

A bill for an act

relating to transportation; modifying provisions related to design-build project requests for proposals, scoring, project awards, protests, and stipulated fees; modifying provisions relating to Technical Review Committee; amending Minnesota Statutes 2006, sections 13.72, subdivision 11; 161.3420, subdivisions 2, 3, 4; 161.3422; 161.3426, subdivisions 1, 3, 4; repealing Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3426, subdivision 2.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 13.72, subdivision 11, is amended to read:

Subd. 11. **Design-build transportation project.** When the Department of Transportation undertakes a design-build transportation project as defined in section 161.3410, subdivision 6, the statement of qualification evaluation criteria and scoring methodology, statement of qualification evaluations, technical proposal evaluation criteria and scoring methodology, ~~and~~ technical proposal evaluations, and audio recordings of meetings with proposers are classified as protected nonpublic data with regard to data not on individuals and as confidential data on individuals. The statement of qualification evaluation criteria and scoring methodology and statement of qualification evaluations are public when the Department of Transportation announces the short list of qualified contractors. The technical proposal evaluation criteria, scoring methodology, ~~and~~ technical proposal evaluations, and audio recordings of meetings with proposers are public when the project is awarded.

Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3420, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. **Technical Review Committee.** During the phase-one request for qualifications (RFQ) and before solicitation, the commissioner shall appoint a Technical

H.F. No. 3301, 1st Engrossment - 2007-2008th Legislative Session (2007-2008)

Review Committee of at least five individuals. The Technical Review Committee must include an individual whose name and qualifications are submitted to the commissioner by the Minnesota chapter of the Associated General Contractors, after consultation with other commercial contractor associations in the state. Members of the Technical Review Committee who are not state employees are subject to the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act and section 16C.06 to the same extent that state agencies are subject to those provisions. The commissioner shall pay reasonable compensation to Technical Review Committee members who are not public employees for their services. A minimum of two state employees on the Technical Review Committee must be at the level of senior administrative engineer or above. A Technical Review Committee member may not participate in the review or discussion of responses to an RFQ or request for proposals (RFP) when the member has a financial interest in any of the design-build firms that respond to that RFQ or RFP. "Financial interest" includes, but is not limited to, being or serving as an owner, employee, partner, limited liability partner, shareholder, joint venturer, family member, officer, or director of a design-build firm responding to an RFQ or RFP for a specific project, or having any other economic interest in that design-build firm. The members of the Technical Review Committee must be treated as state employees in the event of litigation resulting from any action arising out of their service on the committee. The commissioner shall create an audio recording of each meeting that is scheduled or described in the RFP with a proposer.

Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3420, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. **Contents.** The commissioner shall prepare or have prepared an RFQ. The RFQ must include the following:

(1) the minimum qualifications of design-builders necessary to meet the requirements for acceptance;

(2) a scope of work statement and schedule;

(3) documents defining the project requirements;

(4) the form of contract to be awarded;

(5) the weighted selection criteria for compiling a short list and the number of firms to be included in the short list, which must be at least two but not more than five;

(6) a description of the request for proposals (RFP) requirements;

(7) the maximum time allowed for design and construction;

(8) the commissioner's estimated cost of design and construction;

(9) requirements for construction experience, design experience, financial, personnel, and equipment resources available from potential design-builders for the

project and experience in other design-build transportation projects or similar projects, provided that these requirements may not unduly restrict competition; and

(10) a statement that "past performance," or "experience," or other criteria used in the RFQ evaluation process does not include the exercise or assertion of a person's legal rights.

Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3420, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

Subd. 4. **Evaluation.** The ~~selection team~~ Technical Review Committee shall evaluate the design-build qualifications of responding firms and shall compile a short list of no more than five most highly qualified firms in accordance with qualifications criteria described in the request for qualifications (RFQ). If only one design-build firm responds to the RFQ or remains on the short list, the commissioner may readvertise or cancel the project as the commissioner deems necessary.

Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3422, is amended to read:

161.3422 RFP FOR DESIGN-BUILD.

During phase two, the commissioner shall issue a request for proposals (RFP) to the design-builders on the short list. The request must include:

(1) the scope of work, including (i) performance and technical requirements, (ii) conceptual design, (iii) specifications, and (iv) functional and operational elements for the delivery of the completed project, which must be prepared by a registered or licensed professional engineer;

(2) a description of the qualifications required of the design-builder and the selection criteria, including the weight ~~or relative order, or both,~~ of each criterion and subcriterion;

(3) copies of the contract documents that the successful proposer will be expected to sign;

(4) the maximum time allowable for design and construction;

(5) the road authority's estimated cost of design and construction;

(6) the requirement that a submitted proposal be segmented into two parts, a technical proposal and a price proposal;

(7) the requirement that each proposal be in a separately sealed, clearly identified package and include the date and time of the submittal deadline;

(8) the requirement that the technical proposal include a critical path method; bar schedule of the work to be performed, or similar schematic; design plans and specifications; technical reports; calculations; permit requirements; applicable development fees; and other data requested in the RFP;

(9) the requirement that the price proposal contain all design, construction, engineering, inspection, and construction costs of the proposed project;

(10) the date, time, and location of the public opening of the sealed price proposals;

~~and~~

(11) other information relevant to the project; and

(12) a statement that "past performance," "experience," or other criteria used in the RFP evaluation process does not include the exercise or assertion of a person's legal rights.

Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3426, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. **Award; computation; announcement.** Except as provided in subdivision 2, a design-build contract shall be awarded as follows:

(a) The Technical Review Committee shall score the technical proposals using the selection criteria in the request for proposals (RFP). The Technical Review Committee shall then submit a technical proposal score for each design-builder to the commissioner. The Technical Review Committee shall reject any ~~proposal it deems~~ nonresponsive proposal.

