This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

1.9

1.12

1.13

1 14

1.15

1 16

1 17

1.18

1.19

1.20

1.21

1.22

1.23

1.24

1 25

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EIGHTY-SEVENTH SESSION

H. F. No.

2095

02/08/2012 Authored by Fabian, Quam, Cornish, Nornes, Hancock and others

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Policy and Finance

02/15/2012 Adoption of Report: Pass as Amended and re-referred to Jobs and Economic Development Finance

02/20/2012 Adoption of Report: Pass and re-referred to Ways and Means

A bill for an act 1.1 relating to environment; providing for permitting efficiency; modifying 12 environmental review requirements; appropriating money; amending Minnesota 1.3 Statutes 2010, sections 14.05, by adding a subdivision; 41A.10, subdivision 1.4 1; 84.027, by adding a subdivision; 115.03, by adding a subdivision; 116.07, 1.5 subdivision 4a; 116J.035, by adding a subdivision; Minnesota Statutes 2011 1.6 Supplement, sections 84.027, subdivision 14a; 116.03, subdivision 2b; 116D.04, 1.7 subdivision 2a. 1.8

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.10 ARTICLE 1

1.11 **PERMITTING**

Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 84.027, subdivision 14a, is amended to read:

- Subd. 14a. **Permitting efficiency.** (a) It is the goal of the state that environmental and resource management permits be issued or denied within 150 days of the submission of a substantially completed permit application. The commissioner of natural resources shall establish management systems designed to achieve the goal.
- (b) The commissioner shall prepare semiannual permitting efficiency reports that include statistics on meeting the goal in paragraph (a). The reports are due February 1 and August 1 each year. For permit applications that have not met the goal, the report must state the reasons for not meeting the goal, steps that will be taken to complete action on the application, and the expected timeline. In stating the reasons for not meeting the goal, the commissioner shall separately identify delays caused by the responsiveness of the proposer, lack of staff, scientific or technical disagreements, or the level of public engagement. The report must specify the number of days from initial submission of the

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

2.7

2.8

2.9

2.10

2.11

2.12

2.13

2.14

2.15

2.16

2.17

2.18

2.19

2.20

2.21

2.24

2.25

2.26

2.27

2.28

2.29

2.30

2.31

2.32

2.33

2.34

application to the day of determination that the application is complete. The report for August 1 each year must aggregate the data for the year and assess whether program or system changes are necessary to achieve the goal. The report must be posted on the department's Web site and submitted to the governor and the chairs and ranking minority members of the house of representatives and senate committees having jurisdiction over natural resources policy and finance.

REVISOR

- (c) The commissioner shall allow electronic submission of environmental review and permit documents to the department.
- (d) Beginning July 1, 2011, within 30 business days of application for a permit subject to paragraph (a), the commissioner of natural resources shall notify the project proposer, in writing, of whether or not the permit application is complete enough for processing. If the permit is incomplete, the commissioner must identify where whether the application is complete or incomplete. If the commissioner determines that an application is incomplete, the notice to the applicant must enumerate all deficiencies exist, citing specific provisions of the applicable rules and statutes, and advise the applicant on how they the deficiencies can be remedied. A resubmittal of the application begins a new 30-day review period. If the commissioner fails to notify the project proposer of completeness within 30 business days, the application is deemed to be substantially complete and subject to the 150-day permitting review period in paragraph (a) from the date it was submitted. This paragraph does not apply to an application for a permit that is subject to a grant or loan agreement under chapter 446A.
- Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 84.027, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
 - Subd. 14b. Irrevocability or suspensions of permits. If, by July 1 of an odd-numbered year, a biennial appropriation law has not been enacted to fund air, water, and land programs at the department, existing permits shall not be terminated or suspended, provided the terms and conditions of the permit and local, state, and federal laws and rules are met, regardless of the state's capability to receive, review, or process fees, reports, or other filings.
 - Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 115.03, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
 - Subd. 8b. Permit duration; state disposal system permits; animal feedlots. State disposal system permits that are issued without a national pollutant discharge elimination system permit to animal feedlots shall be issued for a term of ten years.

