

This Document can be made available  
in alternative formats upon request

State of Minnesota  
**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**  
NINETIETH SESSION

**H. F. No. 3919**

03/15/2018 Authored by Knoblach  
The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Capital Investment

1.1 A bill for an act  
1.2 relating to capital investment; expanding review by commissioner of management  
1.3 and budget of capital funding requests from political subdivisions; amending  
1.4 Minnesota Statutes 2016, section 16A.86, subdivision 2, by adding a subdivision.

1.5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.6 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2016, section 16A.86, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

1.7 Subd. 2. **Budget request.** A political subdivision that requests an appropriation of state  
1.8 money for a local capital improvement project is encouraged to submit the request to the  
1.9 commissioner of management and budget by July 15 of an odd-numbered year to ensure  
1.10 its full consideration. ~~The requests~~ request must be submitted in the form and with the  
1.11 supporting documentation required by the commissioner of management and budget. ~~All~~  
1.12 ~~requests~~ If a request is timely received by the commissioner ~~must be submitted to,~~ the  
1.13 commissioner must submit it to the legislature, along with the information required under  
1.14 subdivision 3a, the commissioner's evaluation under subdivision 3b, and the governor's  
1.15 recommendations, whether or not the governor recommends that a ~~the~~ request be funded,  
1.16 by the deadline established in section 16A.11, subdivision 1.

1.17 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment.

1.18 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2016, section 16A.86, is amended by adding a subdivision to  
1.19 read:

1.20 Subd. 3b. **Evaluation.** The commissioner must evaluate each request from a political  
1.21 subdivision to determine whether:

- 2.1 (1) the political subdivision has provided for the maximum possible nonstate funding  
2.2 for the project;
- 2.3 (2) the project helps to fulfill an important state mission;
- 2.4 (3) the project is of regional or statewide significance;
- 2.5 (4) the project will expand the state's role into a new policy area;
- 2.6 (5) state funding for the project will create significant inequities among local jurisdictions;  
2.7 and
- 2.8 (6) the project will compete with other facilities to the detriment of those other facilities.
- 2.9 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment.