

S.F. No. 3204 and H.F. No. 3398, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be not identical.

The following document shows the differences between S.F. No. 3204, the third engrossment, and H.F. No. 3398, the second engrossment.

May 14, 2020

Patrick D. Murphy
Chief Clerk, House of Representatives

Explanation of Comparison Reports

When a Senate File is received from the Senate, it is given its first reading and must be referred to the appropriate standing committee or division under Rule 1.11. But if the House File companion of that Senate File has already been reported out of Committee and given its second reading and is on the General Register, the Senate File must be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison pursuant to Rule 1.15. The Chief Clerk reports whether the bills were found to be identical or not identical. Once the bills have been compared and the differences have been reported, the Senate File is given its second reading and is substituted for the House File. The House File is then considered withdrawn. Pursuant to rule 3.33, if the bills are not identical and the chief author of the bill wishes to use the House language, the chief author must give notice of their intent to substitute the House language when the bill is placed on the Calendar for the Day or the Fiscal Calendar. If the chief author of the bill wishes to keep the Senate language, no action is required.

1.1 A bill for an act

1.2 relating to health care coverage; modifying requirements governing utilization

1.3 review and prior authorization of health care services; making conforming changes;

1.4 amending Minnesota Statutes 2018, sections 62M.01, subdivision 2; 62M.02,

1.5 subdivisions 2, 5, 8, 20, 21, by adding subdivisions; 62M.04, subdivisions 1, 2, 3,

1.6 4; 62M.05, subdivisions 3, 3a, 3b, 4, 5; 62M.06, subdivisions 1, 2, 3, 4; 62M.07;

1.7 62M.09, subdivisions 3, 3a, 4, 4a, 5; 62M.10, subdivision 7, by adding a

1.8 subdivision; 62M.11; 62M.12; 62Q.71; 62Q.73, subdivision 1; proposing coding

1.9 for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62M; repealing Minnesota Statutes

1.10 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 19; Minnesota Rules, part 4685.0100, subpart

1.11 9b.

1.12 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.13 **ARTICLE 1**

1.14 **UTILIZATION REVIEW AND PRIOR AUTHORIZATION OF HEALTH CARE**

1.15 **SERVICES**

1.16 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.01, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

1.17 Subd. 2. ~~Jurisdiction. Sections 62M.01 to 62M.16 apply.~~ This chapter applies to any

1.18 insurance company licensed under chapter 60A to offer, sell, or issue a policy of accident

1.19 and sickness insurance as defined in section 62A.01; a health service plan licensed under

1.20 chapter 62C; a health maintenance organization licensed under chapter 62D; the Minnesota

1.21 Comprehensive Health Association created under chapter 62E; a community integrated

1.22 service network licensed under chapter 62N; an accountable provider network operating

1.23 under chapter 62T; a fraternal benefit society operating under chapter 64B; a joint

1.24 self-insurance employee health plan operating under chapter 62H; a multiple employer

1.25 welfare arrangement, as defined in section 3 of the Employee Retirement Income Security

1.26 Act of 1974 (ERISA), United States Code, title 29, section 1103, as amended; a third-party

2.1 administrator licensed under section 60A.23, subdivision 8, that provides utilization review

2.2 services for the administration of benefits under a health benefit plan as defined in section

2.3 62M.02; any other entity that provides, offers, or administers hospital, outpatient, medical,

2.4 prescription drug, or other health benefits to individuals treated by a health professional

2.5 under a policy, plan, or contract; or any entity performing utilization review on behalf of a

2.6 business entity in this state pursuant to a health benefit plan covering a Minnesota resident.

2.7 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health

2.8 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

1.1 A bill for an act

1.2 relating to health care coverage; modifying requirements governing utilization

1.3 review and prior authorization of health care services; making conforming changes;

1.4 requiring a report; amending Minnesota Statutes 2018, sections 62M.01,

1.5 subdivisions 2, 3; 62M.02, subdivisions 2, 5, 8, 20, 21, by adding subdivisions;

1.6 62M.04, subdivisions 1, 2, 3, 4; 62M.05, subdivisions 3, 3a, 3b, 4, 5; 62M.06,

1.7 subdivisions 1, 2, 3, 4; 62M.07; 62M.09, subdivisions 3, 3a, 4, 4a, 5; 62M.10,

1.8 subdivision 7, by adding a subdivision; 62M.11; 62M.12; 62Q.71; 62Q.73,

1.9 subdivision 1; ~~256B.692, subdivision 2~~; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota

1.10 Statutes, chapter 62M; repealing Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02,

1.11 subdivision 19; Minnesota Rules, part 4685.0100, subpart 9b.

1.12 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.13 **ARTICLE 1**

1.14 **UTILIZATION REVIEW AND PRIOR AUTHORIZATION OF HEALTH CARE**

1.15 **SERVICES**

1.16 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.01, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

1.17 Subd. 2. ~~Jurisdiction. Sections 62M.01 to 62M.16 apply.~~ This chapter applies to any

1.18 insurance company licensed under chapter 60A to offer, sell, or issue a policy of accident

1.19 and sickness insurance as defined in section 62A.01; a health service plan licensed under

1.20 chapter 62C; a health maintenance organization licensed under chapter 62D; the Minnesota

1.21 Comprehensive Health Association created under chapter 62E; a community integrated

1.22 service network licensed under chapter 62N; an accountable provider network operating

1.23 under chapter 62T; a fraternal benefit society operating under chapter 64B; a joint

1.24 self-insurance employee health plan operating under chapter 62H; a multiple employer

1.25 welfare arrangement, as defined in section 3 of the Employee Retirement Income Security

1.26 Act of 1974 (ERISA), United States Code, title 29, section 1103, as amended; a third-party

2.1 administrator licensed under section 60A.23, subdivision 8, that provides utilization review

2.2 services for the administration of benefits under a health benefit plan as defined in section

2.3 62M.02; any other entity that provides, offers, or administers hospital, outpatient, medical,

2.4 prescription drug, or other health benefits to individuals treated by a health professional

2.5 under a policy, plan, or contract; or any entity performing utilization review on behalf of a

2.6 business entity in this state pursuant to a health benefit plan covering a Minnesota resident.

2.7 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health

2.8 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

2.9 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.01, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

2.10 Subd. 3. **Scope.** (a) Nothing in sections 62M.01 to 62M.16 applies to review of claims

2.11 after submission to determine eligibility for benefits under a health benefit plan. The appeal

2.9 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to
2.10 read:

2.11 Subd. 1a. **Adverse determination.** "Adverse determination" means a decision by a
2.12 utilization review organization relating to an admission, extension of stay, or health care
2.13 service that is partially or wholly adverse to the enrollee, including a decision to deny an
2.14 admission, extension of stay, or health care service on the basis that it is not medically
2.15 necessary.

2.16 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
2.17 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

2.18 Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

2.19 Subd. 5. **Certification Authorization.** "~~Certification~~" "Authorization" means a
2.20 determination by a utilization review organization that an admission, extension of stay, or
2.21 other health care service has been reviewed and that ~~it~~, based on the information provided,
2.22 ~~meets~~ it satisfies the utilization review requirements of the applicable health plan and the
2.23 health plan company will then pay for the covered benefit, provided the preexisting limitation
2.24 provisions, the general exclusion provisions, and any deductible, co-payment, coinsurance,
2.25 or other policy requirements have been met.

2.26 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
2.27 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

2.28 Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 8, is amended to read:

2.29 Subd. 8. **Clinical criteria.** "Clinical criteria" means the written policies, ~~decision~~ rules,
2.30 clinical protocols, medical protocols, or ~~guidelines~~ any other criteria or rationale used by
2.31 the utilization review organization to determine ~~certification~~ whether a health care service
2.32 is authorized.

3.1 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.2 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

2.12 procedure described in section 62M.06 applies to any complaint as defined under section
2.13 62Q.68, subdivision 2, that requires a medical determination in its resolution.

2.14 (b) This chapter does not apply to managed care plans or county-based purchasing plans
2.15 when the plan is providing coverage to state public health care program enrollees under
2.16 chapter 256B or 256L.

2.17 Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to
2.18 read:

2.19 Subd. 1a. **Adverse determination.** "Adverse determination" means a decision by a
2.20 utilization review organization relating to an admission, extension of stay, or health care
2.21 service that is partially or wholly adverse to the enrollee, including a decision to deny an
2.22 admission, extension of stay, or health care service on the basis that it is not medically
2.23 necessary.

2.24 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
2.25 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

2.26 Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

2.27 Subd. 5. **Certification Authorization.** "~~Certification~~" "Authorization" means a
2.28 determination by a utilization review organization that an admission, extension of stay, or
2.29 other health care service has been reviewed and that ~~it~~, based on the information provided,
2.30 ~~meets~~ it satisfies the utilization review requirements of the applicable health plan and the
2.31 health plan company will then pay for the covered benefit, provided the preexisting limitation
3.1 provisions, the general exclusion provisions, and any deductible, co-payment, coinsurance,
3.2 or other policy requirements have been met.

3.3 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.4 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

3.5 Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 8, is amended to read:

3.6 Subd. 8. **Clinical criteria.** "Clinical criteria" means the written policies, ~~decision~~ rules,
3.7 clinical protocols, medical protocols, or ~~guidelines~~ any other criteria or rationale used by
3.8 the utilization review organization to determine ~~certification~~ whether a health care service
3.9 is authorized.

3.10 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.11 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

3.3 Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to
3.4 read:

3.5 Subd. 10a. **Emergency services.** "Emergency services" has the meaning given in section
3.6 62Q.55, subdivision 3.

3.7 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.8 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

3.9 Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to
3.10 read:

3.11 Subd. 13a. **Medically necessary.** "Medically necessary" has the meaning given in section
3.12 62Q.53.

3.13 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.14 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

3.15 Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 20, is amended to read:

3.16 Subd. 20. **Utilization review.** "Utilization review" means the evaluation of the necessity,
3.17 appropriateness, and efficacy of the use of health care services, procedures, and facilities,
3.18 by a person or entity other than the attending health care professional, for the purpose of
3.19 determining the medical necessity of the service or admission. Utilization review also
3.20 includes prior authorization and review conducted after the admission of the enrollee. It
3.21 includes situations where the enrollee is unconscious or otherwise unable to provide advance
3.22 notification. Utilization review does not include a referral or participation in a referral
3.23 process by a participating provider unless the provider is acting as a utilization review
3.24 organization.

3.25 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.26 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

3.27 Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 21, is amended to read:

3.28 Subd. 21. **Utilization review organization.** "Utilization review organization" means an
3.29 entity including but not limited to an insurance company licensed under chapter 60A to
3.30 offer, sell, or issue a policy of accident and sickness insurance as defined in section 62A.01;
4.1 a prepaid limited health service organization issued a certificate of authority and operating
4.2 under sections 62A.451 to 62A.4528; a health service plan licensed under chapter 62C; a
4.3 health maintenance organization licensed under chapter 62D; a community integrated service
4.4 network licensed under chapter 62N; an accountable provider network operating under
4.5 chapter 62T; a fraternal benefit society operating under chapter 64B; a joint self-insurance
4.6 employee health plan operating under chapter 62H; a multiple employer welfare arrangement,
4.7 as defined in section 3 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA),
4.8 United States Code, title 29, section 1103, as amended; a third-party administrator licensed

3.12 Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to
3.13 read:

3.14 Subd. 10a. **Emergency services.** "Emergency services" has the meaning given in section
3.15 62Q.55, subdivision 3.

3.16 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.17 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

3.18 Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to
3.19 read:

3.20 Subd. 13a. **Medically necessary care.** "Medically necessary **care**" has the meaning
3.21 given in section 62Q.53.

3.22 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
3.23 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

3.24 Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 20, is amended to read:

3.25 Subd. 20. **Utilization review.** "Utilization review" means the evaluation of the necessity,
3.26 appropriateness, and efficacy of the use of health care services, procedures, and facilities,
3.27 by a person or entity other than the attending health care professional, for the purpose of
3.28 determining the medical necessity of the service or admission. Utilization review also
3.29 includes prior authorization and review conducted after the admission of the enrollee. It
3.30 includes situations where the enrollee is unconscious or otherwise unable to provide advance
4.1 notification. Utilization review does not include a referral or participation in a referral
4.2 process by a participating provider unless the provider is acting as a utilization review
4.3 organization.

4.4 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
4.5 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

4.6 Sec. 9. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 21, is amended to read:

4.7 Subd. 21. **Utilization review organization.** "Utilization review organization" means an
4.8 entity including but not limited to an insurance company licensed under chapter 60A to
4.9 offer, sell, or issue a policy of accident and sickness insurance as defined in section 62A.01;
4.10 a prepaid limited health service organization issued a certificate of authority and operating
4.11 under sections 62A.451 to 62A.4528; a health service plan licensed under chapter 62C; a
4.12 health maintenance organization licensed under chapter 62D; a community integrated service
4.13 network licensed under chapter 62N; an accountable provider network operating under
4.14 chapter 62T; a fraternal benefit society operating under chapter 64B; a joint self-insurance
4.15 employee health plan operating under chapter 62H; a multiple employer welfare arrangement,
4.16 as defined in section 3 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA),
4.17 United States Code, title 29, section 1103, as amended; a third-party administrator licensed

4.9 under section 60A.23, subdivision 8, which conducts utilization review and ~~determines~~
 4.10 ~~certification of~~ authorizes or makes adverse determinations regarding an admission, extension
 4.11 of stay, or other health care services for a Minnesota resident; any other entity that provides,
 4.12 offers, or administers hospital, outpatient, medical, prescription drug, or other health benefits
 4.13 to individuals treated by a health professional under a policy, plan, or contract; or any entity
 4.14 performing utilization review that is affiliated with, under contract with, or conducting
 4.15 utilization review on behalf of, a business entity in this state. Utilization review organization
 4.16 does not include a clinic or health care system acting pursuant to a written delegation
 4.17 agreement with an otherwise regulated utilization review organization that contracts with
 4.18 the clinic or health care system. The regulated utilization review organization is accountable
 4.19 for the delegated utilization review activities of the clinic or health care system.

4.20 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 4.21 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

4.22 Sec. 9. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 3a, is amended to read:

4.23 Subd. 3a. **Standard review determination.** (a) Notwithstanding subdivision 3b, ~~an~~
 4.24 ~~initial~~ a standard review determination on all requests for utilization review must be
 4.25 communicated to the provider and enrollee in accordance with this subdivision within ~~ten~~
 4.26 five business days of after receiving the request, provided that all information reasonably
 4.27 necessary to make a determination on the request has been made available to the utilization
 4.28 review organization.

4.29 (b) When ~~an initial~~ a determination is made to ~~certify~~ authorize, notification must be
 4.30 provided promptly by telephone to the provider. The utilization review organization shall
 4.31 send written notification to the provider or shall maintain an audit trail of the determination
 4.32 and telephone notification. For purposes of this subdivision, "audit trail" includes
 4.33 documentation of the telephone notification, including the date; the name of the person
 4.34 spoken to; the enrollee; the service, procedure, or admission ~~certified~~ authorized; and the
 5.1 date of the service, procedure, or admission. If the utilization review organization indicates
 5.2 ~~certification~~ authorization by use of a number, the number must be called the "~~certification~~
 5.3 authorization number." For purposes of this subdivision, notification may also be made by
 5.4 facsimile to a verified number or by electronic mail to a secure electronic mailbox. These
 5.5 electronic forms of notification satisfy the "audit trail" requirement of this paragraph.

5.6 (c) When an ~~initial~~ adverse determination is made ~~not to certify~~, notification must be
 5.7 provided within five business days after receiving the request by telephone, by facsimile to
 5.8 a verified number, or by electronic mail to a secure electronic mailbox ~~within one working~~
 5.9 ~~day after making the determination~~ to the attending health care professional and hospital
 5.10 or physician office as applicable. Written notification must also be sent to the hospital or
 5.11 physician office as applicable and attending health care professional if notification occurred
 5.12 by telephone. For purposes of this subdivision, notification may be made by facsimile to a
 5.13 verified number or by electronic mail to a secure electronic mailbox. Written notification
 5.14 must be sent to the enrollee and may be sent by United States mail, facsimile to a verified

4.18 under section 60A.23, subdivision 8, which conducts utilization review and ~~determines~~
 4.19 ~~certification of~~ authorizes or makes adverse determinations regarding an admission, extension
 4.20 of stay, or other health care services for a Minnesota resident; any other entity that provides,
 4.21 offers, or administers hospital, outpatient, medical, prescription drug, or other health benefits
 4.22 to individuals treated by a health professional under a policy, plan, or contract; or any entity
 4.23 performing utilization review that is affiliated with, under contract with, or conducting
 4.24 utilization review on behalf of, a business entity in this state. Utilization review organization
 4.25 does not include a clinic or health care system acting pursuant to a written delegation
 4.26 agreement with an otherwise regulated utilization review organization that contracts with
 4.27 the clinic or health care system. The regulated utilization review organization is accountable
 4.28 for the delegated utilization review activities of the clinic or health care system.

4.29 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 4.30 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

4.31 Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 3a, is amended to read:

4.32 Subd. 3a. **Standard review determination.** (a) Notwithstanding subdivision 3b, ~~an~~
 4.33 ~~initial~~ a standard review determination on all requests for utilization review must be
 5.1 communicated to the provider and enrollee in accordance with this subdivision within ~~ten~~
 5.2 five business days of after receiving the request if the request is received electronically, or
 5.3 within six business days if received through nonelectronic means, provided that all
 5.4 information reasonably necessary to make a determination on the request has been made
 5.5 available to the utilization review organization. Effective January 1, 2022, a standard review
 5.6 determination on all requests for utilization review must be communicated to the provider
 5.7 and enrollee in accordance with this subdivision within five business days after receiving
 5.8 the request, regardless of how the request was received, provided that all information
 5.9 reasonably necessary to make a determination on the request has been made available to
 5.10 the utilization review organization.

5.11 (b) When ~~an initial~~ a determination is made to ~~certify~~ authorize, notification must be
 5.12 provided promptly by telephone to the provider. The utilization review organization shall
 5.13 send written notification to the provider or shall maintain an audit trail of the determination
 5.14 and telephone notification. For purposes of this subdivision, "audit trail" includes
 5.15 documentation of the telephone notification, including the date; the name of the person
 5.16 spoken to; the enrollee; the service, procedure, or admission ~~certified~~ authorized; and the
 5.17 date of the service, procedure, or admission. If the utilization review organization indicates
 5.18 ~~certification~~ authorization by use of a number, the number must be called the "~~certification~~
 5.19 authorization number." For purposes of this subdivision, notification may also be made by
 5.20 facsimile to a verified number or by electronic mail to a secure electronic mailbox. These
 5.21 electronic forms of notification satisfy the "audit trail" requirement of this paragraph.

5.22 (c) When an ~~initial~~ adverse determination is made ~~not to certify~~, notification must be
 5.23 provided within the time periods specified in paragraph (a) by telephone, by facsimile to a
 5.24 verified number, or by electronic mail to a secure electronic mailbox ~~within one working~~

5.15 number, or by electronic mail to a secure mailbox. The written notification must include
 5.16 ~~the principal reason or all reasons relied on by the utilization review organization for the~~
 5.17 ~~adverse~~ determination and the process for initiating an appeal of the ~~adverse~~ determination.
 5.18 Upon request, the utilization review organization shall provide the provider or enrollee with
 5.19 the criteria used to determine the necessity, appropriateness, and efficacy of the health care
 5.20 service and identify the database, professional treatment parameter, or other basis for the
 5.21 criteria. Reasons for ~~a an adverse~~ determination ~~not to certify~~ may include, among other
 5.22 things, the lack of adequate information to ~~certify~~ authorize after a reasonable attempt has
 5.23 been made to contact the provider or enrollee.

5.24 (d) When an ~~initial~~ adverse determination is made ~~not to certify~~, the written notification
 5.25 must inform the enrollee and the attending health care professional of the right to submit
 5.26 an appeal to the internal appeal process described in section 62M.06 and the procedure for
 5.27 initiating the internal appeal. The written notice shall be provided in a culturally and
 5.28 linguistically appropriate manner consistent with the provisions of the Affordable Care Act
 5.29 as defined under section 62A.011, subdivision 1a.

5.30 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 5.31 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

6.1 Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 3b, is amended to read:

6.2 Subd. 3b. **Expedited review determination.** (a) An expedited ~~initial~~ determination must
 6.3 be utilized if the attending health care professional believes that an expedited determination
 6.4 is warranted.

6.5 (b) Notification of an expedited ~~initial~~ determination to ~~either certify or not to certify~~
 6.6 ~~authorize or an expedited adverse determination~~ must be provided to the hospital, the
 6.7 attending health care professional, and the enrollee as expeditiously as the enrollee's medical
 6.8 condition requires, but no later than ~~72~~ 48 hours ~~from~~ and must include at least one business
 6.9 ~~day~~ day after the initial request. When an expedited ~~initial~~ adverse determination is made ~~not~~
 6.10 ~~to certify~~, the utilization review organization must also notify the enrollee and the attending
 6.11 health care professional of the right to submit an appeal to the expedited internal appeal as
 6.12 described in section 62M.06 and the procedure for initiating an expedited internal ~~expedited~~
 6.13 appeal.

6.14 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 6.15 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

5.25 ~~day after making the determination~~ to the attending health care professional and hospital
 5.26 ~~or physician office~~ as applicable. Written notification must also be sent to the hospital ~~or~~
 5.27 ~~physician office~~ as applicable and attending health care professional if notification occurred
 5.28 by telephone. For purposes of this subdivision, notification may be made by facsimile to a
 5.29 verified number or by electronic mail to a secure electronic mailbox. Written notification
 5.30 must be sent to the enrollee and may be sent by United States mail, facsimile to a verified
 5.31 number, or by electronic mail to a secure mailbox. The written notification must include
 5.32 ~~the principal reason or all reasons relied on by the utilization review organization for the~~
 5.33 ~~determination and the process for initiating an appeal of the determination.~~ Upon request,
 5.34 the utilization review organization shall provide the provider or enrollee with the criteria
 5.35 used to determine the necessity, appropriateness, and efficacy of the health care service and
 6.1 identify the database, professional treatment parameter, or other basis for the criteria. Reasons
 6.2 for ~~a an adverse~~ determination ~~not to certify~~ may include, among other things, the lack of
 6.3 adequate information to ~~certify~~ authorize after a reasonable attempt has been made to contact
 6.4 the provider or enrollee.

6.5 (d) When an ~~initial~~ adverse determination is made ~~not to certify~~, the written notification
 6.6 must inform the enrollee and the attending health care professional of the right to submit
 6.7 an appeal to the internal appeal process described in section 62M.06 and the procedure for
 6.8 initiating the internal appeal. The written notice shall be provided in a culturally and
 6.9 linguistically appropriate manner consistent with the provisions of the Affordable Care Act
 6.10 as defined under section 62A.011, subdivision 1a.

6.11 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 6.12 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

6.13 Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 3b, is amended to read:

6.14 Subd. 3b. **Expedited review determination.** (a) An expedited ~~initial~~ determination must
 6.15 be utilized if the attending health care professional believes that an expedited determination
 6.16 is warranted.

