HF3035

UEH3035-1

SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA NINETY-SECOND SESSION

KRB

H.F. No. 3035

(SENATE AUTHORS: HORNSTEIN, Petersburg, Albright, Hertaus, Nash and Boe)DATED-PGOFFICIAL STATUS03/07/20225212Received from House5212Introduction and first reading
Referred to for comparison with SF267603/09/20225249aComm report: Rule 45-amend, subst. General Orders SF267603/09/20225328aSpecial Order: Amended5329Third reading Passed
Presentment date 03/24/20225630Secretary of State Chapter 39 03/24/2022

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2 1.3	relating to transit; requiring the legislative auditor to conduct a special review or program evaluation of the Southwest light rail transit project; appropriating money.
1.4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.5	Section 1. SOUTHWEST LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT PROJECT REVIEW OR
1.6	EVALUATION.
1.7	(a) The legislative auditor must conduct a special review, program evaluation, or a
1.8	combination of the two, of the Southwest light rail transit project.
1.9	(b) If the Legislative Audit Commission selects the Southwest light rail transit project
1.10	for a program evaluation, the legislative auditor is encouraged to include examination of:
1.11	the primary reasons for delays and cost increases in the project; whether the Metropolitan
1.12	Council properly managed the project's schedule and costs; and whether there was sufficient
1.13	Metropolitan Council scrutiny of the route decisions and design choices that have
1.14	subsequently required substantive changes to project costs or plans.
1.15	(c) As part of a special review or program evaluation of the Southwest light rail transit
1.16	project, the legislative auditor is encouraged to:
1.17	(1) evaluate whether current practices on project cost estimating, contracting, negotiations,
1.18	management processes, and hiring result in cost overruns or cause schedule delays;
1.19	(2) evaluate whether the Metropolitan Council's posting, interviewing, and hiring process
1.20	for internal staff resulted in qualified and competent project management personnel;
1.21	(3) evaluate whether the current practices on reviewing change orders, determining fair
1.22	pricing, and establishing credits for lump-sum bid prices are reasonable and appropriate;

1

Section 1.

	HF3035	REVISOR	KRB	UEH3035-1	1st Engrossment	
2.1	(4) identify all changes to the project schedule and evaluate whether the changes were					
2.2	reasonable a	and appropriate and in	npacted the pr	oject completion date;		
2.3	(5) evalu	ate whether current r	practices on rec	uiring, monitoring, and	assuring quality of	
2.4		(5) evaluate whether current practices on requiring, monitoring, and assuring quality of construction and materials is sufficient;				
2.5	(6) evalu	ate whether the meth	odology used b	by the Metropolitan Cour	cil to calculate the	
2.6	<u>. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>			* *		
2.7	requests for additional public funding for the project is reasonable, appropriate, and aligned with standard engineering practice;				, <u> </u>	
2.8	(7) evaluate whether the use of contingency funds is reasonable and appropriate;					
2.9	(8) deter	mine the balance in t	he contingency	/ fund;		
	<u> </u>				Ilongonin County	
2.10 2.11		gional partners;		g currently committed by	Hennepin County	
2.12	<u></u>	•		ennepin County if the Mo	•	
2.13	requests add	nuonai lunding irom	the county bey	ond the existing conting	gency lunding;	
2.14	(11) determine the revised total project budget and analyze the level of financial risk of					
2.15	any further of	changes to the project	<u>t;</u>			
2.16	<u>(12) dete</u>	ermine the current over	erall project tir	neline and any specific o	leadlines or	
2.17				d schedule changes, and	determine whether	
2.18	project chan	ges are on a critical p	oath;			
2.19	<u>(13) eval</u>	luate whether current	penalties for r	nissed deadlines or benc	hmarks are	
2.20	appropriate and, where applicable, if they have been imposed for previously missed deadlines					
2.21	or benchmarks;					
2.22	<u>(14) mak</u>	te recommendations	on how the Me	etropolitan Council and H	Hennepin County	
2.23	can best avo	oid additional cost over	erruns, minimi	ze delays, manage risks,	assure sufficient	
2.24	construction quality, effectively address further changes, and increase public transparency					
2.25	about the cu	rrent cost and schedu	ile for the proje	ect's completion;		
2.26	<u>(15) revi</u>	ew the January 2022	settlement bet	ween the Metropolitan C	Council and the	
2.27	project's pri	me construction contr	ractor and eval	uate whether the settlem	ent was necessary	
2.28	and appropr	iate;				
2.29	(16) eval	luate whether the Me	tropolitan Cou	ncil, vendors, and contra	actors are adhering	
2.30	to established safety standards, practices, and protocols in construction, emergency response,					
2.31	operations, and maintenance; and					
2.32	<u>(17) perf</u>	form a cost-benefit an	alysis of the p	roject.		