Article 1 Sec. 3.

3.2

3.3

3.4

3.5

3.6

3.7

3.8

3.9

3.10

3.11

3.12

3.13

3.14

3.15

3.16

3.17

3.18

3.19

3.20

3.21

3.22

3.23

3.24

3.25

3.26

3.27

3.28

3.29

3.30

3.31

3.32

3.33

3.34

EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective the day following final enactment.

Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 116.03, subdivision 2b, is amended to read:

- Subd. 2b. **Permitting efficiency.** (a) It is the goal of the state that environmental and resource management permits be issued or denied within 150 days of the submission of a substantially completed permit application. The commissioner of the Pollution Control Agency shall establish management systems designed to achieve the goal.
- (b) The commissioner shall prepare semiannual permitting efficiency reports that include statistics on meeting the goal in paragraph (a). The reports are due February 1 and August 1 each year. For permit applications that have not met the goal, the report must state the reasons for not meeting the goal, steps that will be taken to complete action on the application, and the expected timeline. In stating the reasons for not meeting the goal, the commissioner shall separately identify delays caused by the responsiveness of the proposer, lack of staff, scientific or technical disagreements, or the level of public engagement. The report must specify the number of days from initial submission of the application to the day of determination that the application is complete. The report for August 1 each year must aggregate the data for the year and assess whether program or system changes are necessary to achieve the goal. The report must be posted on the agency's Web site and submitted to the governor and the chairs and ranking minority members of the house of representatives and senate committees having jurisdiction over environment policy and finance.
- (c) The commissioner shall allow electronic submission of environmental review and permit documents to the agency.
- (d) Beginning July 1, 2011, within 30 business days of application for a permit subject to paragraph (a), the commissioner of the Pollution Control Agency shall notify the project proposer, in writing, of whether or not the permit application is complete enough for processing. If the permit is incomplete, the commissioner must identify where whether the application is complete or incomplete. If the commissioner determines that an application is incomplete, the notice to the applicant must enumerate all deficiencies exist, citing specific provisions of the applicable rules and statutes, and advise the applicant on how they the deficiencies can be remedied. A resubmittal of the application begins a new 30-day review period. If the commissioner fails to notify the project proposer of completeness within 30 business days, the application is deemed to be substantially complete and subject to the 150-day permitting review period in paragraph (a) from the

Article 1 Sec. 4.

3

4.1	date it was submitted. This paragraph does not apply to an application for a permit that is
4.2	subject to a grant or loan agreement under chapter 446A.
4.3	(e) For purposes of this subdivision, "permit applicant professional" means an
4.4	individual not employed by the Pollution Control Agency who:
4.5	(1) has a professional engineer license issued by the state of Minnesota; and
4.6	(2) has at least ten years of experience in the subject area of the permit.
4.7	(f) An applicant utilizing a permit applicant professional must notify the
4.8	commissioner of this use at least 60 days prior to submitting an application and submit at
4.9	least a project description, including but not limited to scope of work, primary emissions
4.10	points, discharge outfalls, and water intake points. The commissioner shall provide the
4.11	applicant, within 30 days of the notice, the following:
4.12	(1) an overview of the permit review program;
4.13	(2) a determination of which specific application or applications will be required by
4.14	the agency to complete the project;
4.15	(3) a statement notifying the applicant if the specific permit being sought requires a
4.16	mandatory public hearing or comment period;
4.17	(4) a review of the timetable established in the permit review program for the
4.18	specific permit being sought; and
4.19	(5) a determination of what information must be included in the application,
4.20	including a description of any required modeling or testing.
4.21	(g) The applicant may select a permit applicant professional to undertake the
4.22	preparation and review of the permit application and draft permit.
4.23	(h) A permit application and draft permit submitted by a permit applicant
4.24	professional shall be deemed complete and approved unless the terms and conditions in
4.25	the permit application and draft permit submitted by the permit applicant professional fail
4.26	to comply with applicable statutes and rules. The commissioner shall, within 30 days of
4.27	receipt of an application and draft permit:
4.28	(1) notify the applicant and submitting permit applicant professional that the
4.29	application is complete and the draft permit is approved subject to all public comment
4.30	periods and public hearings; or
4.31	(2) deny the application, specifying the deficiencies of the application.
4.32	(i) A person aggrieved by a final decision of the commissioner under this section
4.33	may obtain judicial review thereof according to sections 14.63 to 14.69.
4.34	(j) Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify:
4.35	(1) any requirement of law that is necessary to retain federal delegation to or
4.36	assumption by the state: or