6.17 (b) Notification of an expedited ~~initial~~ determination to ~~either certify or not to certify~~
 6.18 ~~authorize or an expedited adverse determination~~ must be provided to the hospital, the
 6.19 attending health care professional, and the enrollee as expeditiously as the enrollee's medical
 6.20 condition requires, but no later than ~~72~~ 48 hours ~~from~~ and must include at least one business
 6.21 ~~day~~ day after the initial request. When an expedited ~~initial~~ adverse determination is made ~~not~~
 6.22 ~~to certify~~, the utilization review organization must also notify the enrollee and the attending
 6.23 health care professional of the right to submit an appeal to the expedited internal appeal as
 6.24 described in section 62M.06 and the procedure for initiating an expedited internal ~~expedited~~
 6.25 appeal.

6.26 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 6.27 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

6.16 Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

6.17 Subd. 4. **Failure to provide necessary information.** A utilization review organization
6.18 must have written procedures to address the failure of a provider or enrollee to provide the
6.19 necessary information for review necessary to make a determination on the request. If the
6.20 enrollee or provider will not release the necessary information to the utilization review
6.21 organization, the utilization review organization may deny certification make an adverse
6.22 determination in accordance with its own policy or the policy described in the health benefit
6.23 plan.

6.24 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
6.25 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

6.26 Sec. 12. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

6.27 Subd. 3. **Standard appeal.** (a) The utilization review organization must establish
6.28 procedures for appeals to be made either in writing or by telephone.

6.29 (b) A utilization review organization shall notify in writing the enrollee, attending health
6.30 care professional, and claims administrator of its determination on the appeal within ~~30~~
6.31 days upon 15 days after receipt of the notice of appeal. If the utilization review organization
6.32 cannot make a determination within ~~30~~ 15 days due to circumstances outside the control of
7.1 the utilization review organization, the utilization review organization may take up to ~~14~~
7.2 four additional days to notify the enrollee, attending health care professional, and claims
7.3 administrator of its determination. If the utilization review organization takes any additional
7.4 days beyond the initial ~~30-day~~ 15-day period to make its determination, it must inform the
7.5 enrollee, attending health care professional, and claims administrator, in advance, of the
7.6 extension and the reasons for the extension.

7.7 (c) The documentation required by the utilization review organization may include copies
7.8 of part or all of the medical record and a written statement from the attending health care
7.9 professional.

7.10 (d) Prior to upholding the initial adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ for clinical reasons,
7.11 the utilization review organization shall conduct a review of the documentation by a physician
7.12 who did not make the initial adverse determination ~~not to certify~~.

7.13 (e) The process established by a utilization review organization may include defining a
7.14 period within which an appeal must be filed to be considered. The time period must be
7.15 communicated to the enrollee and attending health care professional when the ~~initial~~
7.16 determination is made.

7.17 (f) An attending health care professional or enrollee who has been unsuccessful in an
7.18 attempt to reverse a an adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ shall, consistent with section
7.19 72A.285, be provided the following:

6.28 Sec. 12. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

6.29 Subd. 4. **Failure to provide necessary information.** A utilization review organization
6.30 must have written procedures to address the failure of a provider or enrollee to provide the
6.31 necessary information for review necessary to make a determination on the request. If the
6.32 enrollee or provider will not release the necessary information to the utilization review
7.1 organization, the utilization review organization may deny certification make an adverse
7.2 determination in accordance with its own policy or the policy described in the health benefit
7.3 plan.

7.4 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
7.5 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

7.20 Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

7.21 Subd. 3. **Standard appeal.** (a) The utilization review organization must establish
7.22 procedures for appeals to be made either in writing or by telephone.

7.23 (b) A utilization review organization shall notify in writing the enrollee, attending health
7.24 care professional, and claims administrator of its determination on the appeal within ~~30~~
7.25 days upon 15 days after receipt of the notice of appeal. If the utilization review organization
7.26 cannot make a determination within ~~30~~ 15 days due to circumstances outside the control of
7.27 the utilization review organization, the utilization review organization may take up to ~~14~~
7.28 four additional days to notify the enrollee, attending health care professional, and claims
7.29 administrator of its determination. If the utilization review organization takes any additional
7.30 days beyond the initial ~~30-day~~ 15-day period to make its determination, it must inform the
7.31 enrollee, attending health care professional, and claims administrator, in advance, of the
7.32 extension and the reasons for the extension.

8.1 (c) The documentation required by the utilization review organization may include copies
8.2 of part or all of the medical record and a written statement from the attending health care
8.3 professional.

8.4 (d) Prior to upholding the initial adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ for clinical reasons,
8.5 the utilization review organization shall conduct a review of the documentation by a physician
8.6 who did not make the initial adverse determination ~~not to certify~~.

8.7 (e) The process established by a utilization review organization may include defining a
8.8 period within which an appeal must be filed to be considered. The time period must be
8.9 communicated to the enrollee and attending health care professional when the ~~initial~~ adverse
8.10 determination is made.

8.11 (f) An attending health care professional or enrollee who has been unsuccessful in an
8.12 attempt to reverse a an adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ shall, consistent with section
8.13 72A.285, be provided the following:

7.20 (1) a complete summary of the review findings;

7.21 (2) qualifications of the reviewers, including any license, certification, or specialty
7.22 designation; and

7.23 (3) the relationship between the enrollee's diagnosis and the review criteria used as the
7.24 basis for the decision, including the specific rationale for the reviewer's decision.

7.25 (g) In cases of appeal to reverse ~~a an adverse determination not to certify~~ for clinical
7.26 reasons, the utilization review organization must ensure that a physician of the utilization
7.27 review organization's choice in the same or a similar specialty as typically manages the
7.28 medical condition, procedure, or treatment under discussion is reasonably available to review
7.29 the case.

7.30 (h) If the ~~initial~~ adverse determination is not reversed on appeal, the utilization review
7.31 organization must include in its notification the right to submit the appeal to the external
7.32 review process described in section 62Q.73 and the procedure for initiating an appeal under
7.33 the external process.

8.1 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
8.2 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

8.3 Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.07, is amended to read:

8.4 **62M.07 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION OF SERVICES.**

8.5 Subdivision 1. Written standards. ~~(a)~~ Utilization review organizations conducting prior
8.6 authorization of services must have written standards that meet at a minimum the following
8.7 requirements:

8.8 (1) written procedures and criteria used to determine whether care is appropriate,
8.9 reasonable, or medically necessary;

8.10 (2) a system for providing prompt notification of its determinations to enrollees and
8.11 providers and for notifying the provider, enrollee, or enrollee's designee of appeal procedures
8.12 under clause (4);

8.13 (3) compliance with section 62M.05, subdivisions 3a and 3b, regarding time frames for
8.14 ~~approving and disapproving~~ authorizing and making adverse determinations regarding prior
8.15 authorization requests;

8.16 (4) written procedures ~~for appeals of denials to appeal adverse determinations~~ of prior
8.17 authorization requests which specify the responsibilities of the enrollee and provider, and
8.18 which meet the requirements of sections 62M.06 and 72A.285, regarding release of summary
8.19 review findings; and

8.14 (1) a complete summary of the review findings;

8.15 (2) qualifications of the reviewers, including any license, certification, or specialty
8.16 designation; and

8.17 (3) the relationship between the enrollee's diagnosis and the review criteria used as the
8.18 basis for the decision, including the specific rationale for the reviewer's decision.

8.19 (g) In cases of appeal to reverse ~~a an adverse determination not to certify~~ for clinical
8.20 reasons, the utilization review organization must ensure that a physician of the utilization
8.21 review organization's choice in the same or a similar specialty as typically manages the
8.22 medical condition, procedure, or treatment under discussion is reasonably available to review
8.23 the case.

8.24 (h) If the ~~initial~~ adverse determination is not reversed on appeal, the utilization review
8.25 organization must include in its notification the right to submit the appeal to the external
8.26 review process described in section 62Q.73 and the procedure for initiating an appeal under
8.27 the external process.

8.28 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
8.29 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

9.1 Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.07, is amended to read:

9.2 **62M.07 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION OF SERVICES.**

9.3 Subdivision 1. Written standards. ~~(a)~~ Utilization review organizations conducting prior
9.4 authorization of services must have written standards that meet at a minimum the following
9.5 requirements:

9.6 (1) written procedures and criteria used to determine whether care is appropriate,
9.7 reasonable, or medically necessary;

9.8 (2) a system for providing prompt notification of its determinations to enrollees and
9.9 providers and for notifying the provider, enrollee, or enrollee's designee of appeal procedures
9.10 under clause (4);

9.11 (3) compliance with section 62M.05, subdivisions 3a and 3b, regarding time frames for
9.12 ~~approving and disapproving~~ authorizing and making adverse determinations regarding prior
9.13 authorization requests;

9.14 (4) written procedures ~~for appeals of denials to appeal adverse determinations~~ of prior
9.15 authorization requests which specify the responsibilities of the enrollee and provider, and
9.16 which meet the requirements of sections 62M.06 and 72A.285, regarding release of summary
9.17 review findings; and

8.20 (5) procedures to ensure confidentiality of patient-specific information, consistent with
8.21 applicable law.

8.22 Subd. 2. **Prior authorization of emergency services prohibited.** ~~(b)~~ No utilization
8.23 review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator may conduct or require
8.24 prior authorization of emergency confinement or an emergency treatment service. The
8.25 enrollee or the enrollee's authorized representative may be required to notify the health plan
8.26 company, claims administrator, or utilization review organization as soon as reasonably
8.27 possible after the beginning of the emergency confinement or emergency ~~treatment as~~
8.28 reasonably possible service.

8.29 Subd. 3. **Retrospective revocation or limitation of prior authorization.** No utilization
8.30 review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator may revoke, limit,
8.31 condition, or restrict a prior authorization that has been authorized unless there is evidence
8.32 that the prior authorization was authorized based on fraud or misinformation or a previously
9.1 approved prior authorization conflicts with state or federal law. Application of a deductible,
9.2 coinsurance, or other cost-sharing requirement does not constitute a limit, condition, or
9.3 restriction under this subdivision.

9.4 Subd. 4. **Submission of prior authorization requests.** ~~(e)~~ If prior authorization for a
9.5 health care service is required, the utilization review organization, health plan company, or
9.6 claim administrator must allow providers to submit requests for prior authorization of the
9.7 health care services without unreasonable delay by telephone, facsimile, or voice mail or
9.8 through an electronic mechanism 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This ~~paragraph~~
9.9 subdivision does not apply to dental service covered under MinnesotaCare or medical
9.10 assistance.

9.11 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
9.12 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

9.13 Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

9.14 Subd. 3. **Physician reviewer involvement; adverse determinations.** (a) A physician
9.15 must review and make the adverse determination under section 62M.05 in all cases in which
9.16 the utilization review organization has concluded that ~~a~~ an adverse determination not to
9.17 certify for clinical reasons is appropriate.

9.18 (b) The physician conducting the review and making the adverse determination must be
9.19 licensed:

9.20 (1) hold a current, unrestricted license to practice medicine in this state; and

9.21 (2) have the same or similar medical specialty as a provider that typically treats or
9.22 manages the condition for which the health care service has been requested.

9.18 (5) procedures to ensure confidentiality of patient-specific information, consistent with
9.19 applicable law.

9.20 Subd. 2. **Prior authorization of emergency services prohibited.** ~~(b)~~ No utilization
9.21 review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator may conduct or require
9.22 prior authorization of emergency confinement or an emergency treatment service. The
9.23 enrollee or the enrollee's authorized representative may be required to notify the health plan
9.24 company, claims administrator, or utilization review organization as soon as reasonably
9.25 possible after the beginning of the emergency confinement or emergency ~~treatment as~~
9.26 reasonably possible service.

9.27 Subd. 3. **Retrospective revocation or limitation of prior authorization.** No utilization
9.28 review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator may revoke, limit,
9.29 condition, or restrict a prior authorization that has been authorized unless there is evidence
9.30 that the prior authorization was authorized based on fraud or misinformation or a previously
9.31 approved prior authorization conflicts with state or federal law. Application of a deductible,
9.32 coinsurance, or other cost-sharing requirement does not constitute a limit, condition, or
9.33 restriction under this subdivision.

