This Document can be made available

in alternative formats upon request

REVISOR

16-6389

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 3524 H. F. No. EIGHTY-NINTH SESSION

03/23/2016

Authored by Hertaus, Lesch, Newberger and Lohmer The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Public Safety and Crime Prevention Policy and Finance

| 1.1 | A bill for an act | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1.2 | relating to public safety; including question of prescribed controlled substances | | | | |
| 1.3 | in review hearing of driver's license revocation; amending Minnesota Statutes | | | | |
| 1.4 | 2015 Supplement, section 169A.53, subdivision 3. | | | | |
| 1.5 | BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1.6 | Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, section 169A.53, subdivision 3, | | | | |
| 1.7 | is amended to read: | | | | |
| 1.8 | Subd. 3. Judicial hearing; issues, order, appeal. (a) A judicial review hearing | | | | |
| 1.9 | under this section must be before a district judge in any county in the judicial district | | | | |
| 1.10 | where the alleged offense occurred. The hearing is to the court and may be conducted at | | | | |
| 1.11 | the same time and in the same manner as hearings upon pretrial motions in the criminal | | | | |
| 1.12 | prosecution under section 169A.20 (driving while impaired), if any. The hearing must be | | | | |
| 1.13 | recorded. The commissioner shall appear and be represented by the attorney general or | | | | |
| 1.14 | through the prosecuting authority for the jurisdiction involved. The hearing must be held | | | | |
| 1.15 | at the earliest practicable date, and in any event no later than 60 days following the filing | | | | |
| 1.16 | of the petition for review. The judicial district administrator shall establish procedures to | | | | |
| 1.17 | ensure efficient compliance with this subdivision. To accomplish this, the administrator | | | | |
| 1.18 | may, whenever possible, consolidate and transfer review hearings among the locations | | | | |
| 1.19 | within the judicial district where terms of district court are held. | | | | |
| 1.20 | (b) The scope of the hearing is limited to the issues in clauses (1) to (11): | | | | |
| 1.21 | (1) Did the peace officer have probable cause to believe the person was driving, | | | | |
| 1.22 | operating, or in physical control of a motor vehicle or commercial motor vehicle in | | | | |
| 1.23 | violation of section 169A.20 (driving while impaired)? | | | | |
| 1.24 | (2) Was the person lawfully placed under arrest for violation of section 169A.20? | | | | |

1

03/08/16

KLL/JH

| 2.1 | (3) Was the person involved in a motor vehicle accident or collision resulting in |
|------|---|
| 2.2 | property damage, personal injury, or death? |
| 2.3 | (4) Did the person refuse to take a screening test provided for by section 169A.41 |
| 2.4 | (preliminary screening test)? |
| 2.5 | (5) If the screening test was administered, did the test indicate an alcohol |
| 2.6 | concentration of 0.08 or more? |
| 2.7 | (6) At the time of the request for the test, did the peace officer inform the person |
| 2.8 | of the person's rights and the consequences of taking or refusing the test as required by |
| 2.9 | section 169A.51, subdivision 2? |
| 2.10 | (7) Did the person refuse to permit the test? |
| 2.11 | (8) If a test was taken by a person driving, operating, or in physical control of a |
| 2.12 | motor vehicle, did the test results indicate at the time of testing: |
| 2.13 | (i) an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more; or |
| 2.14 | (ii) the presence of a controlled substance listed in Schedule I or II or its metabolite, |
| 2.15 | other than marijuana or tetrahydrocannabinols, unless the person proves the controlled |
| 2.16 | substance was dispensed according to the terms of a prescription issued for that person |
| 2.17 | according to sections 152.11 and 152.12? |
| 2.18 | (9) If a test was taken by a person driving, operating, or in physical control of a |
| 2.19 | commercial motor vehicle, did the test results indicate an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or |
| 2.20 | more at the time of testing? |
| 2.21 | (10) Was the testing method used valid and reliable and were the test results |
| 2.22 | accurately evaluated? |
| 2.23 | (11) Did the person prove the defense of necessity? |
| 2.24 | (c) It is an affirmative defense for the petitioner to prove that, at the time of the |
| 2.25 | refusal, the petitioner's refusal to permit the test was based upon reasonable grounds. |
| 2.26 | (d) Certified or otherwise authenticated copies of laboratory or medical personnel |
| 2.27 | reports, records, documents, licenses, and certificates are admissible as substantive |
| 2.28 | evidence. |
| 2.29 | (e) The court shall order that the revocation or disqualification be either rescinded or |
| 2.30 | sustained and forward the order to the commissioner. The court shall file its order within 14 |
| 2.31 | days following the hearing. If the revocation or disqualification is sustained, the court shall |
| 2.32 | also forward the person's driver's license or permit to the commissioner for further action by |
| 2.33 | the commissioner if the license or permit is not already in the commissioner's possession. |
| 2.34 | (f) Any party aggrieved by the decision of the reviewing court may appeal the |
| 2.35 | decision as provided in the Rules of Appellate Procedure. |
| | |

2

| (| 03/08/16 | REVISOR | KLL/JH | 16-6389 |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| 3.1 (g) The civil hearing under th | | his section shall not gi | ve rise to an estoppe | el on any |

- 3.2 issues arising from the same set of circumstances in any criminal prosecution.
- 3.3 (h) It is an affirmative defense for the petitioner to prove a necessity.