two years of the effective date 6.19 of the law granting the agency authority to adopt the proposed rules, the agency shall 6.20 make reasonable efforts to send a copy of the notice and the statement to all sitting 6.21 legislators who were chief house of representatives and senate authors of the bill granting 6.22 the rulemaking authority. If the bill was amended to include this rulemaking authority, 6.23 the agency shall make reasonable efforts to send the notice and the statement to the chief 6.24 house of representatives and senate authors of the amendment granting rulemaking 6.25 authority, rather than to the chief authors of the bill. 6.26
- 6.27

Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 14.131, is amended to read:

6.28

14.131 STATEMENT OF NEED AND REASONABLENESS.

By the date of the section 14.14, subdivision 1a, notice, the agency must 6.29 prepare, review, and make available for public review a statement of the need for and 6.30 reasonableness of the rule. The statement of need and reasonableness must be prepared 6.31 under rules adopted by the chief administrative law judge and must include the following 6.32 to the extent the agency, through reasonable effort, can ascertain this information: 6.33

H2169-3

JC

(1) a description of the classes of persons who probably will be affected by the
proposed rule, including classes that will bear the costs of the proposed rule and classes
that will benefit from the proposed rule;

7.4 (2) the probable costs to the agency and to any other agency of the implementation
7.5 and enforcement of the proposed rule and any anticipated effect on state revenues;

7.6 (3) a determination of whether there are less costly methods or less intrusive
7.7 methods for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule;

(4) a description of any alternative methods for achieving the purpose of the
proposed rule that were seriously considered by the agency and the reasons why they
were rejected in favor of the proposed rule;

(5) the probable costs of complying with the proposed rule, including the portion
of the total costs that will be borne by identifiable categories of affected parties, such as
separate classes of governmental units, businesses, or individuals;

(6) the probable costs or consequences of not adopting the proposed rule, including
those costs or consequences borne by identifiable categories of affected parties, such as
separate classes of government units, businesses, or individuals; and

7.17 (7) an assessment of any differences between the proposed rule and existing federal
7.18 regulations and a specific analysis of the need for and reasonableness of each difference.
7.19 and

7.20 (8) an assessment of the cumulative effect of the rule with other federal and state
 7.21 regulations and local ordinances or regulations related to the specific purpose of the rule.

The statement must describe how the agency, in developing the rules, considered
and implemented the legislative policy supporting performance-based regulatory systems
set forth in section 14.002.

For purposes of clause (8), "cumulative effect" means the impact that results from
incremental impact of the proposed rule in addition to other rules, regardless of what local,
state, or federal agency has adopted the other rules. Cumulative effects can result from
individually minor but collectively significant rules adopted over a period of time.

The statement must also describe the agency's efforts to provide additional
notification under section 14.14, subdivision 1a, to persons or classes of persons who may
be affected by the proposed rule or must explain why these efforts were not made.

The agency must consult with the commissioner of management and budget to
help evaluate the fiscal impact and fiscal benefits of the proposed rule on units of local
government. The agency must send a copy of the statement of need and reasonableness
to the Legislative Reference Library when the notice of hearing is mailed under section
14.14, subdivision 1a.

Sec. 9. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 14.388, subdivision 2, is amended to read: 8.1 Subd. 2. Notice. An agency proposing to adopt, amend, or repeal a rule under this 8.2 section must give notice to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative 8.3 policy and budget committees with jurisdiction over the subject matter of the proposed 8.4 rules and to the Legislative Coordinating Commission, electronic notice of its intent in 8.5 accordance with section 16E.07, subdivision 3, and must give notice by United States 8.6 mail or electronic mail to persons who have registered their names with the agency 8.7 under section 14.14, subdivision 1a. The notice must be given no later than the date the 88 agency submits the proposed rule to the Office of Administrative Hearings for review 8.9 of its legality and must include: 8.10

8.11

(1) the proposed rule, amendment, or repeal;

8.12 (2) an explanation of why the rule meets the requirements of the good cause8.13 exemption under subdivision 1; and

8.14 (3) a statement that interested parties have five business days after the date of the
8.15 notice to submit comments to the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 14.389, subdivision 2, is amended to read: 8.16 Subd. 2. Notice and comment. The agency must publish notice of the proposed 8.17 rule in the State Register and, must mail the notice by United States mail or electronic 8.18 mail to persons who have registered with the agency to receive mailed notices, and must 8.19 give notice to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative policy and 8.20 budget committees with jurisdiction over the subject matter of the proposed rules and to 8.21 the Legislative Coordinating Commission. The mailed notice and the notice to legislators 8.22 must include either a copy of the proposed rule or a description of the nature and effect 8.23 of the proposed rule and a statement that a free copy is available from the agency upon 8.24 8.25 request. The notice in the State Register must include the proposed rule or the amended rule in the form required by the revisor under section 14.07, an easily readable and 8.26 understandable summary of the overall nature and effect of the proposed rule, and a 8.27 citation to the most specific statutory authority for the rule, including authority for the 8.28 rule to be adopted under the process in this section. The agency must allow 30 days after 8.29 publication in the State Register for comment on the rule. 8.30

8.31

Sec. 11. <u>REPORTS.</u>

8.32 By January 15, 2013, the Pollution Control Agency, Department of Natural

- 8.33 <u>Resources, Board of Water and Soil Resources, Environmental Quality Board, and</u>
- 8.34 Department of Agriculture must each report to the governor, the Legislative Coordinating

9.1	Commission, and the policy and funding committees and divisions with jurisdiction over
9.2	the agency. Each report must list the rules promulgated by the agency and provide the
9.3	following information for each rule: (1) the statutory authority; (2) an assessment of any
9.4	differences between the proposed rule and existing federal regulations and a specific
9.5	analysis of the need and reasonableness of each difference; (3) a list and brief rationale for
9.6	rules that the agency believes should remain in effect; (4) any changes that would improve
9.7	the agency's ability to meet the regulatory objectives prescribed by the legislature, while
9.8	reducing an unnecessary burdens on regulated parties, including any means to better

- 9.9 <u>coordinate rulemaking between state agencies and other local, state, and federal agencies.</u>
- 9.10 Any costs of preparing this report must be absorbed within funds otherwise appropriated
- 9.11 <u>to the agency.</u>