10.1 Subd. 4. **Submission of prior authorization requests.** ~~(e)~~ If prior authorization for a
10.2 health care service is required, the utilization review organization, health plan company, or
10.3 claim administrator must allow providers to submit requests for prior authorization of the
10.4 health care services without unreasonable delay by telephone, facsimile, or voice mail or
10.5 through an electronic mechanism 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This ~~paragraph~~
10.6 subdivision does not apply to dental service covered under MinnesotaCare or medical
10.7 assistance.

10.8 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
10.9 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

10.10 Sec. 16. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

10.11 Subd. 3. **Physician reviewer involvement; adverse determinations.** (a) A physician
10.12 must review and make the adverse determination under section 62M.05 in all cases in which
10.13 the utilization review organization has concluded that ~~a~~ an adverse determination not to
10.14 certify for clinical reasons is appropriate.

10.15 (b) The physician conducting the review and making the adverse determination must be
10.16 licensed:

10.17 (1) hold a current, unrestricted license to practice medicine in this state; and

10.18 (2) have the same or similar medical specialty as a provider that typically treats or
10.19 manages the condition for which the health care service has been requested.

9.23 This paragraph does not apply to reviews conducted in connection with policies issued by
 9.24 a health plan company that is assessed less than three percent of the total amount assessed
 9.25 by the Minnesota Comprehensive Health Association.

9.26 (c) The physician should be reasonably available by telephone to discuss the adverse
 9.27 determination with the attending health care professional.

9.28 (d) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a review of an adverse determination involving a
 9.29 prescription drug must be conducted by a licensed pharmacist or physician who is competent
 9.30 to evaluate the specific clinical issues presented in the review.

9.31 (e) This subdivision does not apply to outpatient mental health or substance abuse services
 9.32 governed by subdivision 3a.

10.1 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 10.2 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

10.3 Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.10, subdivision 7, is amended to read:

10.4 Subd. 7. **Availability of criteria.** Upon request, (a) For utilization review determinations
 10.5 other than prior authorization, a utilization review organization shall, upon request, provide
 10.6 to an enrollee, a provider, and the commissioner of commerce the criteria used to determine
 10.7 the medical necessity, appropriateness, and efficacy of a procedure or service and identify
 10.8 the database, professional treatment guideline, or other basis for the criteria.

10.9 (b) For prior authorization determinations, a utilization review organization must submit
 10.10 the organization's current prior authorization requirements and restrictions, including written,
 10.11 evidence-based, clinical criteria used to make an authorization or adverse determination, to
 10.12 all health plan companies for which the organization performs utilization review. A health
 10.13 plan company must post on its public website the prior authorization requirements and
 10.14 restrictions of any utilization review organization that performs utilization review for the
 10.15 health plan company. These prior authorization requirements and restrictions must be detailed
 10.16 and written in language that is easily understandable to providers.

10.17 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 10.18 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

10.19 Sec. 16. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.10, is amended by adding a subdivision
 10.20 to read:

10.21 Subd. 8. **Notice; new prior authorization requirements or restrictions; change to**
 10.22 **existing requirement or restriction.** (a) Before a utilization review organization may
 10.23 implement a new prior authorization requirement or restriction or amend an existing prior
 10.24 authorization requirement or restriction, the utilization review organization must submit the
 10.25 new or amended requirement or restriction to all health plan companies for which the

10.20 This paragraph does not apply to reviews conducted in connection with policies issued by
 10.21 a health plan company that is assessed less than three percent of the total amount assessed
 10.22 by the Minnesota Comprehensive Health Association.

10.23 (c) The physician should be reasonably available by telephone to discuss the determination
 10.24 with the attending health care professional.

10.25 (d) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a review of an adverse determination involving a
 10.26 prescription drug must be conducted by a licensed pharmacist or physician who is competent
 10.27 to evaluate the specific clinical issues presented in the review.

10.28 (e) This subdivision does not apply to outpatient mental health or substance abuse services
 10.29 governed by subdivision 3a.

10.30 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 10.31 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

11.1 Sec. 17. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.10, subdivision 7, is amended to read:

11.2 Subd. 7. **Availability of criteria.** Upon request, (a) For utilization review determinations
 11.3 other than prior authorization, a utilization review organization shall, upon request, provide
 11.4 to an enrollee, a provider, and the commissioner of commerce the criteria used to determine
 11.5 the medical necessity, appropriateness, and efficacy of a procedure or service and identify
 11.6 the database, professional treatment guideline, or other basis for the criteria.

11.7 (b) For prior authorization determinations, a utilization review organization must submit
 11.8 the organization's current prior authorization requirements and restrictions, including written,
 11.9 evidence-based, clinical criteria used to make an authorization or adverse determination, to
 11.10 all health plan companies for which the organization performs utilization review. A health
 11.11 plan company must post on its public website the prior authorization requirements and
 11.12 restrictions of any utilization review organization that performs utilization review for the
 11.13 health plan company. These prior authorization requirements and restrictions must be detailed
 11.14 and written in language that is easily understandable to providers.

11.15 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 11.16 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

11.17 Sec. 18. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.10, is amended by adding a subdivision
 11.18 to read:

11.19 Subd. 8. **Notice; new prior authorization requirements or restrictions; change to**
 11.20 **existing requirement or restriction.** (a) Before a utilization review organization may
 11.21 implement a new prior authorization requirement or restriction or amend an existing prior
 11.22 authorization requirement or restriction, the utilization review organization must submit the
 11.23 new or amended requirement or restriction to all health plan companies for which the

- 10.26 organization performs utilization review. A health plan company must post on its website
 10.27 the new or amended requirement or restriction.
- 10.28 (b) At least 45 days before a new prior authorization requirement or restriction or an
 10.29 amended existing prior authorization requirement or restriction is implemented, the utilization
 10.30 review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator must provide written or
 10.31 electronic notice of the new or amended requirement or restriction to all Minnesota-based,
 10.32 in-network attending health care professionals who are subject to the prior authorization
 10.33 requirements and restrictions.
- 11.1 (c) This subdivision does not apply to managed care plans or county-based purchasing
 11.2 plans when the plan is providing coverage for public health care program enrollees under
 11.3 chapter 256B or 256L.
- 11.4 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 11.5 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.
- 11.6 **Sec. 17. [62M.17] CONTINUITY OF CARE; PRIOR AUTHORIZATIONS.**
- 11.7 **Subdivision 1. Compliance with prior authorization approved by previous utilization**
 11.8 **review organization; change in health plan company.** If an enrollee obtains coverage
 11.9 from a new health plan company and the health plan company for the enrollee's new health
 11.10 benefit plan uses a different utilization review organization from the enrollee's previous
 11.11 health benefit plan to conduct utilization review, the health plan company for the enrollee's
 11.12 new health benefit plan shall comply with a prior authorization for health care services
 11.13 approved by the utilization review organization used by the enrollee's previous health benefit
 11.14 plan for at least the first 60 days that the enrollee is covered under the new health benefit
 11.15 plan. In order to obtain coverage for this 60-day time period, the enrollee or the enrollee's
 11.16 attending health care professional must submit documentation of the previous prior
 11.17 authorization to the enrollee's new health plan company according to procedures in the
 11.18 enrollee's new health benefit plan. During this 60-day time period, the utilization review
 11.19 organization used by the enrollee's new health plan company may conduct its own utilization
 11.20 review of these health care services.
- 11.21 **Subd. 2. Effect of change in prior authorization clinical criteria.** (a) If, during a plan
 11.22 year, a utilization review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator changes
 11.23 coverage terms for a health care service or the clinical criteria used to conduct prior
 11.24 authorizations for a health care service, the change in coverage terms or change in clinical
 11.25 criteria shall not apply until the next plan year for any enrollee who received prior
 11.26 authorization for a health care service using the coverage terms or clinical criteria in effect
 11.27 before the effective date of the change.
- 11.28 (b) Paragraph (a) does not apply if a utilization review organization, health plan company,
 11.29 or claims administrator changes coverage terms for a drug or device that has been deemed
 11.30 unsafe by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA); that has been withdrawn

- 11.24 organization performs utilization review. A health plan company must post on its website
 11.25 the new or amended requirement or restriction.
- 11.26 (b) At least 45 days before a new prior authorization requirement or restriction or an
 11.27 amended existing prior authorization requirement or restriction is implemented, the utilization
 11.28 review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator must provide written or
 11.29 electronic notice of the new or amended requirement or restriction to all Minnesota-based,
 11.30 in-network attending health care professionals who are subject to the prior authorization
 11.31 requirements and restrictions.
- 11.32 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 11.33 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.
- 12.1 **Sec. 19. [62M.17] CONTINUITY OF CARE; PRIOR AUTHORIZATIONS.**
- 12.2 **Subdivision 1. Compliance with prior authorization approved by previous utilization**
 12.3 **review organization; change in health plan company.** If an enrollee obtains coverage
 12.4 from a new health plan company and the health plan company for the enrollee's new health
 12.5 benefit plan uses a different utilization review organization from the enrollee's previous
 12.6 health benefit plan to conduct utilization review, the health plan company for the enrollee's
 12.7 new health benefit plan shall comply with a prior authorization for health care services
 12.8 approved by the utilization review organization used by the enrollee's previous health benefit
 12.9 plan for at least the first 60 days that the enrollee is covered under the new health benefit
 12.10 plan. In order to obtain coverage for this 60-day time period, the enrollee or the enrollee's
 12.11 attending health care professional must submit documentation of the previous prior
 12.12 authorization to the enrollee's new health plan company according to procedures in the
 12.13 enrollee's new health benefit plan. During this 60-day time period, the utilization review
 12.14 organization used by the enrollee's new health plan company may conduct its own utilization
 12.15 review of these health care services.
- 12.16 **Subd. 2. Effect of change in prior authorization clinical criteria.** (a) If, during a plan
 12.17 year, a utilization review organization changes coverage terms for a health care service or
 12.18 the clinical criteria used to conduct prior authorizations for a health care service, the change
 12.19 in coverage terms or change in clinical criteria shall not apply until the next plan year for
 12.20 any enrollee who received prior authorization for a health care service using the coverage
 12.21 terms or clinical criteria in effect before the effective date of the change.
- 12.22 (b) Paragraph (a) does not apply if a utilization review organization changes coverage
 12.23 terms for a drug or device that has been deemed unsafe by the United States Food and Drug
 12.24 Administration (FDA); that has been withdrawn by either the FDA or the product
 12.25 manufacturer; or when an independent source of research, clinical guidelines, or

11.31 by either the FDA or the product manufacturer; or when an independent source of research,
 11.32 clinical guidelines, or evidence-based standards has issued drug- or device-specific warnings
 11.33 or recommended changes in drug or device usage.

12.1 (c) Paragraph (a) does not apply if a utilization review organization, health plan company,
 12.2 or claims administrator changes coverage terms for a service or the clinical criteria used to
 12.3 conduct prior authorizations for a service when an independent source of research, clinical
 12.4 guidelines, or evidence-based standards has recommended changes in usage of the service
 12.5 for reasons related to patient harm.

12.6 (d) Paragraph (a) does not apply if a utilization review organization, health plan company,
 12.7 or claims administrator removes a brand name drug from its formulary or places a brand
 12.8 name drug in a benefit category that increases the enrollee's cost, provided the utilization
 12.9 review organization, health plan company, or claims administrator (1) adds to its formulary
 12.10 a generic or multisource brand name drug rated as therapeutically equivalent according to
 12.11 the FDA Orange Book, or a biologic drug rated as interchangeable according to the FDA
 12.12 Purple Book, at a lower cost to the enrollee, and (2) provides at least a 60-day notice to
 12.13 prescribers, pharmacists, and affected enrollees.