DI

5.1

5.2

5.3

5.4

5.5

5.6

5.7

5.8

5.9

5.10

5.11

5.12

5.13

5.14

5.15

5.16

5.17

5.18

5.19

5.20

5.21

5.22

5.23

5 24

5.25

5.26

5.27

5.28

5.29

5.30

5.31

5.32

5.33

5.34

5.35

(2) the authority to implement a federal law or program.

(k) The permit application and draft permit shall identify or include as an appendix all studies and other sources of information used to substantiate the analysis contained in the permit application and draft period. The commissioner shall request additional studies, if needed, and the project proposer shall submit all additional studies and information necessary for the commissioner to perform the commissioner's responsibility to review, modify, and determine the completeness of the application and approve the draft permit.

(l) If, by July 1 of an odd-numbered year, a biennial appropriation law has not been enacted to fund air, water, and land programs at the agency, existing permits shall not be terminated or suspended, provided the terms and conditions of the permit and local, state, and federal laws and rules are met, regardless of the state's capability to receive, review, or process fees, reports, or other filings.

Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 116.07, subdivision 4a, is amended to read:

Subd. 4a. **Permits.** (a) The Pollution Control Agency may issue, continue in effect or deny permits, under such conditions as it may prescribe for the prevention of pollution, for the emission of air contaminants, or for the installation or operation of any emission facility, air contaminant treatment facility, treatment facility, potential air contaminant storage facility, or storage facility, or any part thereof, or for the sources or emissions of noise pollution.

The Pollution Control Agency may also issue, continue in effect or deny permits, under such conditions as it may prescribe for the prevention of pollution, for the storage, collection, transportation, processing, or disposal of waste, or for the installation or operation of any system or facility, or any part thereof, related to the storage, collection, transportation, processing, or disposal of waste.

The agency may not issue a permit to a facility without analyzing and considering the cumulative levels and effects of past and current environmental pollution from all sources on the environment and residents of the geographic area within which the facility's emissions are likely to be deposited, provided that the facility is located in a community in a city of the first class in Hennepin County that meets all of the following conditions:

- (1) is within a half mile of a site designated by the federal government as an EPA superfund site due to residential arsenic contamination;
- (2) a majority of the population are low-income persons of color and American Indians;
- (3) a disproportionate percent of the children have childhood lead poisoning, asthma, or other environmentally related health problems;

Article 1 Sec. 5.