(b) The commissioner shall announce the technical proposal score for each design-builder and shall publicly open the sealed price proposals and shall divide each design-builder's price by the technical score that the Technical Review Committee has given to it to obtain an adjusted score. The design-builder selected must be that responsive and responsible design-builder whose adjusted score is the lowest score that does not exceed 110 percent of the lowest adjusted price, within the meaning of paragraph (c), that is submitted by a responsive, responsible design-builder.

(c) If a time factor is included with the selection criteria in the RFP package, the commissioner ~~may also adjust the bids using a~~ shall include the value of the time factor established by the commissioner as a criterion within the RFP. ~~The value of the time factor must be expressed as a value per day. The adjustment must be based on the total time value. The total time value is the design-builder's total number of days to complete the project multiplied by the factor. The time-adjusted price is the total time value plus the bid amount. This time adjustment to the bids must be used for selection purposes only, and must not affect the Department of Transportation's liquidated damages schedule or incentive or disincentive program. An adjusted score must then be obtained by dividing each design-builder's time-adjusted price by the score given by the technical review team. The commissioner shall select the responsive and responsible design-builder whose adjusted score is the lowest.~~

(d) Unless all proposals are rejected, the commissioner shall award the contract to the responsive and responsible design-builder with the lowest adjusted score. The commissioner shall reserve the right to reject all proposals.

(e) The commissioner shall not limit the ability of design-builders that have submitted proposals to protest a contemplated or actual award by the commissioner by, among other things, unreasonably restricting the time to protest, restricting the right to seek judicial review of the commissioner's actions, attempting to change the judicial standard of review, or attempting to shift the commissioner's costs or damages from a protest to a protestor. The commissioner shall wait at least seven days after both the award of the project and public disclosure of the Technical Review Committee's scoring data and the successful proposal before executing a contract for the project.

Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3426, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. **Stipulated fee.** The commissioner shall award a stipulated fee not less than two-tenths of one percent of the department's estimated cost of design and construction to each short-listed, responsible proposer who provides a responsive but unsuccessful proposal. Any increases to the stipulated fee must be made only by the commissioner and the reasons for those changes must be publicly announced at the time of the change. If the commissioner does not award a contract, all short-listed proposers must receive the stipulated fee. If the commissioner cancels the contract before reviewing the technical proposals, the commissioner shall award each design-builder on the short list a stipulated fee of not less than two-tenths of one percent of the commissioner's estimated cost of design and construction. The commissioner shall pay the stipulated fee to each proposer within 90 days after the award of the contract or the decision not to award a contract without conditions other than those stated in this subdivision. In consideration for paying the stipulated fee, the commissioner may use any ideas or information contained in the proposals in connection with any contract awarded for the project or in connection with a subsequent procurement, without any obligation to pay any additional compensation to the unsuccessful proposers. Notwithstanding the other provisions of this subdivision, an unsuccessful short-list proposer may elect to waive the stipulated fee. If an unsuccessful short-list proposer elects to waive the stipulated fee, the commissioner may not use ideas and information contained in that proposer's proposal. Upon the request of the commissioner, a proposer who waived a stipulated fee may withdraw the waiver, in which case the commissioner shall pay the stipulated fee to the proposer and thereafter may use ideas and information in the proposer's proposal.

H.F. No. 3301, 1st Engrossment - 2007-2008th Legislative Session (2007-2008)

Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3426, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

Subd. 4. **Low-bid design-build process.** (a) The commissioner may also use low-bid, design-build procedures to award a design-build contract where the scope of the work can be clearly defined.

(b) Low-bid design-build projects may require a request for qualifications (RFQ) and short-listing, and must require a request for proposals (RFP).

(c) Submitted proposals under this subdivision must include separately a technical proposal and a price proposal. The low-bid, design-build procedures must follow a two-step process for review of the responses to the RFP as follows:

(1) The first step is the review of the technical proposal by the Technical Review Committee as provided in section 161.3420, subdivision 2. The Technical Review Committee must open the technical proposal first and must determine if it complies with the requirements of the RFP and is responsive. The Technical Review Committee shall reject any nonresponsive proposal. The Technical Review Committee may not perform any ranking or scoring of the technical proposals.

(2) The second step is the determination of the low bidder based on the price proposal. The commissioner may not open the price proposal until the review of the technical proposal is complete.

(d) The contract award under low-bid, design-build procedures must be made to the proposer whose sealed bid is responsive to the technical requirements ~~as determined by the Technical Review Committee~~ and that is also the lowest bid.

(e) A stipulated fee may be paid for unsuccessful bids on low-bid, design-build projects only when the commissioner has required an RFQ and short-listed the most highly qualified responsive bidders.

EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective the day following final enactment.

Sec. 9. **REPEALER.**

Minnesota Statutes 2006, section 161.3426, subdivision 2, is repealed.

APPENDIX
Repealed Minnesota Statutes: H3301-1

161.3426 DESIGN-BUILD AWARD.

Subd. 2. **Alternative process for certain contracts.** (a) The commissioner may elect to use the process in paragraph (b) for a design-build contract for a project with an estimated project cost of less than \$5,000,000.

(b) The commissioner shall give the lowest cost proposal the full number of price points defined in the request for proposals (RFP). The commissioner shall award each of the other proposals a percentage of the price points based on a ratio of the lowest price divided by the responder's price. The commissioner shall add the technical score and price score and award the contract to the responder with the highest total score.