12.14 Subd. 3. **Limitations.** This section does not apply to public health care programs
 12.15 administered under chapter 256B or 256L.

12.16 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 12.17 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

12.18 Sec. 18. **[62M.18] ANNUAL POSTING ON WEBSITE; PRIOR AUTHORIZATIONS.**

12.19 (a) By April 1, 2022, and each April 1 thereafter, a health plan company must post on
 12.20 the health plan company's public website the following data for the immediately preceding
 12.21 calendar year for each commercial product:

12.22 (1) the number of prior authorization requests for which an authorization was issued;

12.23 (2) the number of prior authorization requests for which an adverse determination was
 12.24 issued and sorted by: (i) health care service; (ii) whether the adverse determination was
 12.25 appealed; and (iii) whether the adverse determination was upheld or reversed on appeal;

12.26 (3) the number of prior authorization requests that were submitted electronically and
 12.27 not by facsimile or e-mail or other method pursuant to section 62J.497; and

12.28 (4) the reasons for prior authorization denial including but not limited to:

12.29 (i) the patient did not meet prior authorization criteria;

12.26 evidence-based standards has issued drug- or device-specific warnings or recommended
 12.27 changes in drug or device usage.

12.28 (c) Paragraph (a) does not apply if a utilization review organization changes coverage
 12.29 terms for a service or the clinical criteria used to conduct prior authorizations for a service
 12.30 when an independent source of research, clinical guidelines, or evidence-based standards
 12.31 has recommended changes in usage of the service for reasons related to patient harm.

12.32 (d) Paragraph (a) does not apply if a utilization review organization removes a brand
 12.33 name drug from its formulary or places a brand name drug in a benefit category that increases
 12.34 the enrollee's cost, provided the utilization review organization (1) adds to its formulary a
 13.1 generic or multisource brand name drug rated as therapeutically equivalent according to
 13.2 the FDA Orange Book, or a biologic drug rated as interchangeable according to the FDA
 13.3 Purple Book, at a lower cost to the enrollee, and (2) provides at least a 60-day notice to
 13.4 prescribers, pharmacists, and affected enrollees.

13.5 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 13.6 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

13.7 Sec. 20. **[62M.18] ANNUAL POSTING ON WEBSITE; PRIOR AUTHORIZATIONS.**

13.8 (a) By April 1, 2022, and each April 1 thereafter, a health plan company must post on
 13.9 the health plan company's public website the following data for the immediately preceding
 13.10 calendar year for each commercial product:

13.11 (1) the number of prior authorization requests for which an authorization was issued;

13.12 (2) the number of prior authorization requests for which an adverse determination was
 13.13 issued and sorted by: (i) health care service; (ii) whether the adverse determination was
 13.14 appealed; and (iii) whether the adverse determination was upheld or reversed on appeal;

13.15 (3) the number of prior authorization requests that were submitted electronically and
 13.16 not by facsimile or e-mail or other method pursuant to section 62J.497; and

13.17 (4) the reasons for prior authorization denial including but not limited to:

13.18 (i) patient did not meet prior authorization criteria;

13.19 (ii) incomplete information submitted by the provider to the utilization review
 13.20 organization;

12.30 (ii) incomplete information submitted by the provider to the utilization review
 12.31 organization;

12.32 (iii) change in treatment program; and

13.1 (iv) the patient is no longer covered by the plan.

13.2 (b) All information posted under this section must be written in easily understandable
 13.3 language.

13.4 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 13.5 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

13.6 **Sec. 19. COMPLIANCE REPORT ON DRUG PRIOR AUTHORIZATION.**

13.7 By **February** 1, 2021, the commissioner of health shall submit to the chairs and ranking
 13.8 minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over health care policy
 13.9 and finance a report on compliance with the requirements for providers in Minnesota Statutes,
 13.10 section 62J.497, subdivision 5. The report must include the following information from
 13.11 health plans offered in the commercial fully insured and self-insured health insurance
 13.12 markets:

13.13 (1) the total number of drug prior authorization requests;

13.14 (2) the frequency with which drug prior authorization requests are submitted
 13.15 electronically. Electronic submission does not include facsimile or e-mail requests;

13.16 (3) the turnaround times for health plans when drug prior authorizations are submitted
 13.17 electronically;

13.18 (4) the turnaround times for health plans when drug prior authorizations are not submitted
 13.19 electronically;

13.20 (5) the reasons electronic drug prior authorizations are denied;

13.21 (6) the reasons nonelectronic drug prior authorizations are denied;

13.22 (7) the anticipated effect on denials and turnaround times if all providers in Minnesota
 13.23 were to submit drug prior authorizations electronically;

13.24 (8) the differences between the commercial fully insured and self-insured markets for
 13.25 clauses (1) to (7); and

13.26 (9) the reasons providers are not able to comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62J.497,
 13.27 subdivision 5.

13.21 (iii) change in treatment program; and

13.22 (iv) the patient is no longer covered by the plan.

13.23 (b) All information posted under this section must be written in easily understandable
 13.24 language.

13.25 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 13.26 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

13.27 **Sec. 21. COMPLIANCE REPORT ON DRUG PRIOR AUTHORIZATION.**

13.28 By **April** 1, 2021, the commissioner of health shall submit to the chairs and ranking
 13.29 minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over health care policy
 13.30 and finance a report on compliance with the requirements for providers in Minnesota Statutes,
 14.1 section 62J.497, subdivision 5. The report must include the following information from
 14.2 health plans offered in the commercial fully insured and self-insured health insurance
 14.3 markets:

14.4 (1) the total number of drug prior authorization requests;

14.5 (2) the frequency with which drug prior authorization requests are submitted
 14.6 electronically. Electronic submission does not include facsimile or e-mail requests;

14.7 (3) the turnaround times for health plans when drug prior authorizations are submitted
 14.8 electronically;

14.9 (4) the turnaround times for health plans when drug prior authorizations are not submitted
 14.10 electronically;

14.11 (5) the reasons electronic drug prior authorizations are denied;

14.12 (6) the reasons nonelectronic drug prior authorizations are denied;

14.13 (7) the anticipated effect on denials and turnaround times if all providers in Minnesota
 14.14 were to submit drug prior authorizations electronically;

14.15 (8) the differences between the commercial fully insured and self-insured markets for
 14.16 clauses (1) to (7); and

14.17 (9) the reasons providers are not able to comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62J.497,
 14.18 subdivision 5.

13.28 Sec. 20. **REPEALER.**

13.29 (a) Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 19, is repealed effective
13.30 January 1, 2021.

14.1 (b) Minnesota Rules, part 4685.0100, subpart 9b, is repealed effective January 1, 2021.

14.2 **ARTICLE 2**

14.3 **CONFORMING CHANGES**

14.4 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

14.5 Subd. 2. **Appeal.** "Appeal" means a formal request, either orally or in writing, to
14.6 reconsider ~~a~~ an adverse determination not to certify regarding an admission, extension of
14.7 stay, or other health care service.

14.8 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
14.9 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

14.10 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

14.11 Subdivision 1. **Responsibility for obtaining certification authorization.** A health
14.12 benefit plan that includes utilization review requirements must specify the process for
14.13 notifying the utilization review organization in a timely manner and obtaining ~~certification~~
14.14 authorization for health care services. Each health plan company must provide a clear and
14.15 concise description of this process to an enrollee as part of the policy, subscriber contract,
14.16 or certificate of coverage. In addition to the enrollee, the utilization review organization
14.17 must allow any provider or provider's designee, or responsible patient representative,
14.18 including a family member, to fulfill the obligations under the health plan.

14.19 A claims administrator that contracts directly with providers for the provision of health
14.20 care services to enrollees may, through contract, require the provider to notify the review
14.21 organization in a timely manner and obtain ~~certification~~ authorization for health care services.

14.22 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
14.23 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

14.24 Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

14.25 Subd. 2. **Information upon which utilization review is conducted.** (a) If the utilization
14.26 review organization is conducting routine prospective and concurrent utilization review,
14.27 utilization review organizations must collect only the information necessary to ~~certify~~
14.28 authorize the admission, procedure of treatment, and length of stay.

14.19 Sec. 22. **REPEALER.**

14.20 (a) Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 19, is repealed effective
14.21 January 1, 2021.

14.22 (b) Minnesota Rules, part 4685.0100, subpart 9b, is repealed effective January 1, 2021.

14.23 **ARTICLE 2**

14.24 **CONFORMING CHANGES**

14.25 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.02, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

14.26 Subd. 2. **Appeal.** "Appeal" means a formal request, either orally or in writing, to
14.27 reconsider ~~a~~ an adverse determination not to certify regarding an admission, extension of
14.28 stay, or other health care service.

14.29 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
14.30 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

15.1 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

15.2 Subdivision 1. **Responsibility for obtaining certification authorization.** A health
15.3 benefit plan that includes utilization review requirements must specify the process for
15.4 notifying the utilization review organization in a timely manner and obtaining ~~certification~~
15.5 authorization for health care services. Each health plan company must provide a clear and
15.6 concise description of this process to an enrollee as part of the policy, subscriber contract,
15.7 or certificate of coverage. In addition to the enrollee, the utilization review organization
15.8 must allow any provider or provider's designee, or responsible patient representative,
15.9 including a family member, to fulfill the obligations under the health plan.

15.10 A claims administrator that contracts directly with providers for the provision of health
15.11 care services to enrollees may, through contract, require the provider to notify the review
15.12 organization in a timely manner and obtain ~~certification~~ authorization for health care services.

15.13 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
15.14 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

15.15 Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

15.16 Subd. 2. **Information upon which utilization review is conducted.** (a) If the utilization
15.17 review organization is conducting routine prospective and concurrent utilization review,
15.18 utilization review organizations must collect only the information necessary to ~~certify~~
15.19 authorize the admission, procedure of treatment, and length of stay.

14.29 (b) Utilization review organizations may request, but may not require providers to supply,
14.30 numerically encoded diagnoses or procedures as part of the ~~certification~~ authorization
14.31 process.

15.1 (c) Utilization review organizations must not routinely request copies of medical records
15.2 for all patients reviewed. In performing prospective and concurrent review, copies of the
15.3 pertinent portion of the medical record should be required only when a difficulty develops
15.4 in ~~certifying~~ authorizing the medical necessity or appropriateness of the admission or
15.5 extension of stay.

15.6 (d) Utilization review organizations may request copies of medical records retrospectively
15.7 for a number of purposes, including auditing the services provided, quality assurance review,
15.8 ensuring compliance with the terms of either the health benefit plan or the provider contract,
15.9 and compliance with utilization review activities. Except for reviewing medical records
15.10 associated with an appeal or with an investigation or audit of data discrepancies, providers
15.11 must be reimbursed for the reasonable costs of duplicating records requested by the utilization
15.12 review organization for retrospective review unless otherwise provided under the terms of
15.13 the provider contract.

15.14 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
15.15 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

15.16 Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

15.17 Subd. 3. **Data elements.** (a) Except as otherwise provided in ~~sections 62M.01 to 62M.16~~
15.18 this chapter, for purposes of ~~certification~~ authorization a utilization review organization
15.19 must limit its data requirements to the following elements:

15.20 (b) Patient information that includes the following:

15.21 (1) name;

15.22 (2) address;

15.23 (3) date of birth;

15.24 (4) sex;

15.25 (5) Social Security number or patient identification number;

15.26 (6) name of health plan company or health plan; and

15.27 (7) plan identification number.

15.28 (c) Enrollee information that includes the following:

15.29 (1) name;

15.20 (b) Utilization review organizations may request, but may not require providers to supply,
15.21 numerically encoded diagnoses or procedures as part of the ~~certification~~ authorization
15.22 process.

15.23 (c) Utilization review organizations must not routinely request copies of medical records
15.24 for all patients reviewed. In performing prospective and concurrent review, copies of the
15.25 pertinent portion of the medical record should be required only when a difficulty develops
15.26 in ~~certifying~~ authorizing the medical necessity or appropriateness of the admission or
15.27 extension of stay.

15.28 (d) Utilization review organizations may request copies of medical records retrospectively
15.29 for a number of purposes, including auditing the services provided, quality assurance review,
15.30 ensuring compliance with the terms of either the health benefit plan or the provider contract,
15.31 and compliance with utilization review activities. Except for reviewing medical records
15.32 associated with an appeal or with an investigation or audit of data discrepancies, providers
15.33 must be reimbursed for the reasonable costs of duplicating records requested by the utilization
16.1 review organization for retrospective review unless otherwise provided under the terms of
16.2 the provider contract.

16.3 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
16.4 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

16.5 Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

16.6 Subd. 3. **Data elements.** (a) Except as otherwise provided in ~~sections 62M.01 to 62M.16~~
16.7 this chapter, for purposes of ~~certification~~ authorization a utilization review organization
16.8 must limit its data requirements to the following elements:

16.9 (b) Patient information that includes the following:

16.10 (1) name;

16.11 (2) address;

16.12 (3) date of birth;

16.13 (4) sex;

16.14 (5) Social Security number or patient identification number;

16.15 (6) name of health plan company or health plan; and

16.16 (7) plan identification number.

16.17 (c) Enrollee information that includes the following:

16.18 (1) name;

- 15.30 (2) address;
- 16.1 (3) Social Security number or employee identification number;
- 16.2 (4) relation to patient;
- 16.3 (5) employer;
- 16.4 (6) health benefit plan;
- 16.5 (7) group number or plan identification number; and
- 16.6 (8) availability of other coverage.
- 16.7 (d) Attending health care professional information that includes the following:
- 16.8 (1) name;
- 16.9 (2) address;
- 16.10 (3) telephone numbers;
- 16.11 (4) degree and license;
- 16.12 (5) specialty or board certification status; and
- 16.13 (6) tax identification number or other identification number.
- 16.14 (e) Diagnosis and treatment information that includes the following:
- 16.15 (1) primary diagnosis with associated ICD or DSM coding, if available;
- 16.16 (2) secondary diagnosis with associated ICD or DSM coding, if available;
- 16.17 (3) tertiary diagnoses with associated ICD or DSM coding, if available;
- 16.18 (4) proposed procedures or treatments with ICD or associated CPT codes, if available;
- 16.19 (5) surgical assistant requirement;
- 16.20 (6) anesthesia requirement;
- 16.21 (7) proposed admission or service dates;
- 16.22 (8) proposed procedure date; and
- 16.23 (9) proposed length of stay.
- 16.24 (f) Clinical information that includes the following:

- 16.19 (2) address;
- 16.20 (3) Social Security number or employee identification number;
- 16.21 (4) relation to patient;
- 16.22 (5) employer;
- 16.23 (6) health benefit plan;
- 16.24 (7) group number or plan identification number; and
- 16.25 (8) availability of other coverage.
- 16.26 (d) Attending health care professional information that includes the following:
- 16.27 (1) name;
- 16.28 (2) address;
- 17.1 (3) telephone numbers;
- 17.2 (4) degree and license;
- 17.3 (5) specialty or board certification status; and
- 17.4 (6) tax identification number or other identification number.
- 17.5 (e) Diagnosis and treatment information that includes the following:
- 17.6 (1) primary diagnosis with associated ICD or DSM coding, if available;
- 17.7 (2) secondary diagnosis with associated ICD or DSM coding, if available;
- 17.8 (3) tertiary diagnoses with associated ICD or DSM coding, if available;
- 17.9 (4) proposed procedures or treatments with ICD or associated CPT codes, if available;
- 17.10 (5) surgical assistant requirement;
- 17.11 (6) anesthesia requirement;
- 17.12 (7) proposed admission or service dates;
- 17.13 (8) proposed procedure date; and
- 17.14 (9) proposed length of stay.
- 17.15 (f) Clinical information that includes the following:

- 16.25 (1) support and documentation of appropriateness and level of service proposed; and
- 16.26 (2) identification of contact person for detailed clinical information.
- 16.27 (g) Facility information that includes the following:
- 17.1 (1) type;
- 17.2 (2) licensure and certification status and DRG exempt status;
- 17.3 (3) name;
- 17.4 (4) address;
- 17.5 (5) telephone number; and
- 17.6 (6) tax identification number or other identification number.
- 17.7 (h) Concurrent or continued stay review information that includes the following:
- 17.8 (1) additional days, services, or procedures proposed;
- 17.9 (2) reasons for extension, including clinical information sufficient for support of
- 17.10 appropriateness and level of service proposed; and
- 17.11 (3) diagnosis status.
- 17.12 (i) For admissions to facilities other than acute medical or surgical hospitals, additional
- 17.13 information that includes the following:
- 17.14 (1) history of present illness;
- 17.15 (2) patient treatment plan and goals;
- 17.16 (3) prognosis;
- 17.17 (4) staff qualifications; and
- 17.18 (5) 24-hour availability of staff.
- 17.19 Additional information may be required for other specific review functions such as
- 17.20 discharge planning or catastrophic case management. Second opinion information may also
- 17.21 be required, when applicable, to support benefit plan requirements.
- 17.22 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
- 17.23 **plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.**

- 17.16 (1) support and documentation of appropriateness and level of service proposed; and
- 17.17 (2) identification of contact person for detailed clinical information.
- 17.18 (g) Facility information that includes the following:
- 17.19 (1) type;
- 17.20 (2) licensure and certification status and DRG exempt status;
- 17.21 (3) name;
- 17.22 (4) address;
- 17.23 (5) telephone number; and
- 17.24 (6) tax identification number or other identification number.
- 17.25 (h) Concurrent or continued stay review information that includes the following:
- 17.26 (1) additional days, services, or procedures proposed;
- 18.1 (2) reasons for extension, including clinical information sufficient for support of
- 18.2 appropriateness and level of service proposed; and
- 18.3 (3) diagnosis status.
- 18.4 (i) For admissions to facilities other than acute medical or surgical hospitals, additional
- 18.5 information that includes the following:
- 18.6 (1) history of present illness;
- 18.7 (2) patient treatment plan and goals;
- 18.8 (3) prognosis;
- 18.9 (4) staff qualifications; and
- 18.10 (5) 24-hour availability of staff.
- 18.11 Additional information may be required for other specific review functions such as
- 18.12 discharge planning or catastrophic case management. Second opinion information may also
- 18.13 be required, when applicable, to support benefit plan requirements.
- 18.14 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
- 18.15 **plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.**

17.24 Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

17.25 Subd. 4. **Additional information.** A utilization review organization may request
17.26 information in addition to that described in subdivision 3 when there is significant lack of
17.27 agreement between the utilization review organization and the provider regarding the
17.28 appropriateness of ~~certification~~ authorization during the review or appeal process. For
18.1 purposes of this subdivision, "significant lack of agreement" means that the utilization
18.2 review organization has:

18.3 (1) tentatively determined through its professional staff that a service cannot be ~~certified~~
18.4 authorized;

18.5 (2) referred the case to a physician for review; and

18.6 (3) talked to or attempted to talk to the attending health care professional for further
18.7 information.

18.8 Nothing in ~~sections 62M.01 to 62M.16~~ this chapter prohibits a utilization review
18.9 organization from requiring submission of data necessary to comply with the quality
18.10 assurance and utilization review requirements of chapter 62D or other appropriate data or
18.11 outcome analyses.

18.12 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
18.13 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

18.14 Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

18.15 Subd. 3. **Notification of adverse determinations and authorizations.** A utilization
18.16 review organization must have written procedures for providing notification of ~~its~~
18.17 ~~determinations on all certifications~~ its adverse determinations and authorizations in
18.18 accordance with this section.

18.19 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
18.20 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

18.21 Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

18.22 Subd. 5. **Notification to claims administrator.** If the utilization review organization
18.23 and the claims administrator are separate entities, the utilization review organization must
18.24 forward, electronically or in writing, a notification of ~~certification or determination not to~~
18.25 ~~certify an authorization or adverse determination~~ to the appropriate claims administrator
18.26 for the health benefit plan. If it is determined by the claims administrator that the ~~certified~~
18.27 authorized health care service is not covered by the health benefit plan, the claims
18.28 administrator must promptly notify the claimant and provider of this information.

18.16 Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.04, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

18.17 Subd. 4. **Additional information.** A utilization review organization may request
18.18 information in addition to that described in subdivision 3 when there is significant lack of
18.19 agreement between the utilization review organization and the provider regarding the
18.20 appropriateness of ~~certification~~ authorization during the review or appeal process. For
18.21 purposes of this subdivision, "significant lack of agreement" means that the utilization
18.22 review organization has:

18.23 (1) tentatively determined through its professional staff that a service cannot be ~~certified~~
18.24 authorized;

18.25 (2) referred the case to a physician for review; and

18.26 (3) talked to or attempted to talk to the attending health care professional for further
18.27 information.

18.28 Nothing in ~~sections 62M.01 to 62M.16~~ this chapter prohibits a utilization review
18.29 organization from requiring submission of data necessary to comply with the quality
18.30 assurance and utilization review requirements of chapter 62D or other appropriate data or
18.31 outcome analyses.

19.1 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
19.2 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

19.3 Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

19.4 Subd. 3. **Notification of adverse determinations and authorizations.** A utilization
19.5 review organization must have written procedures for providing notification of ~~its~~
19.6 ~~determinations on all certifications~~ its adverse determinations and authorizations in
19.7 accordance with this section.

19.8 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
19.9 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

19.10 Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.05, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

19.11 Subd. 5. **Notification to claims administrator.** If the utilization review organization
19.12 and the claims administrator are separate entities, the utilization review organization must
19.13 forward, electronically or in writing, a notification of ~~certification or determination not to~~
19.14 ~~certify an authorization or adverse determination~~ to the appropriate claims administrator
19.15 for the health benefit plan. If it is determined by the claims administrator that the ~~certified~~
19.16 authorized health care service is not covered by the health benefit plan, the claims
19.17 administrator must promptly notify the claimant and provider of this information.

18.29 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 18.30 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

19.1 Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

19.2 Subdivision 1. **Procedures for appeal.** (a) A utilization review organization must have
 19.3 written procedures for appeals of adverse determinations ~~not to certify~~. The right to appeal
 19.4 must be available to the enrollee and to the attending health care professional.

19.5 (b) The enrollee shall be allowed to review the information relied upon in the course of
 19.6 the appeal, present evidence and testimony as part of the appeals process, and receive
 19.7 continued coverage pending the outcome of the appeals process. This paragraph does not
 19.8 apply to managed care plans or county-based purchasing plans serving state public health
 19.9 care program enrollees under section 256B.69, 256B.692, or chapter 256L, or to
 19.10 grandfathered plans as defined under section 62A.011, subdivision 1c. Nothing in this
 19.11 paragraph shall be construed to limit or restrict the appeal rights of state public health care
 19.12 program enrollees provided under section 256.045 and Code of Federal Regulations, title
 19.13 42, section 438.420(d).

19.14 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 19.15 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

19.16 Sec. 9. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

19.17 Subd. 2. **Expedited appeal.** (a) When an ~~initial~~ adverse determination ~~not to certify for~~
 19.18 a health care service is made prior to or during an ongoing service requiring review and the
 19.19 attending health care professional believes that the adverse determination warrants an

19.18 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 19.19 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

19.20 Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

19.21 Subdivision 1. **Procedures for appeal.** (a) A utilization review organization must have
 19.22 written procedures for appeals of adverse determinations ~~not to certify~~. The right to appeal
 19.23 must be available to the enrollee and to the attending health care professional.