5

	HF2095 FIRST ENGROSSMENT	REVISOR	DI	H2095-1
6.1	(4) is located in a city that ha	as experienced numero	ous air quality alert	days of
6.2	dangerous air quality for sensitive	populations between I	February 2007 and I	February
6.3	2008; and			
6.4	(5) is located near the junction	ons of several heavily	trafficked state and	county
6.5	highways and two one-way streets	which carry both truck	k and auto traffic.	
6.6	The Pollution Control Agenc	y may revoke or modi	fy any permit issued	d under this
6.7	subdivision and section 116.081 w	henever it is necessary	, in the opinion of the	ne agency, to
6.8	prevent or abate pollution.			
6.9	(b) The Pollution Control Ag	gency has the authority	for approval over t	the siting,
6.10	expansion, or operation of a solid	waste facility with reg	ard to environmenta	al issues.
6.11	However, the agency's issuance of	a permit does not rele	ease the permittee fr	om any
6.12	liability, penalty, or duty imposed	by any applicable cour	nty ordinances. Noth	hing in this
6.13	chapter precludes, or shall be cons	trued to preclude, a co	unty from enforcing	g land use
6.14	controls, regulations, and ordinance	es existing at the time	of the permit applic	cation and
6.15	adopted pursuant to sections 366.1	0 to 366.181, 394.21 to	o 394.37, or 462.35	1 to 462.365,
6.16	with regard to the siting, expansion	n, or operation of a sol	id waste facility.	
6.17	(c) Except as prohibited by f	ederal law, a person m	ay commence const	truction,
6.18	reconstruction, replacement, or mo	dification of any facil	ity prior to the issua	ince of a
6.19	construction permit by the agency.			
6.20	Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2010), section 116J.035, is	amended by adding	a subdivision
6.21	to read:			
6.22	Subd. 8. Environmental per	rmits coordinator. (a)	The commissioner	is designated
6.23	the environmental permits coordin	ator and shall coordinate	ate the implementat	ion and
6.24	administration of state permits, inc	eluding:		
6.25	(1) establishing a mechanism	in state government tl	nat will coordinate a	dministrative
6.26	decision-making procedures and re	elated quasijudicial and	d judicial review per	rtaining to
6.27	permits related to the state's air, lan	nd, and water resource	<u>s;</u>	
6.28	(2) providing coordination as	nd understanding betw	een federal, state, a	nd local
6.29	governmental units in the administ	ration of the various p	rograms relating to	air, water,
6.30	and land resources;			
6.31	(3) identifying all existing fe	deral, state, and local	permits and other ar	oprovals;
6.32	compliance schedules; or other pro-	grams that pertain to t	he use of natural res	sources and
6.33	protection of the environment; and	<u>[</u>		
6.34	(4) recommending legislative	e or administrative mo	difications to existing	ng permit

programs to increase their efficiency and utility.

	(b) A person proposing a project may apply to the environmental permits coordinator
	for assistance in obtaining necessary state permits and other approvals. Upon request, the
<u>(</u>	environmental permits coordinator shall:
	(1) provide a list of all federal, state, and local permits and other required approvals
-	for the project;
	(2) provide a plan that will coordinate federal, state, and local administrative
į	decision-making practices, including monitoring, analysis and reporting, public comments
	and hearings, and issuances of permits and approvals;
	(3) provide a timeline for the issuance of all federal, state, and local permits and
1	other approvals required for the project;
	(4) coordinate the execution of any memorandum of understanding between the
	person proposing a project and any federal, state, or local agency;
	(5) coordinate all federal, state, or local public comment periods and hearings; and
	(6) provide other assistance requested to facilitate final approval and issuance of all
	federal, state, and local permits and other approvals required for the project.
	(c) As necessary, the environmental permits coordinator shall negotiate a schedule
	to assess the project proposer for reasonable costs that any state agency incurs in
	coordinating the implementation and administration of state permits, and the proposer
	shall pay the assessed costs to the environmental permits coordinator. Money received
	by the environmental permits coordinator must be credited to a special account and is
	appropriated to any state agency to cover the assessed costs incurred.
	(d) The coordination of implementation and administration of state permits is not
	governmental action under section 116D.04.
	(e) For the purposes of this subdivision:
	(1) "agency" means:
	(i) a state department, commission, board, or other agency of the state, however
	titled; or
	(ii) a local governmental unit or instrumentality, only when that unit or
	instrumentality is acting within existing legal authority to grant or deny a permit that
,	otherwise would be granted or denied by a state agency;
	(2) "local governmental unit" means a county, city, town, or special district with
	legal authority to issue a permit;
	(3) "permit" means a permit, certificate, certification, approval, compliance schedule,
(or other similar document pertaining to a regulatory or management program related to
	the protection, conservation, or use of or interference with the natural resources of land,

