01/31/14 REVISOR XX/DM 14-4446 as introduced

SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA EIGHTY-EIGHTH SESSION

A bill for an act

relating to public safety; providing for accreditation of forensic laboratories;

S.F. No. 1725

(SENATE AUTHORS: LATZ and Goodwin)

1.11.2

1.24

DATED-PGOFFICIAL STATUS02/25/20145816Introduction and first reading Referred to Judiciary02/27/20145900Author added Goodwin03/03/2014Comm report: To pass as amended Second reading

1.3	proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 299C.
1.4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.5	Section 1. [299C.157] FORENSIC LABORATORIES; ACCREDITATION.
1.6	Subdivision 1. Definitions. For purposes of this section, the following terms have
1.7	the meanings given:
1.8	(1) "forensic analysis" means the application of scientific knowledge and
1.9	methodology by an individual who:
1.10	(i) has or should have specialized training and utilizes standardized procedures to
1.11	conduct examinations on items of evidence;
1.12	(ii) forms an opinion or conclusion based on the outcome of the procedure or
1.13	comparison under item (i) and the individual's training, experience, or both, and writes a
1.14	report including the individual's conclusions; and
1.15	(iii) has the potential to offer expert testimony of the individual's analysis in a court
1.16	<u>of law.</u>
1.17	Forensic analysis does not pertain to activities limited to evidence documentation,
1.18	collection, screening, processing, preservation, or storage.
1.19	(2) "forensic laboratory" means a publicly financed laboratory within the state that
1.20	conducts forensic analysis on items of evidence that are part of or have the potential to be
1.21	used in a criminal investigation. The term does not include the following laboratories:
1.22	(i) medical examiners and coroners;
1.23	(ii) educational institutions; and

Section 1.

(iii) clinical laboratories and medical facilities.

2.1	Subd. 2. Forensic laboratories; mandatory accreditation; posting on Web site.
2.2	(a) A forensic laboratory operating on or after January 1, 2015, that conducts forensic
2.3	analysis in the disciplines of forensic DNA, must: (1) be accredited by an accrediting
2.4	body that requires conformance to the appropriate quality assurance standards set
2.5	forth by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (Quality Assurance Standards for DNA
2.6	Testing Laboratories or Quality Assurance Standards for Databasing Laboratories),
2.7	forensic-specific requirements and which is a signatory to the International Laboratory
2.8	Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) Mutual Recognition Arrangements for Testing
2.9	Laboratories (ISO/IEC 17025); or (2) have begun the formal process of seeking
2.10	accreditation under clause (1) and follow the standards necessary for accreditation.
2.11	(b) A forensic laboratory operating on or after January 1, 2015, that conducts
2.12	forensic analysis in the disciplines of forensic toxicology, identification of controlled
2.13	substances, or forensic trace evidence must: (1) be accredited by an accrediting body that
2.14	requires conformance to forensic-specific requirements and which is a signatory to the
2.15	ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangements for Testing Laboratories (ISO/IEC 17025); or
2.16	(2) have begun the formal process of seeking accreditation under clause (1) and follow
2.17	the standards necessary for accreditation.
2.18	(c) A forensic laboratory operating on or after January 1, 2015, that conducts forensic
2.19	analysis in the disciplines of latent print, impression evidence, firearms, toolmarks,
2.20	questioned documents, or bloodstain pattern analysis must: (1) be accredited by an
2.21	accrediting body that requires conformance to forensic-specific requirements and which
2.22	is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangements for Testing Laboratories
2.23	(ISO/IEC 17025) or Inspection Agencies (ISO/IEC 17020); or (2) have begun the formal
2.24	process of seeking accreditation under clause (1) and follow the standards necessary for
2.25	accreditation.
2.26	(d) No forensic laboratory may operate on or after July 1, 2015, unless:
2.27	(1) it is accredited as provided in paragraph (a), (b), or (c); or
2.28	(2) except as provided in paragraph (e), for laboratories that have either begun or
2.29	resumed operation after a hiatus on or after July 1, 2011, the laboratory complies with
2.30	paragraph (a), clause (2); paragraph (b), clause (2); or paragraph (c), clause (2), and
2.31	becomes accredited within three years of the effective date of this act.
2.32	(e) Upon the written request of a laboratory that contains the specific reasons for
2.33	the request, the commissioner of public safety may extend by one year the three-year
2.34	period described in paragraph (d) by which a laboratory must become accredited. The
2.35	commissioner may extend a laboratory's deadline only once.

Section 1. 2

(f) A forensic laboratory must forward to the commissioner of public safety copies of the laboratory's certificate of accreditation and scope of accreditation or, every six months, an affirmation that the laboratory is in compliance with paragraph (a), clause (2); paragraph (b), clause (2); or paragraph (c), clause (2). The commissioner shall post these items on the department's Web site. In addition, the commissioner shall post any approved requests for extensions of a laboratory's three-year deadline to become accredited along with the laboratory's stated reasons for the extension. The commissioner shall ensure that the Web site is kept up to date and delete affirmations of compliance with paragraph (a), clause (2); paragraph (b), clause (2); and paragraph (c), clause (2), once the laboratory has achieved accreditation or is no longer working towards accreditation.

Sec. 2. LEGISLATIVE INTENT.

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

3.5

3.6

3.7

3.8

3.9

3.10

3.11

3.12

3.13

3.14

3.15

3.16

The legislature's intent in enacting this act is to improve the quality of forensic analysis conducted in forensic laboratories within the state and to impose minimum standards that provide greater uniformity of forensic analysis. It is not the legislature's intent to attempt to dictate a court's decision regarding the admissibility of forensic evidence or testimony or the weight that this evidence or testimony is given.

Sec. 2. 3