19.24 (b) The enrollee shall be allowed to review the information relied upon in the course of
 19.25 the appeal, present evidence and testimony as part of the appeals process, and receive
 19.26 continued coverage pending the outcome of the appeals process. This paragraph does not
 19.27 apply to managed care plans or county-based purchasing plans serving state public health
 19.28 care program enrollees under section 256B.69, 256B.692, or chapter 256L, or to
 19.29 grandfathered plans as defined under section 62A.011, subdivision 1c. Nothing in this
 19.30 paragraph shall be construed to limit or restrict the appeal rights of state public health care
 19.31 program enrollees provided under section 256.045 and Code of Federal Regulations, title
 19.32 42, section 438.420(d).

20.1 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 20.2 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

7.6 Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

7.7 Subdivision 1. **Procedures for appeal.** (a) A utilization review organization must have
 7.8 written procedures for appeals of determinations not to certify. The right to appeal must be
 7.9 available to the enrollee and to the attending health care professional.

7.10 (b) The enrollee shall be allowed to review the information relied upon in the course of
 7.11 the appeal, present evidence and testimony as part of the appeals process, and receive
 7.12 continued coverage pending the outcome of the appeals process. This paragraph does not
 7.13 apply to managed care plans or county-based purchasing plans serving state public health
 7.14 care program enrollees under section 256B.69, 256B.692, or chapter 256L, or to
 7.15 grandfathered plans as defined under section 62A.011, subdivision 1c. Nothing in this
 7.16 paragraph shall be construed to limit or restrict the appeal rights of state public health care
 7.17 program enrollees provided under section 256.045 and Code of Federal Regulations, title
 7.18 42, section 438.420(d).

7.19 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021.

20.3 Sec. 9. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

20.4 Subd. 2. **Expedited appeal.** (a) When an ~~initial~~ adverse determination ~~not to certify for~~
 20.5 a health care service is made prior to or during an ongoing service requiring review and the
 20.6 attending health care professional believes that the determination warrants an expedited

19.20 expedited appeal, the utilization review organization must ensure that the enrollee and the
 19.21 attending health care professional have an opportunity to appeal the adverse determination
 19.22 over the telephone on an expedited basis. In such an appeal, the utilization review
 19.23 organization must ensure reasonable access to its consulting physician or health care provider.

19.24 (b) The utilization review organization shall notify the enrollee and attending health
 19.25 care professional by telephone of its determination on the expedited appeal as expeditiously
 19.26 as the enrollee's medical condition requires, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the
 19.27 expedited appeal.

19.28 (c) If the adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ is not reversed through the expedited
 19.29 appeal, the utilization review organization must include in its notification the right to submit
 19.30 the appeal to the external appeal process described in section 62Q.73 and the procedure for
 19.31 initiating the process. This information must be provided in writing to the enrollee and the
 19.32 attending health care professional as soon as practical.

20.1 EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 20.2 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

20.3 Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

20.4 Subd. 4. **Notification to claims administrator.** If the utilization review organization
 20.5 and the claims administrator are separate entities, the utilization review organization must
 20.6 notify, either electronically or in writing, the appropriate claims administrator for the health
 20.7 benefit plan of any adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ that is reversed on appeal.

20.8 EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 20.9 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

20.10 Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 3a, is amended to read:

20.11 Subd. 3a. **Mental health and substance abuse reviews.** (a) A peer of the treating mental
 20.12 health or substance abuse provider, a doctoral-level psychologist, or a physician must review
 20.13 requests for outpatient services in which the utilization review organization has concluded
 20.14 that ~~a~~ an adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ for a mental health or substance abuse service
 20.15 for clinical reasons is appropriate, provided that any final adverse determination ~~not to~~
 20.16 certify issued under section 62M.05 for a treatment is made by a psychiatrist certified by
 20.17 the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology and appropriately licensed in this state
 20.18 or by a doctoral-level psychologist licensed in this state.

20.19 (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a doctoral-level psychologist shall not review any
 20.20 request or final adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ for a mental health or substance abuse
 20.21 service or treatment if the treating provider is a psychiatrist.

20.22 (c) Notwithstanding the notification requirements of section 62M.05, a utilization review
 20.23 organization that has made ~~an initial decision~~ a determination to certify authorize in

20.7 appeal, the utilization review organization must ensure that the enrollee and the attending
 20.8 health care professional have an opportunity to appeal the determination over the telephone
 20.9 on an expedited basis. In such an appeal, the utilization review organization must ensure
 20.10 reasonable access to its consulting physician or health care provider.

20.11 (b) The utilization review organization shall notify the enrollee and attending health
 20.12 care professional by telephone of its determination on the expedited appeal as expeditiously
 20.13 as the enrollee's medical condition requires, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the
 20.14 expedited appeal.

20.15 (c) If the adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ is not reversed through the expedited
 20.16 appeal, the utilization review organization must include in its notification the right to submit
 20.17 the appeal to the external appeal process described in section 62Q.73 and the procedure for
 20.18 initiating the process. This information must be provided in writing to the enrollee and the
 20.19 attending health care professional as soon as practical.

20.20 EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 20.21 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

20.22 Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.06, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

20.23 Subd. 4. **Notification to claims administrator.** If the utilization review organization
 20.24 and the claims administrator are separate entities, the utilization review organization must
 20.25 notify, either electronically or in writing, the appropriate claims administrator for the health
 20.26 benefit plan of any adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ that is reversed on appeal.

20.27 EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 20.28 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

20.29 Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 3a, is amended to read:

20.30 Subd. 3a. **Mental health and substance abuse reviews.** (a) A peer of the treating mental
 20.31 health or substance abuse provider, a doctoral-level psychologist, or a physician must review
 21.1 requests for outpatient services in which the utilization review organization has concluded
 21.2 that ~~a~~ an adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ for a mental health or substance abuse service
 21.3 for clinical reasons is appropriate, provided that any final adverse determination ~~not to~~
 21.4 certify issued under section 62M.05 for a treatment is made by a psychiatrist certified by
 21.5 the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology and appropriately licensed in this state
 21.6 or by a doctoral-level psychologist licensed in this state.

21.7 (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a doctoral-level psychologist shall not review any
 21.8 request or final adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ for a mental health or substance abuse
 21.9 service or treatment if the treating provider is a psychiatrist.

21.10 (c) Notwithstanding the notification requirements of section 62M.05, a utilization review
 21.11 organization that has made ~~an initial decision~~ an adverse determination to certify authorize

20.24 accordance with the requirements of section 62M.05 may elect to provide notification of a
20.25 determination to continue coverage through facsimile or mail.

20.26 (d) This subdivision does not apply to determinations made in connection with policies
20.27 issued by a health plan company that is assessed less than three percent of the total amount
20.28 assessed by the Minnesota Comprehensive Health Association.

20.29 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
20.30 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

21.1 Sec. 12. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

21.2 Subd. 4. **Dentist plan reviews.** A dentist must review all cases in which the utilization
21.3 review organization has concluded that ~~a an adverse~~ determination ~~not to certify~~ for a dental
21.4 service or procedure for clinical reasons is appropriate and an appeal has been made by the
21.5 attending dentist, enrollee, or designee.

21.6 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
21.7 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

21.8 Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 4a, is amended to read:

21.9 Subd. 4a. **Chiropractic review.** A chiropractor must review all cases in which the
21.10 utilization review organization has concluded that ~~a an adverse~~ determination ~~not to certify~~
21.11 ~~for a chiropractic service or procedure for clinical reasons is appropriate and an appeal has~~
21.12 ~~been made by the attending chiropractor, enrollee, or designee.~~

21.13 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
21.14 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

21.15 Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

21.16 Subd. 5. **Written clinical criteria.** A utilization review organization's decisions must
21.17 be supported by written clinical criteria and review procedures. Clinical criteria and review
21.18 procedures must be established with appropriate involvement from actively practicing
21.19 physicians. A utilization review organization must use written clinical criteria, as required,
21.20 for determining the appropriateness of the ~~certification~~ authorization request. The utilization
21.21 review organization must have a procedure for ensuring, at a minimum, the annual evaluation
21.22 and updating of the written criteria based on sound clinical principles.

21.23 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
21.24 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

21.12 in accordance with the requirements of section 62M.05 may elect to provide notification of
21.13 a determination to continue coverage through facsimile or mail.

21.14 (d) This subdivision does not apply to determinations made in connection with policies
21.15 issued by a health plan company that is assessed less than three percent of the total amount
21.16 assessed by the Minnesota Comprehensive Health Association.

21.17 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
21.18 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

21.19 Sec. 12. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

21.20 Subd. 4. **Dentist plan reviews.** A dentist must review all cases in which the utilization
21.21 review organization has concluded that ~~a an adverse~~ determination ~~not to certify~~ for a dental
21.22 service or procedure for clinical reasons is appropriate and an appeal has been made by the
21.23 attending dentist, enrollee, or designee.

21.24 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
21.25 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

21.26 Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 4a, is amended to read:

21.27 Subd. 4a. **Chiropractic review.** A chiropractor must review all cases in which the
21.28 utilization review organization has concluded that ~~a an adverse~~ determination ~~not to certify~~
21.29 ~~for a chiropractic service or procedure for clinical reasons is appropriate and an appeal has~~
21.30 ~~been made by the attending chiropractor, enrollee, or designee.~~

21.31 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
21.32 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

22.1 Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.09, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

22.2 Subd. 5. **Written clinical criteria.** A utilization review organization's decisions must
22.3 be supported by written clinical criteria and review procedures. Clinical criteria and review
22.4 procedures must be established with appropriate involvement from actively practicing
22.5 physicians. A utilization review organization must use written clinical criteria, as required,
22.6 for determining the appropriateness of the ~~certification~~ authorization request. The utilization
22.7 review organization must have a procedure for ensuring, at a minimum, the annual evaluation
22.8 and updating of the written criteria based on sound clinical principles.

22.9 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
22.10 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

21.25 Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.11, is amended to read:

21.26 **62M.11 COMPLAINTS TO COMMERCE OR HEALTH.**

21.27 Notwithstanding the provisions of ~~sections 62M.01 to 62M.16~~ this chapter, an enrollee
21.28 may file a complaint regarding ~~a~~ an adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ directly to the
21.29 commissioner responsible for regulating the utilization review organization.

21.30 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
21.31 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

22.1 Sec. 16. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.12, is amended to read:

22.2 **62M.12 PROHIBITION OF INAPPROPRIATE INCENTIVES.**

22.3 No individual who is performing utilization review may receive any financial incentive
22.4 based on the number of ~~denials of certifications~~ adverse determinations made by such
22.5 individual, provided that utilization review organizations may establish medically appropriate
22.6 performance standards. This prohibition does not apply to financial incentives established
22.7 between health plan companies and providers.

22.8 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
22.9 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

22.10 Sec. 17. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62Q.71, is amended to read:

22.11 **62Q.71 NOTICE TO ENROLLEES.**

22.12 Each health plan company shall provide to enrollees a clear and concise description of
22.13 its complaint resolution procedure, if applicable under section 62Q.68, subdivision 1, and
22.14 the procedure used for utilization review as defined under chapter 62M as part of the member
22.15 handbook, subscriber contract, or certificate of coverage. If the health plan company does
22.16 not issue a member handbook, the health plan company may provide the description in
22.17 another written document. The description must specifically inform enrollees:

22.18 (1) how to submit a complaint to the health plan company;

22.19 (2) if the health plan includes utilization review requirements, how to notify the utilization
22.20 review organization in a timely manner and how to obtain ~~certification~~ authorization for
22.21 health care services;

22.22 (3) how to request an appeal either through the procedures described in section 62Q.70,
22.23 if applicable, or through the procedures described in chapter 62M;

22.24 (4) of the right to file a complaint with either the commissioner of health or commerce
22.25 at any time during the complaint and appeal process;

22.26 (5) of the toll-free telephone number of the appropriate commissioner; and

22.11 Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.11, is amended to read:

22.12 **62M.11 COMPLAINTS TO COMMERCE OR HEALTH.**

22.13 Notwithstanding the provisions of ~~sections 62M.01 to 62M.16~~ this chapter, an enrollee
22.14 may file a complaint regarding ~~a~~ an adverse determination ~~not to certify~~ directly to the
22.15 commissioner responsible for regulating the utilization review organization.