DΙ

REVISOR

8.1

8.2

8.3

8.4

8.5

8.6

8.7

8.8

8.9

8.10

8.11

8.12

8.13

8.14

8.15

8.16

8.17

8.18

8.19

8.20

8.21

8.22

8.23

8.24

8.25

8.26

8.27

8.28

8.29

8.30

8.31

8.32

including:

air, or water that must be obtained from a state agency before constructing or operating a
project in the state;
(4) "person" means an individual, an association or partnership, or a cooperative,
municipal, public, or private corporation, including but not limited to a state agency
and a county; and
(5) "project" means a new activity or an expansion of or addition to an existing
activity, which is fixed in location and for which permits are required from an agency
prior to construction or operation, including but not limited to industrial and commercial
operations and developments.
ARTICLE 2
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 14.05, is amended by adding a subdivision
to read:
Subd. 5a. Review of environmental assessment worksheets and environmental
impact statements. By December 1, 2012, and every five years thereafter, the
Environmental Quality Board, Pollution Control Agency, Department of Natural
Resources, and Department of Transportation, after consultation with political
subdivisions, shall submit to the governor and the chairs of the house of representatives
and senate committees having jurisdiction over environment and natural resources a list
of mandatory environmental assessment worksheet or mandatory environmental impact
statement categories for which the agency or a political subdivision is designated as the
responsible government unit, and for each worksheet or statement category, a document

- (1) intended outcomes of each worksheet or statement within each category;
- (2) the cost to state and local government and the private sector; and
- (3) an explanation of what information provided on the mandatory worksheet or statement within each category is not included in or provided for in an existing permit or other federal, state, or local law.
- Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 41A.10, subdivision 1, is amended to read: Subdivision 1. **Definitions.** For the purposes of this section and section 103F.518, the terms defined in this subdivision have the meanings given them.
 - (a) "Cellulosic biofuel" means transportation fuel derived from cellulosic materials.

9.2

9.3

9.4

9.5

9.6

9.7

98

9.9

9.10

9.11

9.12

9.13

9.14

9.15

9.16

9.17

9.18

9.19

9.20

9.21

9.22

9.23

9.24

9.25

9.26

9.27

9.28

9.29

9.30

9.31

9.32

9.33

9.34

9.35

(b) "Cellulosic material" means an agricultural or wood feedstock primarily comprised of cellulose, hemicellulose, or lignin or a combination of those ingredients grown on agricultural lands or harvested timber lands.

REVISOR

- (c) "Agricultural land" means land used for horticultural, row, close grown, pasture, and hayland crops; growing nursery stocks; animal feedlots; farm yards; associated building sites; and public and private drainage systems and field roads located on any of that land.
- (d) "Cellulosic biofuel facility" means a facility at which cellulosic biofuel is produced.
- (e) "Perennial crops" means agriculturally produced plants that have a life cycle of at least three years at the location where the plants are being cultivated.
- (f) "Perennial cropping system" means an agricultural production system that utilizes a perennial crop.
- (g) "Native species" means a plant species which was present in a defined area of Minnesota prior to European settlement (circa 1850). A defined area may be an ecological classification province. Wild-type varieties therefore are regional or local ecotypes that have not undergone a selection process.
- (h) "Diverse native prairie" means a prairie planted from a mix of local Minnesota native prairie species. A selection from all available native prairie species may be made so as to match species appropriate to local site conditions.
 - (i) "Commissioner" means the commissioner of agriculture.
- Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 116D.04, subdivision 2a, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2a. When prepared. Where there is potential for significant environmental effects resulting from any major governmental action, the action shall be preceded by a detailed environmental impact statement prepared by the responsible governmental unit. The environmental impact statement shall be an analytical rather than an encyclopedic document which describes the proposed action in detail, analyzes its significant environmental impacts, discusses appropriate alternatives to the proposed action and their impacts, and explores methods by which adverse environmental impacts of an action could be mitigated. The environmental impact statement shall also analyze those economic, employment and sociological effects that cannot be avoided should the action be implemented. To ensure its use in the decision-making process, the environmental impact statement shall be prepared as early as practical in the formulation of an action.