Section 1.

2

	HF3035	REVISOR	KRB	UEH3035-1	1st Engrossment	
3.1	(d) The N	/letropolitan Council	must not requi	re any vendor or contra	ctor to notify the	
3.2	council of any requests or inquiries received by the vendor or contractor from the legislative					
3.3	auditor pursuant to this section. The Metropolitan Council must not require any vendor or					
3.4	contractor to	provide to the cound	cil information	the vendor or contractor	r provided to the	
3.5	legislative auditor pursuant to this section.					
3.6	(e) The Metropolitan Council must not discharge, discipline, threaten, otherwise					
3.7	discriminate against, or penalize an employee of the council regarding the employee's					
3.8	compensation, terms, conditions, location, or privileges of employment because the employee					
3.9	participated in a special review or program evaluation described by this section. The					
3.10	protections provided under this paragraph are in addition to any remedies or employee					
3.11	protections otherwise provided by law.					
3.12	(f) The Metropolitan Council must not request or require the reassignment or removal					
3.13	of an employee of a contractor or vendor solely based on that employee's participation in					
3.14	the review de	escribed by this secti	ion. The Metro	politan Council must no	t retaliate or take	
3.15	adverse action against a vendor or contractor based solely on the fact that an employee of					
3.16	the vendor or contractor participated in a special review or program evaluation described					
3.17	by this section	<u>on.</u>				
3.18	<u>EFFEC1</u>	IVE DATE. This se	ection is effecti	ve the day following fin	al enactment.	
3.19	Sec. 2. SO	UTHWEST LIGHT	Γ RAIL TRAN	ISIT; EXPENDITURE	CS AND	
3.20	SCHEDULI	<u> </u>				
3.21	(a) Annua	ally by January 1 and	d July 1, the Mo	etropolitan Council mus	t provide status	
3.22	updates on th	e Southwest light rai	l transit project	to the chairs and ranking	minority members	
3.23	of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance. Each					
3.24	status update must include:					
3.25	<u>(1) total e</u>	expenditures on the p	project during t	he previous six months	as compared to	
3.26	projections;					
3.27	<u>(2) total e</u>	expenditures on the p	project anticipa	ted over the next six mo	nths; and	
3.28	<u>(3) any cl</u>	hange in the date of a	anticipated proj	ect completion.		
3.29	<u>(b)</u> The M	Ietropolitan Council	must notify the	e chairs and ranking min	nority members of	
3.30	the legislativ	e committees with ju	urisdiction over	transportation policy a	nd finance within	
	1 1	1 0 1	1	1 /		

3.31 seven calendar days of making a determination that:

	HF3035	REVISOR	KRB	UEH3035-1	1st Engrossment
4.1	(1) the a	nticipated Southwest	light rail projec	t completion date is dela	yed by six months
4.2	<u>~ </u>	•		etermined as of the effe	
4.3	section;				
4.4	(2) the a	nticipated Southwest	light rail projec	t completion date is dela	yed by six months
4.5	or more bey	ond the most recent e	estimated comp	letion date;	
4.6	(3) the to	otal Southwest light r	ail project cost	is anticipated to increas	e by five percent
4.7	or more abc	we the project cost es	timate determir	ed as of the effective d	ate of this section;
4.8	or				
4.9	(4) the to	otal Southwest light r	ail project cost	is anticipated to increas	e by five percent
4.10	or more abo	ove the most recent co	ost estimate.		
4.11	Sec. 3. <u>AI</u>	PROPRIATION.			
4.12	\$200,00	0 in fiscal year 2022 i	is appropriated	from the general fund to	o the legislative
4.13	auditor for t	he purposes of any sp	pecial review or	program evaluation rel	ated to the
4.14	Metropolita	n Council or to light	rail construction	n, including hiring addit	tional staff or
4.15	contracting	with any necessary th	ird parties. This	is a onetime appropriati	on and is available
4.16	until June 3	0, 2025.			
4.17	EFFEC	TIVE DATE. This se	ection is effective	ve the day following fin	al enactment.