22.16 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
22.17 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

22.18 Sec. 16. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62M.12, is amended to read:

22.19 **62M.12 PROHIBITION OF INAPPROPRIATE INCENTIVES.**

22.20 No individual who is performing utilization review may receive any financial incentive
22.21 based on the number of ~~denials of certifications~~ adverse determinations made by such
22.22 individual, provided that utilization review organizations may establish medically appropriate
22.23 performance standards. This prohibition does not apply to financial incentives established
22.24 between health plan companies and providers.

22.25 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
22.26 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

22.27 Sec. 17. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62Q.71, is amended to read:

22.28 **62Q.71 NOTICE TO ENROLLEES.**

22.29 Each health plan company shall provide to enrollees a clear and concise description of
22.30 its complaint resolution procedure, if applicable under section 62Q.68, subdivision 1, and
23.1 the procedure used for utilization review as defined under chapter 62M as part of the member
23.2 handbook, subscriber contract, or certificate of coverage. If the health plan company does
23.3 not issue a member handbook, the health plan company may provide the description in
23.4 another written document. The description must specifically inform enrollees:

23.5 (1) how to submit a complaint to the health plan company;

23.6 (2) if the health plan includes utilization review requirements, how to notify the utilization
23.7 review organization in a timely manner and how to obtain ~~certification~~ authorization for
23.8 health care services;

23.9 (3) how to request an appeal either through the procedures described in section 62Q.70,
23.10 if applicable, or through the procedures described in chapter 62M;

23.11 (4) of the right to file a complaint with either the commissioner of health or commerce
23.12 at any time during the complaint and appeal process;

23.13 (5) of the toll-free telephone number of the appropriate commissioner; and

22.27 (6) of the right, for individual and group coverage, to obtain an external review under
22.28 section 62Q.73 and a description of when and how that right may be exercised, including
22.29 that under most circumstances an enrollee must exhaust the internal complaint or appeal
22.30 process prior to external review. However, an enrollee may proceed to external review
22.31 without exhausting the internal complaint or appeal process under the following
22.32 circumstances:

23.1 (i) the health plan company waives the exhaustion requirement;

23.2 (ii) the health plan company is considered to have waived the exhaustion requirement
23.3 by failing to substantially comply with any requirements including, but not limited to, time
23.4 limits for internal complaints or appeals; or

23.5 (iii) the enrollee has applied for an expedited external review at the same time the enrollee
23.6 ~~qualifies for and~~ has applied for an ~~expedited~~ internal review under chapter 62M.

23.7 EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
23.8 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

23.9 Sec. 18. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62Q.73, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

23.10 Subdivision 1. **Definition.** For purposes of this section, "adverse determination" means:

23.11 (1) for individual health plans, a complaint decision relating to a health care service or
23.12 claim that is partially or wholly adverse to the complainant;

23.13 (2) an individual health plan that is grandfathered plan coverage may instead apply the
23.14 definition of adverse determination for group coverage in clause (3);

23.15 (3) for group health plans, a complaint decision relating to a health care service or claim
23.16 that has been appealed in accordance with section 62Q.70 and the appeal decision is partially
23.17 or wholly adverse to the complainant;

23.18 (4) any ~~initial~~ adverse determination ~~not to certify~~, as defined in section 62M.02,
23.19 ~~subdivision 1a~~, that has been appealed in accordance with section 62M.06 and the appeal
23.20 did not reverse the ~~initial~~ adverse determination ~~not to certify~~;

23.21 (5) a decision relating to a health care service made by a health plan company licensed
23.22 under chapter 60A that denies the service on the basis that the service was not medically
23.23 necessary; or

23.24 (6) the enrollee has met the requirements of subdivision 6, paragraph (e).

23.25 An adverse determination does not include complaints relating to fraudulent marketing
23.26 practices or agent misrepresentation.

23.14 (6) of the right, for individual and group coverage, to obtain an external review under
23.15 section 62Q.73 and a description of when and how that right may be exercised, including
23.16 that under most circumstances an enrollee must exhaust the internal complaint or appeal
23.17 process prior to external review. However, an enrollee may proceed to external review
23.18 without exhausting the internal complaint or appeal process under the following
23.19 circumstances:

23.20 (i) the health plan company waives the exhaustion requirement;

23.21 (ii) the health plan company is considered to have waived the exhaustion requirement
23.22 by failing to substantially comply with any requirements including, but not limited to, time
23.23 limits for internal complaints or appeals; or

23.24 (iii) the enrollee has applied for an expedited external review at the same time the enrollee
23.25 ~~qualifies for and~~ has applied for an ~~expedited~~ internal review under chapter 62M.

23.26 EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
23.27 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

23.28 Sec. 18. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 62Q.73, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

23.29 Subdivision 1. **Definition.** For purposes of this section, "adverse determination" means:

23.30 (1) for individual health plans, a complaint decision relating to a health care service or
23.31 claim that is partially or wholly adverse to the complainant;

24.1 (2) an individual health plan that is grandfathered plan coverage may instead apply the
24.2 definition of adverse determination for group coverage in clause (3);

24.3 (3) for group health plans, a complaint decision relating to a health care service or claim
24.4 that has been appealed in accordance with section 62Q.70 and the appeal decision is partially
24.5 or wholly adverse to the complainant;

24.6 (4) any ~~initial~~ adverse determination ~~not to certify~~, as defined in section 62M.02,
24.7 ~~subdivision 1a~~, that has been appealed in accordance with section 62M.06 and the appeal
24.8 did not reverse the ~~initial~~ adverse determination ~~not to certify~~;

24.9 (5) a decision relating to a health care service made by a health plan company licensed
24.10 under chapter 60A that denies the service on the basis that the service was not medically
24.11 necessary; or

24.12 (6) the enrollee has met the requirements of subdivision 6, paragraph (e).

24.13 An adverse determination does not include complaints relating to fraudulent marketing
24.14 practices or agent misrepresentation.

- 23.27 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 23.28 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.
- 24.1 Sec. 19. **REVISOR INSTRUCTIONS.**
- 24.2 (a) In Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62M, the revisor of statutes shall replace references
 24.3 to "sections 62M.01 to 62M.16" with "this chapter." In Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.692,
 24.4 subdivision 2, the revisor of statutes shall replace a reference to "sections 62M.01 to 62M.16"
 24.5 with "chapter 62M." The revisor shall make any necessary technical and conforming changes
 24.6 to sentence structure to preserve the meaning of the text.
- 24.7 (b) The revisor of statutes shall replace the term "DETERMINATIONS NOT TO
 24.8 CERTIFY" with "ADVERSE DETERMINATIONS" in the section headnote for Minnesota
 24.9 Statutes, section 62M.06.
- 24.10 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** Paragraph (a) is effective August 1, 2020. Paragraph (b) is effective
 24.11 January 1, 2021.

- 24.15 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021, and applies to health
 24.16 plans offered, sold, issued, or renewed on or after that date.

- 24.17 Sec. 19. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 256B.692, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- 24.18 Subd. 2. **Duties of commissioner of health.** (a) Notwithstanding chapters 62D and 62N,
 24.19 a county that elects to purchase medical assistance in return for a fixed sum without regard
 24.20 to the frequency or extent of services furnished to any particular enrollee is not required to
 24.21 obtain a certificate of authority under chapter 62D or 62N. The county board of
 24.22 commissioners is the governing body of a county-based purchasing program. In a multicounty
 24.23 arrangement, the governing body is a joint powers board established under section 471.59.
- 24.24 (b) A county that elects to purchase medical assistance services under this section must
 24.25 satisfy the commissioner of health that the requirements for assurance of consumer protection,
 24.26 provider protection, and fiscal solvency of chapter 62D, applicable to health maintenance
 24.27 organizations will be met according to the following schedule:
- 24.28 (1) for a county-based purchasing plan approved on or before June 30, 2008, the plan
 24.29 must have in reserve:
- 24.30 (i) at least 50 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D as of January
 24.31 1, 2010;
- 25.1 (ii) at least 75 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D as of January
 25.2 1, 2011;
- 25.3 (iii) at least 87.5 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D as of
 25.4 January 1, 2012; and
- 25.5 (iv) at least 100 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D as of January
 25.6 1, 2013; and

- 25.7 (2) for a county-based purchasing plan first approved after June 30, 2008, the plan must
 25.8 have in reserve:
- 25.9 (i) at least 50 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D at the time
 25.10 the plan begins enrolling enrollees;
- 25.11 (ii) at least 75 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D after the first
 25.12 full calendar year;
- 25.13 (iii) at least 87.5 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D after the
 25.14 second full calendar year; and
- 25.15 (iv) at least 100 percent of the minimum amount required under chapter 62D after the
 25.16 third full calendar year.
- 25.17 (c) Until a plan is required to have reserves equaling at least 100 percent of the minimum
 25.18 amount required under chapter 62D, the plan may demonstrate its ability to cover any losses
 25.19 by satisfying the requirements of chapter 62N. A county-based purchasing plan must also
 25.20 assure the commissioner of health that the requirements of sections 62J.041; 62J.48; 62J.71
 25.21 to 62J.73; ~~62M.01 to 62M.16~~; all applicable provisions of chapter 62Q, including sections
 25.22 62Q.075; 62Q.1055; 62Q.106; 62Q.12; 62Q.135; 62Q.14; 62Q.145; 62Q.19; 62Q.23,
 25.23 paragraph (c); 62Q.43; 62Q.47; 62Q.50; 62Q.52 to 62Q.56; 62Q.58; 62Q.68 to 62Q.72;
 25.24 and 72A.201 will be met.
- 25.25 (d) All enforcement and rulemaking powers available under chapters 62D, 62J, ~~62M~~,
 25.26 62N, and 62Q are hereby granted to the commissioner of health with respect to counties
 25.27 that purchase medical assistance services under this section.
- 25.28 (e) The commissioner, in consultation with county government, shall develop
 25.29 administrative and financial reporting requirements for county-based purchasing programs
 25.30 relating to sections 62D.041, 62D.042, 62D.045, 62D.08, 62N.28, 62N.29, and 62N.31,
 25.31 and other sections as necessary, that are specific to county administrative, accounting, and
 25.32 reporting systems and consistent with other statutory requirements of counties.
- 26.1 (f) The commissioner shall collect from a county-based purchasing plan under this
 26.2 section the following fees:
- 26.3 (1) fees attributable to the costs of audits and other examinations of plan financial
 26.4 operations. These fees are subject to the provisions of Minnesota Rules, part 4685.2800,
 26.5 subpart 1, item F; and
- 26.6 (2) an annual fee of \$21,500, to be paid by June 15 of each calendar year.
- 26.7 All fees collected under this paragraph shall be deposited in the state government special
 26.8 revenue fund.

- 26.9 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective January 1, 2021.
- 26.10 Sec. 20. **REVISOR INSTRUCTIONS.**
- 26.11 (a) In Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62M, the revisor of statutes shall replace references
- 26.12 to "sections 62M.01 to 62M.16" with "this chapter." The revisor shall make any necessary
- 26.13 technical and conforming changes to sentence structure to preserve the meaning of the text.
- 26.14 (b) The revisor of statutes shall replace the term "DETERMINATIONS NOT TO
- 26.15 CERTIFY" with "ADVERSE DETERMINATIONS" in the section headnote for Minnesota
- 26.16 Statutes, section 62M.06.
- 26.17 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** Paragraph (a) is effective August 1, 2020. Paragraph (b) is effective
- 26.18 January 1, 2021.