No mandatory environmental impact statement may be required for an ethanol plant,

10.2

10.3

10.4

10.5

10.6

10.7

10.8

10.9

10.10

10.11

10.12

10.13

10.14

10.15

10.16

10.17

10.18

10.19

10.20

10.21

10.22

10.23

10.24

10.25

10.26

10.27

10.28

10.29

10.30

10.31

10.32

10.33

10.34

10.35

10.36

as defined in section 41A.09, subdivision 2a, paragraph (b), that produces less than 125,000,000 gallons of ethanol annually and is located outside of the seven-county metropolitan area.

- (a) The board shall by rule establish categories of actions for which environmental impact statements and for which environmental assessment worksheets shall be prepared as well as categories of actions for which no environmental review is required under this section. A mandatory environmental assessment worksheet shall not be required for the expansion of an ethanol plant, as defined in section 41A.09, subdivision 2a, paragraph (b), or the conversion of an ethanol plant to a biobutanol facility or the expansion of a biobutanol facility as defined in section 41A.105, subdivision 1a, based on the capacity of the expanded or converted facility to produce alcohol fuel, but must be required if the ethanol plant meets or exceeds thresholds of other categories of actions for which environmental assessment worksheets must be prepared. The responsible governmental unit for an ethanol plant project for which an environmental assessment worksheet is prepared shall be the state agency with the greatest responsibility for supervising or approving the project as a whole. A mandatory environmental impact statement shall not be required for a facility or plant located outside the seven-county metropolitan area that produces less than 125,000,000 gallons of ethanol, biobutanol, or cellulosic biofuel annually, if the facility or plant is: an ethanol plant, as defined in section 41A.09, subdivision 2a, paragraph (b); a biobutanol facility, as defined in section 41A.105, subdivision 1a, clause (1); or a cellulosic biofuel facility as defined in section 41A.10, subdivision 1, paragraph (d).
- (b) The responsible governmental unit shall promptly publish notice of the completion of an environmental assessment worksheet in a manner to be determined by the board and shall provide copies of the environmental assessment worksheet to the board and its member agencies. Comments on the need for an environmental impact statement may be submitted to the responsible governmental unit during a 30-day period following publication of the notice that an environmental assessment worksheet has been completed. The responsible governmental unit's decision on the need for an environmental impact statement shall be based on the environmental assessment worksheet and the comments received during the comment period, and shall be made within 15 days after the close of the comment period. The board's chair may extend the 15-day period by not more than 15 additional days upon the request of the responsible governmental unit.
- (c) An environmental assessment worksheet shall also be prepared for a proposed action whenever material evidence accompanying a petition by not less than 100 individuals who reside or own property in the state, submitted before the proposed

Article 2 Sec. 3.

11.2

11.3

11.4

11.5

11.6

11.7

11.8

11.9

11.10

11.11

11.12

11.13

11.14

11.15

11.16

11.17

11.18

11.19

11.20

11.21

11.22

11.23

11.24

11.25

11.26

11.27

11.28

11.29

11.30

11.31

11.32

11.33

11.34

11.35

11.36

project has received final approval by the appropriate governmental units, demonstrates that, because of the nature or location of a proposed action, there may be potential for significant environmental effects. Petitions requesting the preparation of an environmental assessment worksheet shall be submitted to the board. The chair of the board shall determine the appropriate responsible governmental unit and forward the petition to it. A decision on the need for an environmental assessment worksheet shall be made by the responsible governmental unit within 15 days after the petition is received by the responsible governmental unit. The board's chair may extend the 15-day period by not more than 15 additional days upon request of the responsible governmental unit.

- (d) Except in an environmentally sensitive location where Minnesota Rules, part 4410.4300, subpart 29, item B, applies, the proposed action is exempt from environmental review under this chapter and rules of the board, if:
 - (1) the proposed action is:
 - (i) an animal feedlot facility with a capacity of less than 1,000 animal units; or
- (ii) an expansion of an existing animal feedlot facility with a total cumulative capacity of less than 1,000 animal units;
- (2) the application for the animal feedlot facility includes a written commitment by the proposer to design, construct, and operate the facility in full compliance with Pollution Control Agency feedlot rules; and
- (3) the county board holds a public meeting for citizen input at least ten business days prior to the Pollution Control Agency or county issuing a feedlot permit for the animal feedlot facility unless another public meeting for citizen input has been held with regard to the feedlot facility to be permitted. The exemption in this paragraph is in addition to other exemptions provided under other law and rules of the board.
- (e) The board may, prior to final approval of a proposed project, require preparation of an environmental assessment worksheet by a responsible governmental unit selected by the board for any action where environmental review under this section has not been specifically provided for by rule or otherwise initiated.
- (f) An early and open process shall be utilized to limit the scope of the environmental impact statement to a discussion of those impacts, which, because of the nature or location of the project, have the potential for significant environmental effects. The same process shall be utilized to determine the form, content and level of detail of the statement as well as the alternatives which are appropriate for consideration in the statement. In addition, the permits which will be required for the proposed action shall be identified during the scoping process. Further, the process shall identify those permits for which information will be developed concurrently with the environmental impact statement. The board

11

Article 2 Sec. 3.

12.2

12.3

12.4

12.5

12.6

12.7

12.8

12.9

12.10

12.11

12.12

12.13

12.14

12.15

12.16

12.17

12.18

12.19

12.20

12.21

12.22

12.23

12.24

12.25

12.26

12.27

12.28

DΙ

shall provide in its rules for the expeditious completion of the scoping process. The determinations reached in the process shall be incorporated into the order requiring the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

REVISOR

- (g) The responsible governmental unit shall, to the extent practicable, avoid duplication and ensure coordination between state and federal environmental review and between environmental review and environmental permitting. Whenever practical, information needed by a governmental unit for making final decisions on permits or other actions required for a proposed project shall be developed in conjunction with the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
- (h) An environmental impact statement shall be prepared and its adequacy determined within 280 days after notice of its preparation unless the time is extended by consent of the parties or by the governor for good cause. The responsible governmental unit shall determine the adequacy of an environmental impact statement, unless within 60 days after notice is published that an environmental impact statement will be prepared, the board chooses to determine the adequacy of an environmental impact statement. If an environmental impact statement is found to be inadequate, the responsible governmental unit shall have 60 days to prepare an adequate environmental impact statement.
- (i) The proposer of a specific action may include in the information submitted to the responsible governmental unit a preliminary draft environmental impact statement under this section on that action for review, modification, and determination of completeness and adequacy by the responsible governmental unit. A preliminary draft environmental impact statement prepared by the project proposer and submitted to the responsible governmental unit shall identify or include as an appendix all studies and other sources of information used to substantiate the analysis contained in the preliminary draft environmental impact statement. The responsible governmental unit shall require additional studies, if needed, and obtain from the project proposer all additional studies and information necessary for the responsible governmental unit to perform its responsibility to review, modify, and determine the completeness and adequacy of the environmental impact statement.

Article 2 Sec. 3.

12

APPENDIX Article locations in H2095-1

ARTICLE 1	PERMITTING	Page.Ln 1.10
ARTICLE 2	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW	Page Ln 8 10