

S.F. No. 3297 and H.F. No. 3838, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be not identical.

The following document shows the differences between S.F. No. 3297, the first engrossment, and H.F. No. 3838, as introduced.

May 16, 2018

Patrick D. Murphy
Chief Clerk, House of Representatives

Explanation of Comparison Reports

When a Senate File is received from the Senate, it is given its first reading and must be referred to the appropriate standing committee or division under Rule 1.11.

But if the House File companion of that Senate File has already been reported out of Committee and given its second reading and is on the General Register, the Senate File must be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison pursuant to Rule 1.15.

The Chief Clerk reports whether the bills were found to be identical or not identical. Once the bills have been compared and the differences have been reported, the Senate File is given its second reading and is substituted for the House File. The House File is then considered withdrawn.

Pursuant to rule 3.33, if the bills are not identical and the chief author of the bill wishes to use the House language, the chief author must give notice of their intent to substitute the House language when the bill is placed on the Calendar for the Day or the Fiscal Calendar. If the chief author of the bill wishes to keep the Senate language, no action is required.

1.1 A bill for an act

1.2 relating to local government; authorizing the city of St. Paul to use a design-build

1.3 process for a public works project.

1.4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.5 Section 1. **AUTHORIZATION.**

1.6 Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 471.345, or any other law to the contrary,

1.7 the city of St. Paul or the St. Paul Board of Water Commissioners may solicit and award a

1.8 design-build or construction manager at risk contract for the project titled "McCarron's

1.9 Treatment Process Improvements" on the basis of a best value selection process.

1.10 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment.

1.1 A bill for an act

1.2 relating to local government; authorizing the city of St. Paul to use a design-build

1.3 process for a public works project.

1.4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.5 Section 1. **AUTHORIZATION.**

1.6 Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 471.345, or any other law to the contrary,

1.7 the city of St. Paul or the St. Paul Board of Water Commissioners may solicit and award a

1.8 design-build or construction manager at risk contract for the project titled "McCarron's

1.9 Treatment Process Improvements" on the basis of a best value selection process. The city

1.10 or board must consider at least three proposals when awarding a design-build contract under

1.11 this section.

1.12 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment.